

SIDDAGANGA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TUMAKURU-572103
(An Autonomous Institute under Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi)



Project Report on

**“Neuro navigation - Brain computer interface based
wheelchair prototype”**

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the completion of
VI semester of

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING

in

ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Submitted by

Shivaprasad M J (1SI21EE037)

Shreyas L (1SI21EE040)

Shreyas M (1SI21EE041)

Sinchana K (1SI21EE042)

under the guidance of

Dr. H S Sridhar

Assistant Professor

Department of E&EE

SIT, Tumakuru-03


DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

2023-24

SIDDAGANGA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TUMAKURU-572103

(An Autonomous Institute under Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING



CERTIFICATE

Certified that the mini project work entitled “**Neuro navigation – Brain computer interface based wheelchair prototype**” is a bonafide work carried out by Shivaprasad M J (1SI21EE037), Shreyas L (1SI21EE040), Shreyas M (1SI21EE041) and Sinchana K (1SI21EE042) in partial fulfillment for the completion of VI Semester of Bachelor of Engineering in Electrical & Electronics Engineering from Siddaganga Institute of Technology, an autonomous institute under Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi during the academic year 2023-24. It is certified that all corrections/suggestions indicated for internal assessment have been incorporated in the report deposited in the department library. The Mini project report has been approved as it satisfies the academic requirements in respect of project work prescribed for the Bachelor of Engineering degree.

Dr. H S Sridhar
Assistant Professor
Dept. of E&EE
SIT, Tumakuru-03

Dr. Rashmi
Head of the Department
Dept. of E&EE
SIT, Tumakuru-03

External viva:

Names of the Examiners

Signature with date

- 1.
- 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We offer our humble pranams at the lotus feet of **His Holiness, Dr. Sree Sree Shivakumara Swamigalu**, Founder President and **His Holiness, Sree Sree Siddalinga Swamigalu**, President, Sree Siddaganga Education Society, Sree Siddaganga Math for bestowing upon their blessings.

We deem it as a privilege to thank **Dr. M N Channabasappa**, Director, SIT, Tumakuru, **Dr. Shivakumaraiah**, CEO, SIT, Tumakuru, and **Dr. S V Dinesh**, Principal, SIT, Tumakuru for fostering an excellent academic environment in this institution, which made this endeavor fruitful.

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to **Dr. Rashmi**, Professor and Head, Department of E&EE, SIT, Tumakuru for his encouragement and valuable suggestions.

We thank our guide **Dr.H S Sridhar**, Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, SIT, Tumakuru for the valuable guidance, advice and encouragement.

We thank our Co-ordinator **Dr.Madhu B M**, Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, SIT, Tumakuru for the valuable guidance, advice and encouragement.

Shivaprasad M J	(1SI21EE037)
Shreyas L	(1SI21EE040)
Shreyas M	(1SI21EE041)
Sinchana K	(1SI21EE042)

Course Outcomes

CO1: To identify a problem through literature survey and knowledge of contemporary engineering technology.

CO2: To consolidate the literature search to identify issues/gaps and formulate the engineering problem

CO3: To prepare project schedule for the identified design methodology and engage in budget analysis, and share responsibility for every member in the team

CO4: To provide sustainable engineering solution considering health, safety, legal, cultural issues and also demonstrate concern for environment

CO5: To identify and apply the mathematical concepts, science concepts, engineering and management concepts necessary to implement the identified engineering problem

CO6: To select the engineering tools/components required to implement the proposed solution for the identified engineering problem

CO7: To analyze, design, and implement optimal design solution, interpret results of experiments and draw valid conclusion

CO8: To demonstrate effective written communication through the project report, the one-page poster presentation, and preparation of the video about the project and the four page IEEE/Springer/ paper format of the work

CO9: To engage in effective oral communication through power point presentation and demonstration of the project work

CO10: To demonstrate compliance to the prescribed standards/ safety norms and abide by the norms of professional ethics

CO11: To perform in the team, contribute to the team and mentor/lead the team

Attainment level: - 1: Slight (low) 2: Moderate (medium) 3: Substantial (high)

POs: PO1: Engineering Knowledge, PO2: Problem analysis, PO3: Design/Development of solutions, PO4: Conduct investigations of complex problems, PO5: Modern tool usage, PO6: Engineer and society, PO7: Environment and sustainability, PO8: Ethics, PO9: Individual and team work, PO10: Communication, PO11: Project management and finance, PO12: Lifelong learning

CO-PO Mapping

	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11	PO12	PSO1	PSO2
CO-1												3		
CO-2		3												
CO-3											3			
CO-4						3	3							
CO-5	3	3												
CO-6					3									
CO-7			3	3										
CO-8										3				
CO-9										3				
CO-10								3						
CO-11									3					

Abstract

Brain computer interface wheel chair specially designed for paralyzed and disabled person who are not capable to operate normal wheel chair. This wheel chair is based on (BCI) Brain computer interface, it can control the wheel chair from brain neurons by the help of BCI. Brain computer interface (BCI) is a computer-based system that obtain brain signal that can be controlled by EEG Sensor which is an electrophysiological process to archive the electrical activity of the brain. A BCI system recognize users to grant their determination by study their brain signals. This technology is very helpful for paralyzed and disabled person they can easily moves wheel chair in any direction.

