

## Proposal to Bachelor's thesis

Auto-generation of business process models using natural language processing

**Shuaiwei Yu**

Thesis for the Attainment of the Degree  
**Bachelor of Science**

at the TUM School of Computation, Information and Technology,  
Department of Computer Science,  
Chair of Information Systems and Business Process Management (i17)

**Examiner**

Prof. Dr. Stefanie Rinderle-Ma

**Supervised by**

Catherine Sai, M. Sc.


**Submitted by**

Shuaiwei Yu

Matriculation Number: 03741718

**Submitted on**

15.04.2023



# Contents

<b>Motivation</b>	<b>4</b>
Research Questions . . . . .	5
<b>Research Methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
Problem centered approach . . . . .	6
Problem Identification And Motivation . . . . .	6
Objectives of a solution . . . . .	6
Design & development . . . . .	6
Demonstration . . . . .	7
Evaluation . . . . .	7
Communication . . . . .	7
<b>Related Work</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Method/Approach (theoretical)</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Application (practical)</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Time Plan</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>17</b>

## List of Tables

1	Overview of Systematic Literature Review Protocol . . . . .	9
---	---	---

## List of Figures

1	Design Science Research Process . . . . .	8
2	Overview of pre-processing . . . . .	11
3	Overview of processing . . . . .	12
4	Overview of post-processing . . . . .	13

5	Logical partition of approach's functions . . . . .	14
6	Gantt chart of project implementation . . . . .	16

## Motivation

Business processes are fundamental elements for companies and organizations. They aggregate all the tasks, activities, and timelines involved in companies' workflow whose aim is to provide business or to create value [3]. Business Process Modeling Notation, also known as BPMN is a modeling language describing such workflows by using graphical notations and thus provides an easily understandable overview of the operations performed in the organization for all business users [12].

Due to the importance of Business processes, leveraging the BPMN techniques can positively affect an organization's performance and thus increase its productivity. However, not everyone is familiar with the BPMN designing techniques. Consequently, managers, along with other process participants prefer using natural language to define business processes. As a result, organizations usually have a large amount of information stored as text documents [3]. There is a need to translate text documents into the process model regarding such a situation. However, process modeling is not a simple task, but is time-consuming and experts with professional knowledge are required.

Over the past years, the development of AI techniques brought solutions to many technical difficulties. Natural Language Processing (NLP), as one of the AI's branches, could possibly address the problem of the difficulties in process modeling. Natural Language Processing is an interdisciplinary discipline focusing on the study of algorithms that enable the computer to understand and process the human language[15]. During the understanding and processing of the natural language text, NLP performs three types of analysis: Firstly, morphological analysis is performed, which analyze the structure of words. The syntactic analysis then explores the grammar relationship between words in sentences, deciding which grammar category the word belongs to. Finally, semantic analysis is executed, which leverages the afore analyses to define the meaning of the text based on the knowledge of sentence structure and the relationship between words [3].

The unique features of the NLP technique make it very suitable for exploiting information from the text documents that record the firm's business process and then analyzing the data to generate the process models automatically. This paper serves as a proposal to suggest using NLP

to extract the information from text written in nature language and automatically generate the corresponding business model.

### Research Questions

The main research question (**RQ**) is formulated as: *"How can business process models be generated from regulatory documents automatically using the Nature language processing technique?"*. To better answer the main research question, three embedded aspects can be revealed: **RQ1**: *"which NLP methods can be used to extract information?"*; **RQ2**: *"How can the extracted information be analyzed and composed to generate business process models?"* and finally **RQ3**: *"How does the proposed approach perform with different kinds of input documents?"*

Currently, there exist various tools, libraries, and dependencies for NLP. Therefore, the first research question **RQ1** tries to figure out which methods are the most suitable ones to use to extract information from regulatory documents. The methods should be able to separate sentences, label each word in a sentence with corresponding syntactical tags, and analyze the grammatical relationships between words. By doing so, we are able to explore the information hidden behind the natural language and thus use them for further operation. In the next step, **RQ2** explores how to use syntactical and grammatical information to determine events of business processes, identify the conditional restraints ("and" or "or"), and the sequential orders of business processes. Once such information is acquired, an algorithm should be developed to combine all the business processes in a logical order. In the end, the composed process model should be well visualized. The last research question **RQ3** tries to discover the adaptability of the proposed model: How well does the method perform with the document other than the regulatory document? Does the accuracy of the outcome decrease with other kinds of documents? A sets of different input documents will be prepared and a corresponding benchmark will be performed.

