Simplify the following Eggical expression using logical 1) .. equivalences: (PAQ)V(~PAQ)

Step-by-Step Simplification :-

Factor Out (Distributive Property): (PAQ) V(~PAQ)

= Q (pv~p)

simplify PV~P (Law of excluded middle): P V ~P = T

Substitute T into the expression:

OAT

Simplify QAT (Identity law): 4

QAT =Q

Final simplified Expression:

2) show that (p->Q) (~pvQ) is a tautology using

a truth table

-	u	LIGIN	Lordic.			
→	P	1.0.	· ~p	p->0	~PAQ	(p->0) (~pva)
	T	T	F	T	17	T
	T	F	F	F/	F	T
	F	7	Т.	7	T	To a To a
	F	F	T	/T	3 T	a T

The expression (p-)0) (~pv0) is a tautology because it is true for all combinations of P and Q 3) Prove or disprove: (PVO) 1 (~PV-0) is a

	cor	Itra	diction	n				
L	p	0	~ p	~0	PVO	~PV~0	(PV@) 1 (~PV~0)	
I	Т	T	F	F	T	· F	F	
	T	E	F	T	T	T	T	
1	E	T	T	F	T	To Table	Τ.,	
1	7	1	T	T	E	T	F	

Hence, from the above table, the expression $(PVQ)\Lambda(\sim PV\sim Q)$ is not a contradiction because it is true for some values of P and Q.

4) Define a relation R on the set $A = \{1,2,3\}$ as $R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (1,2)\}$

· Is R reflexive? why or why not?

· Is R symmetric? why or why not?

. Is R transitive? Why or why not?

 \rightarrow set $A = \{1,2,3\}$ and relation $R = \{(1,1),(2,2),(3,3),(1,2)\}$.

A relation R on a set A is reflexive, it for every a E A, (a, a) E R.

Here, A = &1,2,33

The pairs (1;1), (2,2) and (3,3) are all in R. .. (a, a) E R, the relation is reflexive

A relation R on a set A is symmetric, if

(a,b) \(\in \mathbb{R}(b, a) \(\in \mathbb{R}. \)

Here, (1,2) (R, but (2,1) & R

Relation R is not symmetric

A relation R on a set A is transitive, if

(a,b) CR and (b,c) CR, then (a,c) CR

Here:

- (1,2) C-R, but there is no (2, c) C-R for any c.
 So, no violation of transitivity occurs
- For all Other pairs, there are no combinations
 that violate the transitive property.

 R is transitive
- :) Here the R is reflexive, transitive but not symmetric.
 :. It is not equivalence relation.
- 5) Let A = {1,2,3,4} and define R = {(1,1),(2,2),(3,3), (4,4),(1,2),(2,1)}. Is Ran equivalence relation?

 Why or why not?
- -> To determine if R is an equivalence relation, we check if it satisfies the properties of reflexibity, symmetry, and transitivity.

set $A = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $R = \{(1,1)(2,2),(3,3),(4,4),(1,2)\}$ (2,1) $\{3,4\}$

A relation R on a set A is reflexive if, for every af A. (a,a) & R.

Here, A={1,2,3,43}.

The pairs (1,1),(2,2),(3,3),(4,4) are all in R.

R is reflexive.

A relation R: on a set A is symmetric, 16 (a,b) (RCb,a)
Here: (1,2) (R and (2,1) (R)

.: Ris symmetric

A relation R on a set A is transitive if, (a,b) ER and (1,c) ER, then (a,c) ER.

Here (1,2) ER, (2,1) ER.

: (1,1) ER is true.

=> Here, R is reflexive, symmetric and transitive .: Relation R is an equivalence relation.

6). Prove by induction that 2">n for all integers.

→ Let r(n): 2n >n for all integers n >1

- Forn=1

L.H. 5 = 27 = 21 = 1

R H.S = n = 1

- 51nce 2 > 1 L.H.S. > R.H.S

clearly, 21>1

: P(n) is true for n=1

7) Prove that 3n ton is divisible by 5 for all n > 1 > Step 1: Base Case

Test if 2n+2n is divisible by 5 for n=1

31 +21 = 3+2=5

clearly, 5 is divisible by 5.

Thus, the base case holds ..

Step 2: Inductive Hypothesis

Assume that 3ktak is divisible by 5 sor n=k, i.e., 3ktak = 5m for some integer m.

Step 3: Prove for n = K+1

we need to show that 3k+1+2k+1 is divisible by 5. 3 Kt1 + 2 K+1 = 3.3 K + 2.2 K

From the inductive hypothesis, 3k+2 k = 5m. Let's simplify 3k+1 + 2k+1.1.5:

1. Modylo Properties:

· 3 = -2 (mod 5)

· 2.2 k remains 2 k (mod 5)

2 Substituting values:

3K+1 +2 K+1 = (3.3 K) + (2.2 K) . 1

Modulo 5, since 3 + + 2 k = 0 cmod 5);

we conclude:

3k+1 +2 k+1 =0 (mod 5)

Thus, 3k+1 + 2k+1 is divisible by 5.

- By induction, we proved "3" + 2" is divisible by 5 for all n > 1."
- Prove that n(n2+5) is divisible by 6 for all integers n using PMI.

P(n) = n(n2+5) is divisible by 6.

So, substituting different values for n, ar get, P(0) = 0(02+5) = 0 which is divisible by 6.

1(1) = 1(12+5) = 6 which is divisible by 6

P(9) = 9 (92+5) = 18 which is divisible by 6.

P(3) = 3(32+5) = 42 which is divisible by 6.

Let PCk) = K(k2+5) be divisible by 6.

so, we get.

=> K (K2+5)=60C

Now, we also get that,

=> P(k+1) = (k+1)(k+1)^2+5) = (k+1)(k^2+2k+6)

- k^3 + 3k^2 + 8k+6

= 6x + 3k^2 + 3k + 6

= 6x + 3k(k+1) + 6 [n(n+1) is always even and divisible by 2]

= 6x + 3 x 2y + 6 which is divisible by 6

=> P(k+1) is true when p(k) is true

Therefore, by mathematical Induction,

"P(n) = n(n^2+5) is divisible by 6, for each natural number n

->

Should Should