Contents

Abstract	ii
List of Figures	ii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 EEG Bands and Their Comparison	2
1.1.1 Delta Waves	2
1.1.2 Theta Waves	3
1.1.3 Alpha Waves	3
1.1.4 Beta Waves	4
1.1.5 Gamma Waves	5
1.1.6 Comparison of EEG Waves	5
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Objective of the project	6
2 Literature Survey	7
3 Methodology	13
3.1 Blink waveform characteristics	14
4 System Hardware	19
4.1 EEG Electrode/Headset :	19
4.2 HC-05:	19
4.3 Arduino:	20
4.4 L298N – Motor driver:	21
4.5 BioAmp EXG Pill:	21
5 System Software	23
5.1 Software Requirements	23
5.1.1 Python Environment	23
5.1.2 Libraries	23

5.2	Algorithm	24
5.3	Flowchart	26
6	Results	27
7	Conclusion	28
	Bibliography	28

List of Figures

1.1	Delta waves	3
1.2	Theta waves	3
1.3	Alpha waves	4
1.4	Beta waves	4
1.5	Gamma waves	5
1.6	Comparison of various EEG waveforms	5
3.1	EEG datasets collected for Blink evaluation	13
3.2	A typical eye-blink waveform	14
3.3	EEG sample data CSV	15
3.4	Loading EEG data	15
3.5	Unfiltered EEG Eye-Blink Signal	16
3.6	EEG sample data CSV	17
3.7	Passing Unfiltered signal to lowpass Filter	17
3.8	Filtered Signal	18
4.1	EEG Headset	19
4.2	HC-05 Module	20
4.3	Arduino	20
4.4	L298N – Motor driver	21
4.5	BioAmp EXG Pill	21
5.1	Flowchart	26

Chapter 1

Introduction

In recent years, Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technology has emerged as a groundbreaking field at the intersection of neuroscience, engineering, and computer science. BCIs represent a paradigm shift in human-computer interaction by enabling direct communication between the brain and external devices without the need for traditional input methods like keyboards or touchscreens. This technology holds immense potential for revolutionizing various aspects of human life, particularly in healthcare, assistive technology, gaming, and virtual reality.

At its core, a BCI system functions by detecting and interpreting neural signals from the brain and translating them into actionable commands for external devices. These signals can be captured through various methods such as electroencephalography (EEG), magnetoencephalography (MEG), functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), or invasive techniques like neural implants. Each approach offers unique advantages and challenges, shaping the development and application of BCIs in different domains.

The applications of BCI technology are diverse and far-reaching. In the medical field, BCIs have shown promise in assisting individuals with motor disabilities, neurodegenerative diseases, and communication disorders. They offer new avenues for patients to regain autonomy, communicate effectively, and control assistive devices like prosthetics, wheelchairs, and robotic arms through neural signals. BCIs also play a significant role in cognitive rehabilitation, brain-computer gaming interfaces, and neurofeedback therapies, contributing to advancements in personalized healthcare and neurorehabilitation.

Outside of healthcare, BCIs are driving innovation in virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) experiences, allowing users to interact with digital environments using their thoughts and intentions. This has implications for immersive gaming, training simulations, and human-machine collaboration in industrial settings. Moreover, BCIs are being integrated into smart home systems, enabling hands-free control of appliances, entertainment systems, and environmental settings based on user brain activity.

Despite the progress made in BCI technology, several challenges remain, including signal

processing complexity, signal-to-noise ratio improvement, user training and adaptation, ethical considerations, and regulatory frameworks. Overcoming these challenges requires interdisciplinary collaboration, advancements in neurotechnology, machine learning algorithms, and user-centered design approaches.

This report aims to provide an overview of BCI technology, its principles, applications, challenges, and future directions. By exploring the current state of BCI research and development, we seek to contribute to the understanding and advancement of this transformative technology and its impact on society.

1.1 EEG Bands and Their Comparison

The waves emitted from the brain depend upon the state of the brain. These waves occupy different low frequency bands typically from 1Hz to 100Hz. It ought to be seen that these are the all-around perceived bands still they have no perfect range for brain waves. While analysts have a tendency to take after these rules, numerous researchers utilize their own particular limits relying upon the extent they concentrate on. Furthermore, a few specialists characterize the groups utilizing decimal values instead of adjusting to entire numbers (for instance, one analyst may characterize the lower Beta band cut-off as 12.3, while another may utilize the quality 13), while still others in some cases isolate the groups into sub-groups. For the most part, this is accomplished for examination.

1.1.1 Delta Waves

This is frequency band of EEG having range 0-4 Hz. the feature of this wave is that having high amplitudes but slow. They normally occur strongly when someone is in deep sleep. It is moreover seen in normally children. It might happen centrally with sub-cortical injuries and in general supply of diffuse sores, metabolic encephalopathy hydrocephalus or profound mid-line sores. It is generally most prominent frontally in grown-ups. A sample of Delta Wave is shown in Figure 1.1.




Figure 1.1: Delta waves

1.1.2 Theta Waves

The frequency range of Theta waves is from 4Hz to 7Hz. Theta is seen typically in youthful youngsters. These waves can likewise be found in drowsiness in older kids and grown-ups, it can likewise be found in contemplation. Occurrence of excessive theta waves with respect to age represents abnormal activities. It can be seen as a main disturbance in the central sub-cortical sores, it can be seen in summed up supply in diffuse issue or metabolic encephalopathy or profound mid-line issue or some examples of hydrocephalus. Despite what might be expected, this extent has been connected with reports of casual, thoughtful and imaginative states. A sample wave of Theta waves is shown Figure 1.2.




Figure 1.2: Theta waves

1.1.3 Alpha Waves

The frequency range of Alpha waves is from 8Hz to 12Hz. Hans Berger named the principal musical EEG action he saw as the alpha wave. This was the posterior basic rhythm (likewise called the posterior dominant rhythm or the posterior alpha rhythm), found in the back districts of the head on both sides, higher in abundance on the overwhelming side. It rises with shutting of the eyes and with unwinding, and constricts with eye opening or mental effort. The posterior basic rhythm is slower than 8Hz in youthful kids

(subsequently in fact in the theta range). In addition to the posterior basic rhythm, there are other ordinary alpha rhythms, for example, the mu rhythm that develops when the hands and arms are unmoving, and the third rhythm (alpha movement in the worldly or frontal flaps). Alpha can be anomalous for instance, an EEG that has diffuse alpha happening in coma and is not responsive to external stimuli is referred to as alpha coma. A sample Wave of Alpha Waves is shown in Figure 1.3.