## Research Methodology

Design science is a paradigm of real-world problem-solving by creating innovative artifacts. Therefore, Design science research tightly connected the IT artifact with the application domain. Furthermore, the need and desire to improve the current environment and methods motivate Design

science research and therefore requires innovative artifacts to address such problems [9]. We adopted the research methodology of [13] here and followed the research process model given in their work.

### **Problem centered approach**

Although some work in the current field was done, we wanted to develop better tools to automatically extract the business process model for the broad audience of end users, i.e., users within a business organization with little knowledge about business process modeling or underlying technologies. Such motivation provides us with an opportunity to work on creating the tool mentioned above. This problem-centered approach leads us to the first step of the research process, according to [13].

### **Problem Identification And Motivation**

Modeling business processes requires experts with relevant knowledge and can be exhausting and time-consuming. Thus, small companies usually cannot afford such experts and business managers usually prefer to describe processes using natural language. As a result, an organization usually process a large amount of text documents [3]. However, the business process provides an intuitional overview of the business process and can potentially increase a company's productivity.

### **Objectives of a solution**

Our objective is to create an easy-to-use tool that uses the Nature language processing technology to automatically extract information from organizations' regulatory documents and generate business process models.

### **Design & development**

The development of the new artifact adopted the critical success chain (CSC) method, which uses literature to support and consolidate the conceptual basis of the artifact designing [13]. We addressed the issues and the needs identified earlier, such as how to find a proper tool to extract information from regulatory documents or how to process such information to generate a BPMN model. We conducted a literature review and used the helpful information from the selected papers to combine their ideas and develop our own artifact. The intended artifact is to develop a prototype that leverages the NLP technique to automatically extract business process models from regulatory documents.

## Demonstration

In the demonstration activity, we want to illustrate how we can use our new artifact to solve instances of problem [4]. We plan to implement a web-based front-end so that customers can use our artifact rather easily, even if they have no knowledge of programming. The customer can enter the textual description which is a regulatory document into an input field on the website and click a button to send the request to convert the text to the BPMN model. Then the regulatory document will be sent to the backend and processed there. Finally, the customer will be able to see a BPMN model presented in an image on the website.

## Evaluation


The evaluation phase is vital in the design of an artifact. The evaluation examines how well the designed artifact solves the problem, which involves comparing the actual output of the problem and the output generated by the artifact [4]. The evaluation is divided into qualitative and quantitative parts. In the qualitative evaluation, we want to explore the strengths and limitations of our approach, and we will communicate with the BPMN modeling experts to check the model compliance of our generated BPMN model. In quantitative evaluation, we will numerically identify the accuracy of our approach. We intended to first manually generate business process models using several different kinds of documents. In the next step, we will use our artifact with the same documents to automatically generate BPMN models. Then we will compare and compute the similarity of these two models to determine the quality of our artifact. [7] suggests that the metric of *Graph Edit Distance* can be leveraged to achieve such goal. Another aspect of the quantitative aspect is to benchmark our approach with the previous work. We will look into our approach's accuracy and program execution time compared to the previous work. Based on the evaluation results, we will decide whether to iterate back the step three to modify and improve the artifact if the outcome is not very promising or to continue to the communication phase. Further improvement is also possible to be left to the subsequent projects.

## Communication

To ensure the delivery of the desired artifact, every aspect of the problem and the design of the artifact will be communicated and discussed with the relevant stakeholders [4]. Since this is a bachelor's thesis, the primary contact is the author's advisor. Furthermore, we will also seek advice

and suggestions from Prof. Dr. Stefanie Rinderle-Ma and the corresponding Chair of Information Systems and Business Process Management (i17).

