

One-Way ANOVA for Feature Selection: A Simple Explanation

What is One-Way ANOVA?

One-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) is a statistical test used to check if there are significant differences in the average values (means) of a numeric variable across three or more groups defined by a categorical variable^{[1] [2] [3]}.

How Does This Relate to Feature Selection?

In feature selection, we want to find out which features (variables) are useful for distinguishing between different groups (for example, different classes in a classification problem). One-way ANOVA helps us do this by checking if the values of a feature are different enough across the groups^[4].

Very Simple Step-by-Step Explanation

- Imagine you have a dataset with several features (like height, weight, age, etc.) and a target variable with categories (like "disease" vs. "no disease").
- For each feature, you use one-way ANOVA to compare the average values of that feature across the different groups of the target variable.
- ANOVA calculates two types of variation:
 - **Between-group variation:** How much the feature's average values differ between the groups.
 - **Within-group variation:** How much the feature's values vary inside each group^[4].
- ANOVA gives you an **F-score** for each feature:
 - If the F-score is **high**, it means the feature's values are very different between groups (good for distinguishing groups).
 - If the F-score is **low**, the feature's values are similar across groups (not useful for distinguishing groups)^[4].
- You can use the F-score (and its p-value) to decide if a feature is important. Features with high F-scores are more likely to help your model tell the groups apart^[4].

In Short:

One-way ANOVA helps you pick features by checking if their average values are different enough between groups. If a feature shows big differences between groups, it's likely a good feature for your model^[4].

"If the within group variation is high and the between group variation is less, then that feature is not important. Whereas if the within group variation is less and the between group variation is high, then that feature is important... In ANOVA, we calculate between group variance and we divide it by within group variance. If the F value is high, then that feature is important." [4]

✱

Clarifying the Key Point: Rejecting the Null Hypothesis

Your statement needs a small correction:

If the null hypothesis is **rejected**, it means the feature **IS correlated** to the output (target variable).

If the null hypothesis is **NOT rejected**, the feature is **NOT correlated**.

Why?

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** "The average values of this feature are the same across all groups."
- **Rejecting H_0 :** Statistically significant differences exist between groups → **This feature helps distinguish groups** (good for your model).
- **Failing to reject H_0 :** No meaningful differences → **This feature is irrelevant** (useless for your model).

Example:

Imagine predicting "**disease vs. no disease**" using features like blood pressure, cholesterol, and shoe size.

- **ANOVA on blood pressure:** Rejects H_0 → Blood pressure differs significantly between groups → **Important feature!**
- **ANOVA on shoe size:** Fails to reject H_0 → Shoe size is similar in both groups → **Drop this feature.**

In 10 Seconds:

▣ **Keep features** where ANOVA says: "Groups are different!" (reject H_0).

✕ **Remove features** where ANOVA says: "Groups look the same" (fail to reject H_0).

"ANOVA acts like a filter: it tosses out features that don't vary meaningfully between groups." 😊

One-Way ANOVA for Feature Selection: The Simplest Guide

What is One-Way ANOVA?

One-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) is a statistical test that helps you find out if the average values (means) of a numeric feature are **different across multiple groups** defined by a categorical variable (like "disease" vs. "no disease").

How Does ANOVA Help in Feature Selection?

When building machine learning models, you want to choose features that **help distinguish** between your target groups. ANOVA helps you decide which features are useful by checking if their values are **significantly different** between groups.

Simple Step-by-Step Process

1. **Pick a feature** (for example, "blood pressure").
2. **Use ANOVA** to compare the average values of this feature across your groups (like "disease" and "no disease").
3. ANOVA calculates:
 - **Between-group variation:** How much the feature's averages differ between groups.
 - **Within-group variation:** How much the feature's values vary inside each group.
4. ANOVA gives an **F-score**:
 - **High F-score:** Feature values are very different between groups (good feature).
 - **Low F-score:** Feature values are similar across groups (not useful).
5. ANOVA also gives a **p-value** to help you decide if the differences are statistically significant.