Figure 1.3: Alpha waves

1.1.4 Beta Waves

The frequency range of Alpha waves is from 12Hz to near 30Hz. It is seen for the most part on both sides in symmetrical dispersion and is most obvious frontally. Beta action is firmly connected to motor behaviour and is normally reduced during active movements. Low amplitude beta with various and fluctuating frequencies is regularly connected with active, busy or anxious thinking and active concentration. Rhythmic beta with an overwhelming arrangement of frequencies is connected with different pathologies and medication impacts, particularly Benzodiazepines. It might be missing or diminished in regions of cortical harm. It is the overwhelming thym in patients who are ready or on edge or who have their eyes open. A sample wave of beta waves is shown in Figure 1.4.




Figure 1.4: Beta waves

1.1.5 Gamma Waves

The frequency range of Gamma waves is around 30-100Hz. Gamma rhythms are thought to represent binding of various populations of neurons together into a system with the end goal of doing a specific cognitive or motor function. A sample wave of gamma waves is shown in Figure 1.5.




Figure 1.5: Gamma waves

1.1.6 Comparison of EEG Waves

The comparison of Alpha, Beta, Delta and Gamma waves are shown in Figure 1.6.

Type of wave	Location	Frequency	Normal state
Delta	Frontally in adults, posterior in children	0.5 – 4 Hz	Deep sleep, Coma
Theta	Temporal cortex	4 – 8 Hz	Trance, Dreams
Alpha	Posterior regions of head, both sides, higher in amplitude on dominant side. Central sites (C3 – C4) at rest.	8 – 13 Hz	Relaxation with eyes closed but still awake
Beta	Frontally evident on both sides, symmetrical distribution	13 – 30 Hz	Beta 1 : 13 – 20 Hz Perception, Thinking, Mental Activity

Figure 1.6: Comparison of various EEG waveforms

1.2 Problem Statement

- Every year, around the world, between 250000 and 500000 people suffer a spinal cord injury (SCI) and severe impairments.

- The damage of spinal cord and nerve root may affect from incomplete to total dysfunction.
- Most people with severe impairments conditions are unable to control their electrical wheelchair using a standard joystick.
- Therefore, this creates a major barrier for locomotion issue in people with spinal cord issue and health issues such as Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.

1.3 Objective of the project

The objective of the project are as follows:

- Filtering and Visualizing the EEG signal from the Raw EEG data.
- Signal Acquisition using the EEG electrode in Real time.
- Processing the received signal and providing the pre-processed signal as input to the developed algorithm to control the wheelchair.
- Integrating the above developed system to a Wheelchair prototype using Arduino microcontroller.

Chapter 2

Literature Survey

[1] Bohao Li, Tianshuo Cheng, Zexuan Guo : “A review of EEG acquisition, processing and application”

The research paper delves into EEG acquisition methods, particularly emphasizing EEG’s prevalence in Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) research. It acknowledges the enhanced accuracy of ECoG sensors in detecting high frequency brain activities compared to traditional EEG electrodes. The paper also discusses the utility of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in measuring cerebral blood flow changes related to intellectual activities. Time-frequency analysis in EEG signal processing is highlighted for overcoming limitations in nonlinear signal analysis. Challenges in EEG technology, such as optimizing dry electrode contact for low impedance and integrating signal acquisition and transmission into a low-power chip, are addressed. The importance of user training for steady EEG signals in applications is noted, reflecting the continuous efforts to improve signal quality and practical usability in BCI systems.

[2] Gao Nuo, Zhai Wenwen, Lu Shouyin : “Asynchronous Brain-computer Interface Intelligent Wheelchair System Based on Alpha Wave and SSVEP EEG Signals”

This paper presents the overall design scheme of Intelligent Wheelchair Based on SSVEP and Alpha braincomputer interface, and verifies the feasibility and effectiveness of proposed system through online experiments. In order to improve the practicability and flexibility of the intelligent wheelchair, this paper chooses the wheelchair with Mecanum wheel, and installs obstacle avoidance sensor on the wheelchair. The experimental results show that the subject can control the intelligent wheelchair movement system based on the hybrid brain-computer interface according to his own rhythm, and realize the asynchronous control of the intelligent wheelchair. The system can accurately analyze the control commands that the user wants to send and has a high information transmission rate. It can move the intelligent wheelchair to any position in the plane according to

the user's intention without considering the turning and turning angle, which provides an effective solution for the movement of the intelligent wheelchair. Although the intelligent wheelchair proposed in this paper achieves asynchronous control, high analysis accuracy and omni-directional movement, it still needs to be further improved in the following aspects: 1) improving the robustness of EEG signal analysis; 2) increasing the speed of asynchronous control. With the continuous development of brain-computer interface technology and in-depth research of scientific researchers, the intelligent wheelchair system based on BCI will be gradually improved, and have a broader application prospect in various fields.

[3] Carla Gomez-Carrasquilla, Karol Quiros-Espinoza, Arys Carrasquilla-Batista: “Wheelchair control through eye blinking and IoT platform”

This paper describes the development and testing of a system designed to control a wheelchair using eye-blinking commands. The system leverages a Raspberry Pi 3, which is selected for its onboard wireless LAN and Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) capabilities. The primary challenge addressed by the system is distinguishing between involuntary blinks and deliberate slow voluntary blinks, with the latter being used to command the wheelchair to move forward. The implementation includes the integration of multiple sensors and actuators, managed by the microcontroller, and communicates movement data to an IoT platform for monitoring and analysis. The IoT platform used is ThingSpeak, which successfully followed all given specifications. The prototype system was tested and demonstrated 100% reliability in detecting slow voluntary blinks and accurately controlling the movement and position of a simulated wheelchair. From this paper, we can infer that the developed system is highly reliable and effective in distinguishing between different types of blinks for wheelchair control. The successful integration with IoT platforms suggests potential for real-time monitoring and data analysis, which could further enhance the functionality and safety of the wheelchair control system. This innovation could significantly improve mobility solutions for individuals with severe physical disabilities, offering them greater independence and ease of movement.