**Figure 1**  
*Design Science Research Process*



Overview of the research and artifact design steps for research methodology

## Related Work

In order to learn the current state-of-the-art methods of auto-generating business process models and thus answer the research question comprehensively, a systematic literature review must be performed so that we can learn what kind of efforts are made as well as what are the most preferred techniques and what open challenges exist. The literature review is conducted under the guidance of Kitchenham et al. given in their paper [11]. The work consists of several stages: Firstly, the electronic database used to run the search is chosen. Then the selection criteria are defined, and articles are filtered accordingly. After that, a horizontal search will be run to cover as many papers as possible. Finally, a list of the final literature is studied carefully, and helpful information is extracted.



**Table 1***Overview of Systematic Literature Review Protocol*

<b>Database</b>	<b>hits</b>	<b>selected</b>
IEEE	56	5
Springer	275	8
ACM	201	2
Google scholar	31	3
Result horizontal search	563	18
Vertical search		4
		add papers
Overall		22

number of hits using the search string in different databases.

To perform a comprehensive literature review, we chose three most famous electronic databases, i.e., IEEE, Springer, and ACM. Nevertheless, only using these three databases, There is still a minor chance that some important articles will be missed. Therefore, we also used Google scholar as a complement because it covers a wide range of literature, from conference papers to degree theses. The search string used for the literature review is developed using two keywords, which are the most important ones for our research: *business process model* and *natural language processing*.

In the next step, inclusion and exclusion criteria should be defined. They describe a list of desired and undesired features for the literature selection to obtain relevant studies and support our research and future work. Inclusion criteria were developed as follows: **IC-1**: NLP should have high relevance to the research paper. **IC-2**: BPMN should have high relevance to the research paper. **IC-3**: The research paper should describe the generation of the BPMN model using NLP. Exclusion criteria were: **EC-1**: the research paper is not written in English. **EC-2**: The research paper is not in the form of a proper scientific article.

During the literature selection, the first step was to identify duplicates since multiple electronic databases were used. Duplicates refer to articles that have the same title and authors. In the next step, we read the article's title, abstract and introduction parts and applied the inclusion and exclusion criteria to shape the final result further. Finally, we read the whole article and then performed a vertical search to identify the related papers used in our selected papers. As a final result, 17 papers were chosen.

Among the chosen papers, [12] [3] [10] [14] are literature reviews that analyzed the development and usage of process model generation methods. [10] points out that the NLP is the most widely adopted method and it can also be combined with other methods to increase accuracy. [12] and [10] give a list of tools for NLP and process model generation that have been used in previous works. [14] compares several papers using NLP to extract process models with different inputs and concludes the typical steps that have to be performed. [16] and [17] propose their findings in identifying the inconsistencies between the textual description and the generated process model. [8] however proposed a new part-of-speech tagging method that is specifically trained for business process management, which can effectively reduce the error rate in grammatical tagging.

A novel breakthrough is made in the work of [7], where they developed a method which extracts information from textual descriptions to automatically generate the business process models regardless of the structure of the input text. The paper gives an excellent overview of the steps that should be executed during the process model extraction and the potential challenge one might encounter. The Authors performed three vital steps to process the text input: (i) syntax parsing using the part-of-speech tagging method, (ii) semantic analysis using FrameNet and WordNet, and (iii) anaphora resolution. Finally, they can generate a process model based on the data. Nevertheless, their work was published in 2012, and some of the techniques could already be outdated. We see great potential here to work on a new method based on this paper.

Some limitations of [7] are also addressed in [5]: The textual description must be grammatically correct, otherwise the model will produce an incorrect output. Furthermore, the process in the description must develop sequentially and cannot contain examples or questions. Another work offered in [1] focuses on the extraction of declarative process models to address the problem that many NLP models can only handle the imperative process models. This is done by introducing many grammatical constraints to analyze the relationship of words. This idea illustrates us to also expand the analysis of the semantic analysis so that our model is also able to deal with the regulatory documents that have a complex description of the process.

Among all works, little effort is made to visualize the process model, [6] introduces a web-based NLP model extraction service. However, this work leverages the extraction model of others, and thus the output accuracy cannot be well guaranteed. As mentioned, our model should


assist people who do not have a technical background in the process model generation. [6] gives us an idea of additionally implementing a web-based frontend that can ease the use of our tool.