Understanding the Null Hypothesis

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** "This feature's average values are the same across all groups."
- **If you reject H_0 (p-value is low):**
 - The feature **IS correlated** with the output-it helps separate the groups.
 - **Keep this feature!**
- **If you fail to reject H_0 (p-value is high):**
 - The feature is **NOT correlated**-it does not help distinguish the groups.
 - **Drop this feature.**

Quick Example

Suppose you're predicting "disease" vs. "no disease":

- **Blood pressure:** ANOVA rejects H_0 (means are different) → **Important feature!**
- **Shoe size:** ANOVA fails to reject H_0 (means are similar) → **Not useful-drop it!**

In a Nutshell

Use ANOVA to filter features:

- **Keep** features where ANOVA says "Groups are different!" (reject H_0).
- **Remove** features where ANOVA says "Groups look the same" (fail to reject H_0).

ANOVA acts like a smart filter, helping you keep only the features that truly matter for your model. 😊

"If the within group variation is high and the between group variation is less, then that feature is not important. Whereas if the within group variation is less and the between group variation is high, then that feature is important... In ANOVA, we calculate between group variance and we divide it by within group variance. If the F value is high, then that feature is important."

you forgot this line

Thank you for pointing that out! Here's your beautifully merged and complete explanation, including that important line:

One-Way ANOVA for Feature Selection: The Simplest Guide

What is One-Way ANOVA?

One-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) is a statistical test that helps you check if the **average values** (means) of a numeric feature are **different across multiple groups** defined by a categorical variable (like "disease" vs. "no disease").

How Does ANOVA Help in Feature Selection?

When building machine learning models, you want features that **help distinguish** between your target groups. ANOVA helps you decide which features are useful by checking if their values are **significantly different** between groups.

Simple Step-by-Step Process

1. **Pick a feature** (for example, "blood pressure").
2. **Use ANOVA** to compare the average values of this feature across your groups (like "disease" and "no disease").
3. ANOVA calculates:
 - **Between-group variation:** How much the feature's averages differ between groups.
 - **Within-group variation:** How much the feature's values vary inside each group.
4. **Important Principle:**
 - If the **within-group variation is high** and the **between-group variation is low**, then that feature is **not important**.
 - If the **within-group variation is low** and the **between-group variation is high**, then that feature is **important**.
5. In ANOVA, we calculate the **F-value** by dividing the between-group variance by the within-group variance:
 - **High F-value:** The feature's values are very different between groups (good feature to keep).
 - **Low F-value:** The feature's values are similar across groups (not useful).
6. ANOVA also gives a **p-value** to help you decide if the differences are statistically significant.

Understanding the Null Hypothesis

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0):** "This feature's average values are the same across all groups."
- **If you reject H_0 (p-value is low):**
 - The feature **IS correlated** with the output-it helps separate the groups.
 - **Keep this feature!**
- **If you fail to reject H_0 (p-value is high):**
 - The feature is **NOT correlated**-it does not help distinguish the groups.
 - **Drop this feature.**

Quick Example

Suppose you're predicting "disease" vs. "no disease":

- **Blood pressure:** ANOVA rejects H_0 (means are different, high F-value) → **Important feature!**
- **Shoe size:** ANOVA fails to reject H_0 (means are similar, low F-value) → **Not useful-drop it!**

In a Nutshell

Use ANOVA to filter features:

- **Keep** features with a **high F-value** (high between-group variation, low within-group variation) where ANOVA says "Groups are different!" (reject H_0).
- **Remove** features with a **low F-value** (low between-group variation, high within-group variation) where ANOVA says "Groups look the same" (fail to reject H_0).

ANOVA acts like a smart filter, helping you keep only the features that truly matter for your model. 😊

Suppose we want to check the multicollinearity using this test. If we fail to reject the null hypothesis then multicollinearity exists. which is harmful for linear modeling?

Great question! However, **one-way ANOVA is not used to check for multicollinearity**. Let's clarify this with a simple explanation:

ANOVA and Multicollinearity: Are They Related?

What is Multicollinearity?