[4] Lizy Kanungo, Nikhil Garg, Anish Bhobe, Smit Rajguru, Veeky Baths : “Wheelchair Automation by a Hybrid BCI System Using SSVEP and Eye Blinks”

This work proposes a hybrid Brain-Computer Interface system for the automation of a wheelchair for the disabled. Herein a working prototype of a BCI-based wheelchair is detailed that can navigate inside a typical home environment with minimum structural modification and without any visual obstruction and discomfort to the user. The prototype is based on a combined mechanism of steady-state visually evoked potential and eye blinks. To elicit SSVEP, LEDs flickering at 13Hz and 15Hz were used to select the left and right direction, respectively, and EEG data was recorded. In addition, the occurrence of three continuous blinks was used as an indicator for stopping an ongoing action. The wavelet packet denoising method was applied, followed by feature extraction methods such as Wavelet Packet Decomposition and Canonical Correlation Analysis over narrowband reconstructed EEG signals. Bayesian optimization was used to obtain 5-fold cross validations to optimize the hyperparameters of the Support Vector Machine. The resulting new model was tested and the average cross-validation accuracy $89.65\% + 6.6\%$ (SD) and testing accuracy $83.53\% + 8.59\%$ (SD) were obtained. The wheelchair was controlled by RaspberryPi through WiFi. The developed prototype demonstrated an average of 86.97% success rate for all trials with 4.015s for each command execution. The prototype can be used efficiently in a home environment without causing any discomfort to the user.

[5] Janis Peksa, Dmytro Mamchur : “State-of-the-Art on Brain-Computer Interface Technology”

he paper presented the current state-of-the-art in brain-computer interface technologies. Main platforms used for BCI data collection, such as EEG, fNIRS, MEG, and ECoG, were reviewed, and their pros and cons were singled out. It was concluded that the choice of a platform depends on the research goals, cost of equipment, patient comfort level, etc., while it is not correct to say that one of the platforms is better than others in general. The most widely used BCI signal processing techniques, such as ICA, wavelet transformation, SVM, hidden Markov models, machine learning, and genetic algorithms, were reviewed. Brief principles of their operation and main application areas are highlighted. Finally, the main BCI system application areas, such as neuroprosthetics, communica-

tion, gaming, education, and mental health care, were reviewed. It was highlighted that BCI offers tremendous potential opportunities across multiple domains—both existing ones, such as medical treatment and monitoring, and entirely novel concepts, such as controlling drones via thought alone. There is still much progress needed, however, before these ideas become realities—further technological developments must continue alongside increased understanding about how our brains actually function so that reliable interactions between humans and machines can be established and maintained over time safely and effectively.

[6] J. Jin, P. Horki, C. Brunner, X.Wang, C. Neuper : “P300 Brain-Computer Interface Design for Communication and Control Applications”

proposed a P300 spelling system is one of the most popular EEG-based spelling systems. This system is normally presented as a matrix and allows its users to select one of many options by focused attention. It is possible to use large matrices as a large menu (computer keyboard, etc.), but then more time is required for each selection, because all rows and columns of the matrix must flash once per trial to locate the target character in the row/column (RC) speller method. In this paper, a new flash pattern design based on mathematical combinations is suggested. This new method decreases the number of flashes required in each trial. A typical example of a 6x6 matrix is considered. Only 9 flashes per trial for the 6x6 matrix are required in this new method, which is 3 flashes less than the RC speller method (12 flashes per trial). In this paper, practical bit rate was used. Results from offline analysis have shown that the 9- flash pattern yielded significantly higher practical bit rate than the 12-flash pattern (RC pattern)

[7] M.Cheng, S.Gao, and D.Xu : “Design and implementation of a brain-computer interface with high transfer rates”

Proposed “Design and implementation of a brain computer interface with high transfer rates,” a brain-computer interface (BCI) that can help users to input phone numbers. The system is based on the steady-state visual evoked potential (SSVEP). Twelve buttons illuminated at different rates were displayed on a computer monitor. The buttons constituted a virtual telephone keypad, representing the ten digits 0–9, BACKSPACE, and ENTER. Users could input phone number by gazing at these buttons. The frequency-coded SSVEP

was used to judge which button the user desired. Eight of the thirteen subjects succeeded in ringing the mobile phone using the system. The average transfer rate over all subjects was 27.15 bits/min. The attractive features of the system are noninvasive signal recording, little training required for use, and high information transfer rate. Approaches to improve the performance of the system are discussed.

[8] B. D. Seno, M. Matteucci, and L. T. Mainardi “The utility metric: A novel method to assess the overall performance of discrete brain-computer interfaces”

Proposed “The utility metric: A novel method to assess the overall performance of discrete brain-computer interfaces”. A relevant issue in a brain-computer interface (BCI) is the capability to efficiently convert user intentions into correct actions, and how to properly measure this efficiency. Usually, the evaluation of a BCI system is approached through the quantification of the classifier performance, which is often measured by means of the information transfer rate (ITR). A shortcoming of this approach is that the control interface design is neglected, and hence a poor description of the overall performance is obtained for real systems. To overcome this limitation, we propose a novel metric based on the computation of BCI Utility. The new metric can accurately predict the overall performance of a BCI system, as it takes into account both the classifier and the control interface characteristics. It is therefore suitable for design purposes, where we have to select the best options among different components and different parameters setup. In the paper, we compute Utility in two scenarios, a P300 speller and a P300 speller with an error correction system (ECS), for different values of accuracy of the classifier and recall of the ECS.