## Method/Approach (theoretical)

Extracting information from documents written in text is not a simple task due to the nature of the complexity of natural language. [7] identified several obstacles to performing the information extraction: *Syntactic Leeway* describes the problem of inconsistency between the semantic and syntactic aspects of the textual representation. *Atomicity* refers to the problem of adequately mapping the phase-activities. *Relevance* checks whether some part of the text input is irrelevant to the process model, such as examples offered by authors, which helps the human reader to understand the described process but introduces noise for information extraction. *Referencing* deals with the question of how to identify the references between sentences, e.g., the pronouns "This" and "it", from the sentence "After this step, it will be delivered to ...".

Our model will use regulatory documents as input files. In the first step, the input file will be pre-processed. The documents will be split into sentences using tokenization. Correctly identifying the end of sentences is crucial for further information processing. Then, the words in the sentence should be tagged with a proper grammatical label so that we can analyze the relationship between words. In the pre-processing, it is also very important to identify the business process elements, such as the actors and actions. This step should also tackle the problem of active and passive voice. After all tasks in pre-processing, the tagged documents will be used for the analysis of the relationship between sentences.


**Figure 2**  
*Overview of pre-processing*



The major step of information extraction is text-level analysis, where the sequential, conditional relationships of sentences will be exploited. In the central part of our work, we have to solve the anaphora resolution problem, which refers to the word that represents a word or a phrase that

occurred beforehand [14]. Next, we have to solve the problem of finding conditional relationships between sentences. The conditional relationship is usually represented through a conditional word like "if", "else", "otherwise", etc. Finding these relationships is very crucial for the construction of logical conjunctions in the business model. Another essential task in the text-level analysis is the flow generation. A flow indicates how the business activities are related to each other and could be used to translate the processed information above into the business process model [7].

**Figure 3**  
*Overview of processing*




After the flows of the model are generated, we could now perform the post-processing phase. Post-processing is about generating BPMN representation using the information acquired in the last two steps. [7] suggests four steps of model generation: nodes creation, sequence flows construction, dummy elements removal, and open ends finishing. The nodes and edges will be created first to create the BPMN model. Then the dummy actions will be skipped, which are used to insert between gateways. Finally, the Start and the End events are to be created. [6] illustrate us to additionally implement a web interface that eases to use of the regulatory document to BPMN model transmission service. The web interface should take the text description as input and then will represent the BPMN model in the webpage after the text is processed with our model in the backend.

## Application (practical)

This part will discuss our model's practical implementation and programming tools. The practical implementation should also be divided into three parts: pre-processing, processing, and

**Figure 4**  
Overview of post-processing



post-processing, which correspond to the theoretical design in the last chapter. [7] used *Java* as the programming tool in their work, yet their work was published 12 years ago, and during this period, a lot of tools in Artificial intelligence emerged. Thus, we decided to use *Python* as the programming language with the *Spacy*<sup>1</sup>, which is an open-source library especially for performing natural language processing tasks. The reason why we choose this library is that it has a good accuracy of 90.53% on average. Besides, it also has a relatively good execution time [6].


First, the input file should be the regulatory documents stored in raw text form, e.g., company policies, laws, etc. According to the theoretical design, the input file should be broken into sentences and tagged with correct grammatical labels. *Spacy* integrates these functions in its core and will make the document pre-processing efficient. The processed sentences are stored in a python *doc* class, which contains every word in the sentence stored in an object. Such an object possesses all the features of the word, such as the word's tokenization, part of speech tagging, sentence recognition, and so on [6]. Several works [7] [1] [2] also suggest that the *Stanford parser* is a widely adopted part of speech tagging tool with good recognition accuracy and a wide range of *Stanford Dependencies*, which represents the grammatical relationships between words.

The information stored in the python *doc* class will be used for the main procedure of text-level analysis. [14] [7] address the anaphora resolution problem using the *WordNet* and *FrameNet*, which are a lexical database of English used to perform semantic analysis. To detect conditional markers, a list of signal words can be predefined. [6] summarized the list of XOR and AND gateways, while [7] gives four lists: *ConditionIndicators*, *ParallelIndicators*, *ExceptionIndicators*,

<sup>1</sup><https://spacy.io>

and SequenceIndicators, which accordingly represents the exclusive gateway, parallel gateway, error intermediate events and continuation of a branch of a gateway. [7] also gives a good illustration of how to generate the flows between activities, which represent their interactions.

