# Take Home Assignment 1

Jan Ryszkiewicz

### Task 1

### Task 2

#### subtask 1

The model presented in task can also be written as:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & X_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & X_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & X_4 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & X_{45} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_{45} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Where each column 2, 3, 4 in X is filled with 0, 1 depending on corresponding  $\alpha_{i(j)}$  And column 1 corresponds to always present  $\mu$ 

Also  $\alpha_i$  defined as:

 $\alpha_2 = \text{Temporary} - \text{Research/Academic}$  (relative to Permanent)

 $\alpha_3$  = Temporary – Private Consultant (relative to Permanent)

 $\alpha_4$  = Freelance (relative to Permanent)

We know that:

$$\begin{split} &\text{Cov}(\hat{\alpha}_2,\hat{\alpha}_3) = 22,\!000,\!000 \\ &\text{Cov}(\hat{\alpha}_2,\hat{\alpha}_4) = 20,\!000,\!000 \\ &\text{Cov}(\hat{\alpha}_3,\hat{\alpha}_4) = 21,\!000,\!000 \end{split}$$

And:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\mu}) &= 20,\!000 \\ \hat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\alpha}_2) &= 24,\!000 \\ \hat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\alpha}_3) &= 23,\!000 \\ \hat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\alpha}_4) &= 22,\!000 \end{split}$$

First we want to calculate the  $\it CL$  for  $\alpha_2-\alpha_3$  with confidence 90% Which is:

$$\begin{split} c &= [0, 1, -1, 0, 0], \\ \hat{\psi} &= c^T \hat{\beta} = \hat{\alpha}_2 - \hat{\alpha}_3, \\ \hat{\mathrm{SE}}(\hat{\psi}) &= \sqrt{cVar(\hat{\beta})c^T}, \\ &= \sqrt{Var(\hat{\alpha}_2 - \hat{\alpha}_3)} \\ &= \sqrt{Var(\hat{\alpha}_2) + Var(\hat{\alpha}_3) - 2Cov(\hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\alpha}_3)}, \\ \mathrm{CI}_{90\%} &= \hat{\psi} \pm t_{45-5, \, 1-0.10/2} \cdot \hat{\mathrm{SE}}(\hat{\psi}) \end{split}$$

That then by substitution becomes:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\psi} &= -40,000 - (-10,000) \\ &= -30,000 \\ \hat{\mathrm{SE}}(\hat{\psi}) &= \sqrt{(24,000)^2 + (23,000)^2 - 2 \cdot 22,000,000} \\ &\approx 32,573 \\ t_{40,\,(1-0.10)/2} &\approx 1.68385 \\ \mathrm{CI}_{90\%} &= \hat{\psi} \pm t_{45-5,\,1-0.10/2} \cdot \hat{\mathrm{SE}}(\hat{\psi}) \\ \mathrm{CI}_{90\%} &= -30,000 \pm 1.68385 \cdot 32,573 \\ &= -30,000 \pm 54848 \end{split}$$

Therefore the Confidence interval is:

$$CI_{90\%} = [-84,848, 24,848]$$

That concludes the first subtask of Task 2.

#### subtask 2

Next we want to look for statistical evidence for:  $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_3$ 

Therefore we perform One Sided Hyphotesis Test on:

$$H_0 = \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 > 0$$
 
$$H_a = \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 \le 0$$

 ${\cal H}_0$  - temporary researchers are earning  ${\bf more}$  than temporary private consultants

 $H_a$  - the opposite

We are using the same  $\hat{\psi}$  from previous subtask:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\psi} &= \hat{\alpha}_2 - \hat{\alpha}_3 \\ T &= \frac{(\hat{\alpha}_2 - \hat{\alpha}_3) - 0}{\hat{\text{SE}}(\hat{\psi})} \\ &= \frac{-30,000}{32,573} \\ &\approx -0.921 \end{split}$$

Then:

$$qt(0.05, df = 40)$$

[1] -1.683851

$$-0.921 > -1.683851$$
 
$$T > t_{40,\,0.05}$$

## We cannot reject $H_0$

Therefore there is not enough statistical evidence that temporary researchers are earning less than temporary private consultants.

## Task 3

We have  $x_i \in [-2,2]$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n.$  The X and  $\beta$  matrices are as follows:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  is

$$\mathrm{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(X^\top X)^{-1} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum_i x_i \\ \sum_i x_i & \sum_i x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Following the inverse this becomes

$$\mathrm{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i x_i^2 & -\sum_i x_i \\ -\sum_i x_i & n \end{bmatrix}.$$

And then, variance of the slope estimate  $(\hat{\beta}_1)$  is:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta_1}) = \frac{\sigma^2 n}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2}$$

Which further reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum_i x_i)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2 - \frac{2}{n} (\sum_i x_i)^2 + \frac{1}{n} (\sum_i x_i)^2} = \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2 - 2 \sum_i x_i \cdot \bar{x} + n \bar{x}^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \end{aligned}$$

We want to minimize the  $\mathrm{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$ . Which can be done by maximizing  $\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2$  as  $\sigma^2$  is constant. This can be achieved by spreading  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  as much as possible that will ideally set  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (x_i) = 0$ 

We know that:

$$x_i \in [-2,2], \quad \forall i=1,\dots,n$$

Then we can choose:

- $x_1, \dots, x_{n/2} = -2$
- $x_{n/2+1}, \dots, x_n = 2$

By that we minimize  $\mathrm{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)$  now equal to:

$$\mathrm{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (\pm 2 - 0)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{4n}$$

And we achive maximum possible precission Q.E.D.

# Task 4

Only for Master's