[9] K. S. Ahmed : “Wheelchair Movement Control VIA Human Eye Blinks”

This paper comprised of a model based to detect the four movements (turn right – turn left –forward -stop) of wheelchair based on the eye blinks (right wink, left wink, single/double blinks). The WT coefficients were used as the best fitting input vector for classifier. Radial Basis Function network was used to classify the signals. The weighted energy difference between electrodes pairs F7 and F8 were used as features. Signals were recursively decomposed into high and low passed sub-bands, and the resolution of the

spectrum was determined by the chosen decomposition level. The sub-band energy from the last 8 decomposition level was used to construct features from EEG signals. The sensitivity and specificity were calculated for 20 cases and there were 80% and 75% respectively.

[10] Ishita Goyal, Anmol Mehta, “Acquisition, Pre-Processing, and Feature Extraction of EEG Signals to Convert it into an Image Classification Problem”

The study’s utility extends across multiple domains, serving as a valuable resource for researchers in EEG signal analysis. The proposed approach offers a promising avenue for feature extraction, aiding researchers in achieving their objectives within the realm of EEG signal processing. Additionally, the application of this method holds practical significance in enhancing communication for individuals facing challenges in self-expression, potentially offering a means for them to interact with others more effectively. A notable contribution lies in the transformation of a complex signal classification problem into a more manageable image classification problem, showcasing the study’s innovative approach to addressing challenges in signal processing. This versatility underscores the potential broader impact of the research, reaching beyond the academic sphere into practical applications with societal implications.

Chapter 3

Methodology

Using EEG electrodes placed on the prefrontal cortex, we gather EEG data that contains spikes arising from eye blinks. The unfiltered signal includes several artifacts and noise, which can interfere with accurate analysis. To address this, the signal is filtered using a Butterworth filter function. The filtered signal is then analyzed and visualized by plotting a graph of voltage against time. This process allows us to clearly identify and study the eye blink-induced spikes in the EEG data.

Overall, we have used four different user EEG datasets (Figure 3.1) with real users containing more than 2300 eye-blink waveforms which was hosted in a public domain.

Dataset	Device	Type	Users	Total	Activity
EEG-IO	OpenBCI	Involuntary	20	500	external stimulation
EEG-IM	Muse	Involuntary	20	500	external stimulation
EEG-VV	OpenBCI	Voluntary	12	750	watching video
EEG-VR	OpenBCI	Voluntary	12	600	reading article

Figure 3.1: EEG datasets collected for Blink evaluation

EEG-IO : Voluntary single eye-blinks (external stimulation was provided) and EEG was recorded for frontal electrodes (Fp1, Fp2) for 20 subjects using OpenBCI Device and BIOPAC Cap100C. One session was conducted including around 25 blinks per subject.

EEG-VV, EEG-VR : Involuntary eye-blinks (natural blinks) and EEG was recorded for frontal electrodes (Fp1, Fp2) for 12 subjects using OpenBCI Device and BIOPAC Cap100C.

The datasets are imported as Comma Separated Variables from folders EEG-IO, EEG-IM, EEG-VV and EEG-VR

3.1 Blink waveform characteristics

A typical eye-blink waveform on the frontal EEG is visually similar to a trough waveform in the voltage-time domain. Fig. 3.2 shows a snapshot of such waveform at frontal electrode position (Fp1 in this case, according to the 10-20 electrode system) referenced to the earlobe electrodes (xaxis: time-domain, y-axis: voltage-domain). The eye-blink waveform can be characterized by its (i) waveform pattern, (ii) eye-blink amplitude, and (iii) eye-blink duration. An eyeblink waveform pattern is defined as the voltage variation with time during a natural or forced eye-blink. The depth of the trough in the waveform pattern is known as the eyeblink amplitude. Eye-blink duration is simply the time taken by the user to perform the eye-blink.




Figure 3.2: A typical eye-blink waveform

The dataset sample is as in Figure 3.3 :

```
%OpenBCI Raw EEG Data
%
%Sample Rate = 250.0 Hz
%First Column = SampleIndex
%Other Columns = EEG data in microvolts followed by Accel Data (in G) interleaved with Aux Data
0, 26995.61, 16087.13, -50519.07, 15060.27, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -0.02,
1, 27001.26, 16084.29, -49271.89, 15914.58, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
2, 27006.09, 16080.00, -48993.26, 16051.73, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
3, 27004.42, 16080.96, -50234.80, 15190.20, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
4, 27003.88, 16086.64, -50722.52, 14881.23, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
5, 26994.07, 16077.30, -49549.01, 15675.50, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
6, 26990.58, 16063.48, -48941.80, 16016.77, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
7, 26994.67, 16070.08, -50041.96, 15229.25, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -0.01,
8, 26997.93, 16086.35, -50803.59, 14740.75, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
9, 26996.19, 16086.10, -49821.52, 15409.99, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
10, 26995.21, 16074.12, -48952.17, 15936.23, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, -187500.02, 0.00,
```

Figure 3.3: EEG sample data CSV

The first column refers to the index and next two columns are data from Electrodes FP1 and FP2, which are connected to pre frontal cortex.