**Figure 5**  
*Logical partition of approach's functions*



Overview of the practical implementation of the proposed approach

Finally, the identified business activities connected using flows can be used for the generation of BPMN models. We can create a list of rules to convert the flows into the process models. [12] also suggests a list of BPMN modeling tools that can be leveraged to generate process models, which we will look further into. As mentioned, a web-based frontend should be created to increase the usability of our conversion model. The plan is to use *Vue* as the framework to create such a website. The website should be able to have a text input area where the user can enter the regulatory text. Then the text will be delivered to our *Python* backend and processed. The final results will be sent back to the website and displayed in the form of an image.

## Evaluation

Evaluation is an essential aspect of artifact construction. It allows us to analyze the quality of our artifact and based on which we can decide whether further modification and improvement should be made. The general goal of evaluation is whether the proposed approach satisfies the need of auto-generating BPMN models from regulatory documents in actual practice and how well

the proposed approach performs in extracting the BPMN model manually. The evaluation can be divided into two parts: qualitative and quantitative evaluation.

In the qualitative evaluation, we plan to explore the pros and cons of our approach. During this phase, we will analyze the basic components of our approach to find out their strengths and limitations in handling different kinds of text input. For example, we could use *Spacy* or *Stanford Parser* to perform part-of-speech tagging, and we should run a qualitative evaluation to see which one is more suitable for our approach. Furthermore, we will also look into our information extraction stage and analyze whether the proposed information strategies are logical. Through such inspections, we could probably gain new insights and are able to optimize our approach. Moreover, we will also consult the experts from the BPMN modeling field for their suggestions on the generated process model to ensure our model complies with the BPMN modeling rules. The objective of the qualitative evaluation is to provide us with the functional information of our approach so that we can make corresponding improvements or leave such tasks as a potential challenge for future work.

As for quantitative evaluation, we want to compare how well the automatically extracted BPMN models approximate the models created manually. In order to perform such accuracy checking, we will, in the first step, collect regulatory documents and other types of documents from different sources and manually model the BPMN model regarding the BPMN modeling rules. Then, we will use the same documents as input for our approach and let the program automatically generate BPMN models. In the next step, we will compare the similarity of these two models by using the metric of *Graph Edit Distance* as proposed in [7], which captures the similarity of two graphs and quantitatively measures them.

Another task we should perform in the quantitative evaluation is benchmarking our approach with the previous works. The purpose of this task is to examine whether our model offers a novel breakthrough. To achieve this, we will gather the documents used in the previous works and try to generate the BPMN models. We will then calculate the similarity and benchmark it with the accuracy rate given in the previous works. Furthermore, we also want to measure the running time for the model generation. We would like to find out whether the newly-emerged technique we apply offers a better running time compared to the techniques invented years ago.

Suppose our prototype generates BPMN models that are highly similar to the models created by experts. In that case, it seems fair to regard our prototype as an effective solution for BPMN model generation and can assist with the time-consuming modeling tasks. However, if the generated model's accuracy is low, we must head back to the prototype design steps and improve business activity recognition and model generation. If the running time of our approach is too long, we have to work to reduce the time complexity of our approach.

## Time Plan

The period for the entire project is five months. Suppose our thesis begins on the 15th. April, then the submission deadline is the 15th. September. To ensure the delivery of the project with good quality, a time plan should be developed to arrange the tasks that should be performed during these five months. The tasks should be distributed fairly within the project period so that the tasks can be accomplished with caution and precision.

The tasks for the projects can be divided into several parts: **(I)** Pre-processing of the input documents, where the input documents are separated into sentences, and the part-of-speech tagging is performed. **(II)** Information extraction, where we will focus on extracting information from the sentences. This part is significant work for the whole approach. During this step, the active/passive voice issue will be addressed; the anaphora problem will be solved; the conditional markers will be detected; and all other necessary steps will be performed in this step. **(III)** Flow generation, where each business activity's interactions are identified and connected. **(IV)** Post-processing, where we use the flows to generate a BPMN model and convert it into images. **(V)** Frontend design, where we implement a front end and connect it with our backend. **(VI)** Evaluation and redesign, where we evaluate our approach and make improvements regarding the identified limitations of our approach.

