Shrishti Maheshwari
AU2020137

Lab Report- 6

Introduction:

Delay discounting refers to people's preference for immediate benefits over delayed rewards, even if the delayed incentive is bigger. This inclination is typically nonadaptive when making decisions that span numerous time periods; for example, one may decide to jeopardize their health now in order to enjoy the pleasure of fatty meals straight immediately. Although most people postpone discounting to some extent, the pace at which they discount future benefits varies substantially between persons and even between contexts. Many studies have demonstrated, for example, that individuals who use drugs discount more than those who do not, and that people from Western cultures discount more than people from Eastern cultures. Contextual impacts on delay discounting rate include gaming context in compulsive gamblers and episodic future thinking in healthy individuals.
A few research studies have begun to investigate how stress may influence judgments about accepting delayed or unclear rewards. It has been shown that improper delay discounting is linked to drug addiction, problematic gambling, obesity, and risky behavior. Delay discounting is a behavioral indicator of irrational decision-making. Delay discounting is a common occurrence. Persons "discount" the value of delayed repercussions in comparison to immediate ones in general, but impulsive people have a stronger inclination to do so.

Method

The member is positioned in front of the screen at the visual perception level, and their gaze is directed to an obsession point (i.e., a cross) in the screen show's focal point. Following the fixation, the option to select whether to get the monetary incentives now or on another day emerges. The subject's goal is to assess whether the incentive is desirable now or later by hitting the corresponding keyboard key. For example, if the prize is worthwhile today, the participant must push "t," otherwise "l." After saving this data in Excel, we must calculate the value of "k."

Result

The outcome measure is the k-value; this is the individual discount rate that participants use to depreciate incentives for being late. If the k-value is high, the person is more inclined to act rashly. The replies to the questions were processed using an Excel spreadsheet prepared expressly for this purpose. Because discount rates are skewed measurements, the geometric means of k were log-transformed.
The K value (geomean) for this experiment is = 0.0156636214098661

Discussion

For the individual who chooses to get the prize today, the value of k will be bigger than the value derived from k=((A/V)-1)/D, however, the value of k will be lower than the value generated from k=((A/V)-1)/D. (geomean). People typically select between immediate and long-term rewards or costs while making decisions. The greater the K score, the more the individual is impulsive and discounts the future. In this case, A represents the future reward, V represents the present reward, and D indicates the delay. People may opt to wait for a higher delayed advantage for a number of reasons, while others may choose the lesser immediate gain. Interindividual variability in delay discounting (DD), as well as variance among individuals in the short-term vs long-term reward trade-off, have been shown to be relatively stable. There is a link between significant health and disorder outcomes and how much people discount the value of delayed rewards: the more you discount, the more harmful or terrible decisions you make. Individual variations in delay, probability, effort, and social discounting, all of which are related to behavioral impulsivity, are measured by delay discounting. It helps people comprehend how different people are.

Delay discounting is a common behavioral measure of impulsive decision-making. According to a number of studies, individual variations in discounting are domain-specific. Individuals who discount one sort of delayed reward more highly than others do not invariably discount other types of delayed rewards more heavily. For example, there is no correlation between the rates of discounting money and health advantages.

Github Link: https://github.com/ShrishtiMaheshwarii/tutorial-6.git