The Comma separated value file is loaded to a python script program and only (0, 1, 2) columns are read through program.

```
# Loading data
if data_folder == 'BLINK/data/EEG-IO' or data_folder == 'BLINK/data/EEG-MB':
    data_sig = np.loadtxt(open(os.path.join(data_folder, file_sig), "rb"), delimiter=";", skiprows=1, usecols=(0, 1, 2))
elif data_folder == 'BLINK/data/EEG-VR' or data_folder == 'BLINK/data/EEG-VV':
    data_sig = np.loadtxt(open(os.path.join(data_folder, file_sig), "rb"), delimiter=",", skiprows=5, usecols=(0, 1, 2))
    data_sig = data_sig[0:(int(200*fs)+1),:]
    data_sig = data_sig[:,0:3]
    data_sig[:,0] = np.array(List(range(0, len(data_sig))))/fs
```

Figure 3.4: Loading EEG data

The provided code in Figure 3.4 reads EEG data from a specified file, processes it to focus on the first 200 seconds, and standardizes its format. First, the file is opened and read using numpy's loadtxt function, which skips the first 5 rows (typically headers) and loads only the first three columns, which likely contain the sample index and two EEG channels. The data is stored in data_sig. Next, the code restricts data_sig to the first 200 seconds of data by selecting rows up to 200 * fs (where fs is the sampling frequency, set to 250 Hz), resulting in a selection of 50001 rows (200 * 250 + 1). This ensures the data array only contains samples within the desired time frame. The code then reaffirms that only the first three columns are retained, though this step is redundant given the initial

usecols parameter. Finally, the first column of data_sig is updated to reflect time values in seconds instead of sample indices, calculated by dividing a sequence of integers (representing each sample) by the sampling frequency. This transformation prepares the data for further analysis, ensuring it is both time-referenced and within the desired duration.

Code snippet for original signal.

```
# save original signal before filtering
original_sig = data_sig.copy()

# Plot original signal
ax1.plot(original_sig[:, 0], original_sig[:, chan_id], label='Original Signal',color='blue')
```

The Graph for Unfiltered data is shown in Figure 3.5




Figure 3.5: Unfiltered EEG Eye-Blink Signal

The Unfiltered signal has artifacts(Noise) which should be filtered for better performance and operation to detect eye blinks. With a sampling frequency of 250 HZ, provide the signal to a lowpass filter function to filter the signal.

```
# Parameters and bandpass filtering
fs = 250.0
def lowpass(sig, fc, fs, butter_filt_order):
    B,A = butter(butter_filt_order, np.array(fc)/(fs/2), btype='low')
    return lfilter(B, A, sig, axis=0)
```

Figure 3.6: EEG sample data CSV

The butter() and lfilter() are the python functions of SCIPY library which are used to filter the EEG data signal.

```
# Filtering
data_sig[:, 1] = lowpass(data_sig[:, 1], 10, fs, 4)
data_sig[:, 2] = lowpass(data_sig[:, 2], 10, fs, 4)
```

Figure 3.7: Passing Unfiltered signal to lowpass Filter

The code in Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7 sets up a lowpass filter and applies it to two EEG channels in the data_sig array. The lowpass function designs a Butterworth filter of the specified order and cutoff frequency, then applies it to the input signal. By applying this filter to the EEG data, high-frequency noise and artifacts above 10 Hz are attenuated, resulting in cleaner EEG signals that are more suitable for subsequent analysis. This preprocessing step is crucial for EEG data analysis, where low-frequency brain waves (like delta, theta, alpha) are often of primary interest. Figure 3.8 shows the Graph plotted of EEG signal after filtering the signal.




Figure 3.8: Filtered Signal

Chapter 4

System Hardware

This chapter describes about hardware components required for building the system. Hardware components used are EEG Headset, HC-05, Arduino, L298N – Motor driver.

4.1 EEG Electrode/Headset :



Figure 4.1: EEG Headset

The NeuroSky MindWave (Figure 4.1) is a consumer-grade EEG headset that measures brainwave activity using a single dry sensor on the forehead. It outputs metrics like attention and meditation levels, useful for mental training and relaxation. The headset connects via Bluetooth to computers and mobile devices, and comes with an SDK for developing custom applications. Its user-friendly design and simple setup make it accessible to both beginners and advanced users. Powered by a single AAA battery, it offers several hours of continuous use.

4.2 HC-05:

The HC-05 Bluetooth module (Figure 4.2) is a popular, cost-effective module for wireless communication, based on Bluetooth 2.0 technology. It operates on a 3.3V power supply, can interface with microcontrollers via serial communication (UART), and supports both master and slave modes. Configurable via AT commands, it enables easy wireless data




Figure 4.2: HC-05 Module

exchange between devices. Its key features include a range of up to 10 meters and compatibility with various Bluetooth-enabled devices. Commonly used in Arduino and other microcontroller projects for wireless serial communication.

4.3 Arduino:



Figure 4.3: Arduino

Arduino (Figure 4.3) is an open-source electronics platform featuring microcontroller boards such as the ATmega328P. It interfaces with sensors and actuators using digital and analog I/O pins. Programmed via the Arduino IDE with a simplified C/C++ language, it facilitates rapid development and prototyping. The platform supports various shields and modules for expanded capabilities like communication, motor control, and wireless connectivity. Commonly used for automation, robotics, and IoT applications, Arduino enables both hobbyists and professionals to develop interactive electronic systems efficiently.

4.4 L298N – Motor driver:



Figure 4.4: L298N – Motor driver

The L298N Motor Driver (Figure 4.4) is a versatile dual H-bridge module that allows control of the speed and direction of two DC motors or one stepper motor. It can handle up to 2A per channel with peak currents up to 3A and operates within a voltage range of 5V to 35V. The module features control pins for motor direction and speed, and enable pins for PWM control. Built-in heat sinks ensure efficient heat dissipation, while internal protection diodes safeguard against back EMF. Its compact design makes it ideal for integration into robotics and automation projects. The L298N is a reliable choice for precise motor control and protection in various applications.