- **Multicollinearity** happens when two or more input features (independent variables) in your dataset are highly correlated with each other.
- This is a problem in linear modeling (like linear regression) because it can make your model unstable and the coefficients unreliable.

What Does ANOVA Test?

- **One-way ANOVA** checks if a single numeric feature is significantly different across groups defined by a categorical variable (the target).
- It does **not** check how features relate to each other.

Can ANOVA Detect Multicollinearity?

- **No.** ANOVA does **not** test for multicollinearity.
- **Failing to reject the null hypothesis in ANOVA** only tells you that a feature is not significantly different across groups (so it's not useful for distinguishing the target).
- It does **not** tell you anything about whether two features are correlated with each other.

How Do You Actually Check for Multicollinearity?

To check for multicollinearity, you should use methods like:

- **Correlation matrix:** See if features are highly correlated with each other.
- **Variance Inflation Factor (VIF):** A high VIF (usually above 5 or 10) indicates multicollinearity.

In Summary

- **ANOVA is not for multicollinearity.**
- **Failing to reject the null hypothesis in ANOVA does NOT mean multicollinearity exists.**
- To detect multicollinearity, use a **correlation matrix** or **VIF**, not ANOVA.

Tip:

If you want to check if your features are too similar to each other (multicollinearity), use VIF or a correlation matrix-not ANOVA!

ANOVA is for feature selection based on group differences, not for checking relationships between features.

Let me know if you'd like an example of how to check for multicollinearity!

What Is a Post Hoc Test? (Simple Explanation)

A **post hoc test** is a statistical procedure used **after** an ANOVA test finds a significant difference among group means. While ANOVA tells you that at least one group is different, it does **not** tell you *which* groups are different. Post hoc tests help you find out exactly **which pairs or groups have significant differences** in their means^{[5] [6] [7] [8]}.

Why Are Post Hoc Tests Needed?

- ANOVA compares three or more groups and only tells you if *any* difference exists.
- If you want to know *which* specific groups are different from each other, you need to do further testing.
- Simply running lots of t-tests between all pairs increases the risk of false positives (Type I error).

- **Post hoc tests** solve this by adjusting for multiple comparisons, controlling the overall error rate^{[5] [9] [8]}.

How Do Post Hoc Tests Work?

- After a significant ANOVA result, you choose a post hoc test (like Tukey, Bonferroni, or Scheffé).
- The test compares all possible pairs (or sets) of group means.
- It adjusts the significance levels so you don't get misleading results from doing many comparisons^{[5] [9] [8]}.
- The result tells you which specific groups are significantly different from each other.

Common Post Hoc Tests

- **Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD)**
- **Bonferroni correction**
- **Scheffé's test**
- **Newman-Keuls test**
- **Holm-Bonferroni procedure**^{[10] [6] [11]}

In a Nutshell

Post hoc tests are used after ANOVA finds a difference, to pinpoint exactly which groups differ, while protecting against false positives from multiple testing.

They are essential for interpreting ANOVA results when you have more than two groups and need to know the details of group differences^{[5] [6] [7] [8]}.

✱

take a sample t statistic values between A-B, B-C and A-C and tell me which category's mean is different from the others?

To determine **which category's mean is different from the others** using sample t-statistic values for A-B, B-C, and A-C, you compare each t-statistic to its critical value (from the t-distribution, based on your significance level and degrees of freedom)^{[12] [13]}.

- **If the absolute value of the t-statistic for a pair (e.g., A-B) is greater than the critical value**, you reject the null hypothesis for that pair, meaning the means of those two groups are significantly different.

- **If the t-statistic is less than the critical value**, you fail to reject the null hypothesis, meaning there's no significant difference between those two groups' means.

Example Interpretation

Suppose your t-statistics are:

- A-B: 3.0
- B-C: 0.5
- A-C: 2.8

Assume the critical value for your test (based on degrees of freedom and significance level, usually around 2.0 for $\alpha=0.05$ and moderate sample size):

- **A-B (3.0 > 2.0)**: Significant difference between A and B.
- **B-C (0.5 < 2.0)**: No significant difference between B and C.
- **A-C (2.8 > 2.0)**: Significant difference between A and C.

