Marking Criteria Sheet

| Student ID: | Student Name: | Marker: |
|------------------|---------------|---------|
| Total Marks (%): | | |

ADT Implementations (38%)

| ADT | Full marks (%) | Marks (%) |
|---------------|--|-----------|
| Job | 8 (4 methods to be implemented; 2% each method) | 8 |
| JobCollection | 15 (5 methods to be implemented; 3% each method) | 15 |
| Scheduler | 15 (3 methods to be implemented; 5% each method) | 15 |

Technical Report (62%)

| Criteria | Full marks (%) | Marks (%) |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Algorithm design | 18 (3 algorithms; 6% | |
| | each) | 5.5 |
| First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) | | 5.5 |
| Shortest Job First (SJF) | | 5.5 |
| Priority | | |
| Algorithm analysis | 18 (3 algorithms; 6% | |
| | each) | 6 |
| First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) | | 6 |
| Shortest Job First (SJF) | | 6 |
| Priority | | |
| Software test plan and test results | 24 (12 methods; 2% | |
| Software test plan and test results | each) | 13.4 |
| Presentation | 2% | 2 |

Marking Criteria

| ADT method implementation | Preconditions: Preconditions must be met before an operation can be performed on an ADT. |
|---------------------------|---|
| | Postconditions: Postconditions must hold true after an operation is performed on an ADT. Invariants: Invariants must hold true for an |
| | ADT at all times, regardless of the operations that are performed on it. |
| | Performance: The class method should be designed with performance in mind, avoiding unnecessary overhead and using efficient algorithms and data structures where possible. |
| | Behaviour: The behaviour of the class method should be consistent with its underlying algorithm, if appliable |
| Algorithm design | Use of pseudocode: Correct use of the pseudocode notations |
| | Correctness: The algorithm should be designed to solve the problem it is intended to solve, and it should produce the correct output for all possible input values. This requires careful consideration of the problem domain and an understanding of the desired outcomes. |
| | Efficiency: The algorithm should be designed to be as efficient as possible in terms of time and space complexity. This means that it should be optimized to run quickly and use minimal memory resources. |
| | Robustness: The algorithm should be designed to be robust and tolerant of unexpected inputs or errors. This means that it should handle errors gracefully, provide informative error messages, and allow for |
| | easy recovery from errors. Simplicity: The algorithm should be designed to be as simple and easy to understand as possible. This means that it should avoid unnecessary complexity or optimization that could make it difficult to understand or modify over time. |
| | Reusability: The algorithm should be designed to be reusable in different contexts or applications. This means that it should be general enough to be applied to similar |

| | problems, and modular enough to be |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| | integrated into larger systems or workflows. |
| | and a second of working was |
| Algorithm analysis | Clarity: The analysis process and results should be clear and understandable to the intended audience, whether they are researchers, developers, or other stakeholders. The analysis should use appropriate notation and terminology to facilitate understanding. Accuracy: The analysis should be accurate and free from errors or biases. This can be achieved through careful selection of input data, use of appropriate analysis methods, and careful checking of calculations and results. Interpretation: The results of the analysis should be interpreted and presented in a way that is relevant and meaningful to the intended audience. This can involve explaining the implications of the results for specific applications or providing recommendations for future research or development. Limitations: The analysis should acknowledge the limitations of the methodology and assumptions used, as well as any uncertainties or ambiguities in the results. This can help to contextualize the results and to identify areas for future research or refinement. |
| Software test plan and test results | |
| Software test plan and test results | Test coverage: The test plan should ensure that all aspects of the software system are covered by the testing, including functional requirements, non-functional requirements, and boundary cases. Test objectives: The test plan should have clear objectives that are aligned with the project goals and objectives. The test objectives should be measurable, specific, achievable, and relevant to the project. Test methods: The test plan should specify the methods to be used for testing, such as manual testing, automated testing, exploratory testing, or a combination of these methods. Test environment: The test plan should define the test environment, including the hardware, software, and network configurations, as well as any necessary test data and test cases. |

| | Test results: The test plan should document the results of testing, including any defects found, their severity, and their resolution status. The test results should be analysed to identify any trends or patterns and to inform decisions about software quality and readiness for release. |
|--------------|--|
| Presentation | Clarity: The report should be written in clear and concise language, using appropriate technical terminology and notation. Discussion and interpretation: The report should include a discussion and interpretation of the results, explaining their significance and implications in the context of the project or study objectives. The discussion should be based on sound reasoning and supported by evidence. Structure: The structure of the report should be logical and easy to follow, with clearly defined sections and headings, and should include a cover page, a table of contents and references. |