4.5 BioAmp EXG Pill:




Figure 4.5: BioAmp EXG Pill

The BioAmp EXG Pill (Figure 4.5) is a compact biopotential amplifier designed to capture high-quality electrophysiological signals such as ECG, EMG, and EEG. Its small and

lightweight design enhances portability and integration into wearable systems. It offers high-resolution signal amplification with low noise, ensuring accurate measurements. The device is easy to connect to microcontrollers and development boards, facilitating quick setup. It comes with extensive documentation and example projects, making it ideal for researchers and developers in health monitoring, sports science, and neurotechnology. Overall, the BioAmp EXG Pill is a versatile tool for capturing and analyzing biopotential signals.

Chapter 5

System Software

This chapter consists of system software description. It conveys information about the software used in the project. Algorithm and flowchart are discussed in this chapter.

5.1 Software Requirements

5.1.1 Python Environment

The project requires Python 3.11.5 installed on the system.

5.1.2 Libraries

The following Python libraries are required:

- **os**: For interacting with the operating system and handling file paths.
- **numpy**: For numerical computations and array manipulation.
- **scipy**: For signal processing operations, such as filtering.
- **matplotlib**: For data visualization and plotting graphs.
- **csv**: For reading CSV files.

The **butter** function from the **scipy.signal** module is used to design Butterworth filters. Butterworth filters are a type of signal processing filter designed to have a flat frequency response in the passband. This makes them useful in applications where a smooth, ripple-free response is desired.

The **lfilter** function from the **scipy.signal** module applies a linear filter to a signal. Given filter coefficients and an input signal, it computes the filtered signal.

pyplot is a module in the **matplotlib** library used for creating static, interactive, and animated visualizations in Python. It provides a MATLAB-like interface for plotting.

5.2 Algorithm

Step 1: Start

Step 2: Import Necessary Modules

- Import `os`
- Import `numpy` as `np`
- From `scipy.signal`, import all functions
- Import `csv`
- Import `matplotlib.pyplot` as `plt`

Step 3: Define Constants and Variables

- Set `data_folder` to `'EEG-VR'`
- Set `fs` (sampling rate) to `250.0`

Step 4: Define the Lowpass Function

- Define function `lowpass(sig, fc, fs, butter_filt_order)`

Step 5: Identify and Read Data Files

- Create `list_of_files` by listing files in `data_folder` that contain `'_data'` in their name
- Set `file_sig` to the first element of `list_of_files`
- Print Reading: `file_sig`

Step 6: Load the Data File Based on the Folder Type

- Load data using `np.loadtxt` based on `data_folder` type
- Limit `data_sig` to the first 200 seconds plus 1 sample
- Limit `data_sig` to the first 3 columns
- Set the first column of `data_sig` to a range from 0 to the length of `data_sig` divided by `fs`

Step 7: Apply Lowpass Filter to the Data

- Apply `lowpass` function to the second and third columns of `data_sig` with parameters 10, `fs`, and 4

Step 8: Visualize Data

- Plot `data_sig` column 0 against `data_sig` column `chan_id` on `ax1`
- Show the plot using `plt.show()`

Step 9: Define Eye Blink Detection function

- Create `detect_algo` function to detect eye blinks and return True on eye Blink

Step 10: use detect_algo and generate commands

- use `detect_algo` and count successive eye blinks to generate Forward or backward commands.

Step 11: Stop

5.3 Flowchart

The flowchart in Figure 5.1 demonstrates the complete flow of the project.




Figure 5.1: Flowchart

Chapter 6

Results

Chapter 7

Conclusion

Bibliography

- [1] Bohao Li, Tianshuo Cheng, Zexuan Guo, “*A review of EEG acquisition, processing and application*”, Northwestern Poly-technical University, Xi’an, Shaanxi 710129, China and Xidian University, Xi’an, Shaanxi 710126, China. DOI:10.1088/1742-6596/1907/1/012045
- [2] Gao Nuo, Zhai Wenwen, Lu Shouyin, “*Asynchronous Brain-computer Interface Intelligent Wheelchair System Based on Alpha Wave and SSVEP EEG Signals*” 2019 IEEE 4th International Conference on Signal and Image Processing. ISBN:978-1-7281-3660-8
- [3] Carla Gomez-Carrasquilla, Karol Quiros-Espinoza, Arys Carrasquilla-Batista, “*Wheelchair control through eye blinking and IoT platform*”, Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica and ACB Mecatronica S.A. Cartago, Costa Rica, ACB Mecatronica S.A. Research and Development Department Cartago, Costa Rica, Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica Mechatronics Engineering Cartago, Costa Rica. ISBN:978-1-7281-3427-7
- [4] Lizy Kanungo, Nikhil Garg, Anish Bhobe, Smit Rajguru, Veeky Baths, “*Wheelchair Automation by a Hybrid BCI System Using SSVEP and Eye Blinks*”, Accepted to 2021 IEEE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SYSTEMS, MAN, AND CYBERNETICS (SMC).
- [5] Janis Peksa, Dmytro Mamchur, “*State-of-the-Art on Brain-Computer Interface Technology*”, 2023 Jun 28;23(13):6001. DOI: 10.3390/s23136001.
- [6] J. Jin, P. Horki, C. Brunner, X.Wang, C. Neuper, “*P300 Brain-Computer Interface Design for Communication and Control Applications*”, 2005 IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology 27th Annual Conference. DOI: 10.1109/IEMBS.2005.1615703.
- [7] M.Cheng, S.Gao, and D. Xu et.al, “*Design and implementation of a brain-computer interface with high transfer rates*”, IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering (Volume: 49, Issue: 10, October 2002) DOI: 10.1109/TBME.2002.803536