Conclusion:

- **A** is significantly different from both **B** and **C**.
- **B** and **C** are not significantly different from each other.

So, **A's mean is different from the others**, while B and C are similar^{[12] [13] [14]}.

The t-statistic tells you how big the difference between group means is relative to the variability within the groups. If it exceeds the critical value, the groups are significantly different^[12].

✱

Bonferroni Correction Explained (In Simple Terms)

The **Bonferroni correction** is a statistical method used when you perform multiple hypothesis tests at the same time. Its purpose is to **reduce the chance of getting false positives** (Type I errors) that can happen just by luck when you run many tests^{[15] [16] [17]}.

Why Do We Need It?

- When you test several hypotheses, the chance of finding at least one "significant" result by accident increases.
- For example, if you run 20 tests with a 5% significance level ($\alpha = 0.05$), the chance of getting at least one false positive is much higher than 5%^{[15] [18] [19]}.

How Does It Work?

- The Bonferroni correction makes it harder for each individual test to be called "significant."
- **You simply divide your original significance level (α) by the number of tests (n):**
 - New significance level for each test = α / n ^{[15] [20] [18]}.
- For example, if you want an overall $\alpha = 0.05$ and you do 5 tests:
 - New threshold for each test = $0.05 / 5 = 0.01$.
 - Only p-values less than 0.01 are considered significant.

Example

Suppose you test 4 different drugs and want to keep your overall error rate at 5%:

- Bonferroni correction: $0.05 / 4 = 0.0125$.
- Only results with p-values below 0.0125 are considered truly significant.

Key Points

- **Bonferroni correction helps control the overall risk of false positives when doing many tests** ^{[15] [17] [19]}.
- It is **easy to calculate and apply**.
- It is **conservative**: it may make it harder to find true positives, especially if you have many tests (increases the risk of missing real effects) ^[19].

In a nutshell:

The Bonferroni correction protects you from false discoveries when running many tests by making the criteria for significance stricter-just divide your α by the number of tests!



1. <https://libguides.library.kent.edu/spss/onewayanova>
2. <https://www.scribbr.com/statistics/one-way-anova/>
3. https://www.jmp.com/en_in/statistics-knowledge-portal/one-way-anova.html
4. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tRqUNwEY63Y>
5. <https://statisticsbyjim.com/anova/post-hoc-tests-anova/>
6. <https://www.cwauthors.com/article/significance-and-use-of-post-hoc-analysis-studies>
7. <https://mindthegraph.com/blog/post-hoc-testing-anova/>
8. <https://www.statology.org/anova-post-hoc-tests/>
9. [https://stats.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Applied_Statistics/Mikes_Biostatistics_Book_\(Dohm\)/12:_One-way_Analysis_of_Variance/12.6:_ANOVA_post-hoc_tests](https://stats.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Applied_Statistics/Mikes_Biostatistics_Book_(Dohm)/12:_One-way_Analysis_of_Variance/12.6:_ANOVA_post-hoc_tests)
10. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Post_hoc_analysis
11. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22420233/>

12. <https://www.statsig.com/perspectives/interpret-t-statistic-hypothesis-testing>
13. <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/t/t-test.asp>
14. <https://www.scribbr.com/statistics/t-test/>
15. [https://docs.ufpr.br/~giolo/LivroADC/Material/S3_Bonferroni Correction.pdf](https://docs.ufpr.br/~giolo/LivroADC/Material/S3_Bonferroni%20Correction.pdf)
16. <https://amplitude.com/explore/experiment/what-is-bonferroni-correction>
17. <https://statisticsbyjim.com/hypothesis-testing/bonferroni-correction/>
18. <https://www.statisticshowto.com/probability-and-statistics/statistics-definitions/post-hoc/>
19. <https://www.statsig.com/glossary/bonferroni-correction>
20. <https://www.statology.org/bonferroni-correction/>