- [8] B. D. Seno, M. Matteucci, and L. T. Mainardi, “*The utility metric: A novel method to assess the overall performance of discrete brain-computer interfaces*”, IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng PMID: 20064766 DOI: 10.1109/TNSRE.2009.2032642.
- [9] K. S. Ahmed, “*Wheelchair Movement Control VIA Human Eye Blinks*”, American Journal of Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 1 No. 1, 2011, pp. 55-58. DOI: 10.5923/j.ajbe.20110101.09.
- [10] Ishita Goyal, Anmol Mehta, “*Acquisition, Pre-Processing, and Feature Extraction of EEG Signals to Convert it into an Image Classification Problem*”, Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Technology, Rohini sector -22.
- [11] Atanasios Vourvopoulos, Fotis Liarokapis, “*Brain controlled NXT Robot: Teleoperating a robot through brain electrical activity*”, IEEE conference on Games and virtual worlds, 2011.
- [12] Jobby K.Chacko, Deepu OoMMEN, Kevin K.Mathew, Noble Sunny, N.Babu, “*Microcontroller based EOG guided wheelchair*”, International journal of Medical, Health, Biomedical, Bioengineering and Pharmaceutical Engineering, vol.7, no.11, 2013.
- [13] Tom Carlson, Jose Del R. Millan, “*Brain-Controlled Wheelchairs: A Robotic Architecture*”, IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine, Vol. 20(1), pp. 65 – 73, March 2013.
- [14] Yathunanthan, S. chandrasena, L.U.R, umakanthan, A., Vasuki, V, “*Implementation of Mind Control Robot*” Systems, Applications and Technology Conference(LISAT)”, IEEE, PP. 283-288, Dec. 2015.
- [15] Butt, A., Stanacevic, M. “*Controlling a wheelchair using EOG Signal*”, 2014 IEEE Lon.
- [16] L. R. Hochberg, J. P. Donoghue, “*Neural ensemble control of prosthetic devices by a human with tetraplegia*”, Nature, vol. 442, July 2006, pp. 164-171.
- [17] T. Akin, K. Najafi, and R.M. Bradley, “*A wireless implantable multi-channel digital neural recording system for a micromachined sieve electrode*”, IEEE Journal of Solid-state Circuits, vol. 33, January 1998, pp.109-118.

- [18] DC.Reddy, "*Biomedical Signal Processing: Principles and Techniques*," Mc Graw Hill, 2005 pp. 128-135.
- [19] R. R. Harrison, C. Cameron, "*A low-power low-noise CMOS amplifier for neural recording applications*," IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, June 2003, pp. 958-965.
- [20] L. Badillo, V. Ponomaryov, E. Ramos and L. Igartua, "*Low Noise Multichannel Amplifier for Portable EEG Biomedical Applications*," Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS, Cancun, Mexico, September 17-21, 2003, pp. 3309-3312.
- [21] Robert Lin, Ren-Guey Lee, Chwan-Lu Tseng, Yan-Fa Wu and Joe-Air Jiang, "*Design and Implementation of Wireless Multi-Channnel EEG Recording System and Study of EEG Clustering Method*," Biomedical.
- [22] Jobin Jose, "*Development of EOG Based Human Machine Interface Control System for Motorized Wheelchair*," Master of Technology, Department of Biotechnology and Medical Engineering National Institute of Technology Rourkela, Odisha, 769 008, India, June 2013.
- [23] Arvind Prasad, Snehal Shah, PriyankaRuparelia, AshishSawant, "*Powered Wheelchairs*," International Journal Of Scientific and Technology Research ,Vol. 2, Issue 11, pp. 162-165, November 2013
- [24] Nirmal T M, "*Wheelchair for Physically and Mentally Disabled Persons*," International Journal of Electrical and Electronics Research, Vol. 2, Issue 2, pp. 112-118, April - June 2014.
- [25] Sathishbalaji L, Bhakkiyalakshmi R, "*Electric Wheelchair Controlled by EMG Signals with Obstacle Detection*," IJEDR, Vol. 2, Issue 2, pp. 1409-1412, 2014 .
- [26] Jobby K. Chacko, Deepu Oommen, Kevin K. Mathew, Noble Sunny, N. Babu, "*Microcontroller Based EOG Guided Wheelchair*," International Journal of Medical, Health, Biomedical, Bioengineering and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Vol. 7(11), pp.423-426, 2013.

- [27] Imran Ali Mirza, Amiya Tripathy, “*Mind-Controlled Wheelchair using an EEG Headset and Arduino Microcontroller*”, 2015 International Conference on Technologies for Sustainable Development (ICTSD-2015), Feb. 04 – 06, 2015, Mumbai, India, 2015.
- [28] M. Middendorf, G. McMillan, G. Calhoun, and K. S. Jones, “*Braincomputer interfaces based on the steady-state visual-evoked response*,”, IEEE Trans. Rehabil. Eng., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 211–214, Jun. 2000, doi: 10.1109/86.847819.
- [29] A. C. F. Changpuak aka, “*Online Engineering Calculator :: Active Twin - T - Notch Filter Calculator*,”, www.changpuak.ch, Dec. 02, 2018. [Online] Available: https://www.changpuak.ch/electronics/Active_Notch_Filter.php [Accessed Jun. 28, 2019].
- [30] S. Consul-Pacareu, R. Mahajan, M. J. Abu-Saude, and B. I. Morshed, “*NeuroMonitor: a low-power, wireless, wearable EEG device with DRL-less AFE*” IET Circuits Devices Syst., vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 471–477, Sep. 2017. doi: 10.1049/iet-cds.2016.0256.
- [31] Keerthi Kumar M, Chaitra Rai, Manisha R, Priyanka C B, Syeda Saniya Anis, “*EEG Controlled Smart Wheelchair for Disabled People*” Paper ID : IJERTCONV7IS10065, Volume and Issue : NCRACES – 2019 (Volume 7, Issue 10) DOI : 10.17577/IJERT-CONV7IS10065.