**Figure 6**

*Gantt chart of project implementation*

Task Number	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sept
I						
II						
III						
IV						
V						
VI						



## Bibliography

- [1] Han van der Aa et al. “Extracting declarative process models from natural language”. In: *Advanced Information Systems Engineering: 31st International Conference, CAiSE 2019, Rome, Italy, June 3–7, 2019, Proceedings 31*. Springer. 2019, pp. 365–382.
- [2] Lars Ackermann and Bernhard Volz. “Model [nl] generation: natural language model extraction”. In: *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM workshop on Domain-specific modeling*. 2013, pp. 45–50.
- [3] Ana Cláudia de Almeida Bordignon et al. “Natural language processing in business process identification and modeling: a systematic literature review”. In: *Proceedings of the XIV Brazilian Symposium on Information Systems*. 2018, pp. 1–8.
- [4] Jan vom Brocke, Alan Hevner, and Alexander Maedche. “Introduction to design science research”. In: *Design science research. Cases* (2020), pp. 1–13.
- [5] Renato César Borges Ferreira et al. “Assisting process modeling by identifying business process elements in natural language texts”. In: *Advances in Conceptual Modeling: ER 2017 Workshops AHA, MoBiD, MREBA, OntoCom, and QMMQ, Valencia, Spain, November 6–9, 2017, Proceedings 36*. Springer. 2017, pp. 154–163.
- [6] Thomas Freytag et al. “NLP as a Service: An API to Convert between Process Models and Natural Language Text.” In: *BPM (PhD/Demos)*. 2021, pp. 146–150.
- [7] Fabian Friedrich, Jan Mendling, and Frank Puhlmann. “Process model generation from natural language text”. In: *Advanced Information Systems Engineering: 23rd International Conference, CAiSE 2011, London, UK, June 20-24, 2011. Proceedings 23*. Springer. 2011, pp. 482–496.
- [8] Xue Han et al. “A novel part of speech tagging framework for NLP based business process management”. In: *2019 IEEE International Conference on Web Services (ICWS)*. IEEE. 2019, pp. 383–387.
- [9] Alan R Hevner et al. “Design science in information systems research”. In: *Management Information Systems Quarterly* 28.1 (2008), p. 6.

- [10] Uce Indahyanti, Arif Djunaidy, and Daniel Siahaan. “Auto-Generating Business Process Model From Heterogeneous Documents: A Comprehensive Literature Survey”. In: *2022 9th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI)*. IEEE. 2022, pp. 239–243.
- [11] Barbara Kitchenham. “Procedures for performing systematic reviews”. In: *Keele, UK, Keele University 33.2004* (2004), pp. 1–26.
- [12] Bilal Maqbool et al. “A comprehensive investigation of BPMN models generation from textual requirements—techniques, tools and trends”. In: *Information Science and Applications 2018: ICISA 2018*. Springer. 2019, pp. 543–557.
- [13] Ken Peffers et al. “A design science research methodology for information systems research”. In: *Journal of management information systems* 24.3 (2007), pp. 45–77.
- [14] Maximilian Riefer, Simon Felix Ternis, and Tom Thaler. “Mining process models from natural language text: A state-of-the-art analysis”. In: *Multikonferenz Wirtschaftsinformatik (MKWI-16), March* (2016), pp. 9–11.
- [15] Konstantinos Sintoris and Kostas Vergidis. “Extracting business process models using natural language processing (NLP) techniques”. In: *2017 IEEE 19th conference on business informatics (CBI)*. Vol. 1. IEEE. 2017, pp. 135–139.
- [16] Han Van der Aa, Henrik Leopold, and Hajo A Reijers. “Detecting inconsistencies between process models and textual descriptions”. In: *Business Process Management: 13th International Conference, BPM 2015, Innsbruck, Austria, August 31–September 3, 2015, Proceedings 13*. Springer. 2015, pp. 90–105.
- [17] Sheeza Zaheer, Khurram Shahzad, and Rao Muhammad Adeel Nawab. “Comparing manual- and auto-generated textual descriptions of business process models”. In: *2016 Sixth International Conference on Innovative Computing Technology (INTECH)*. IEEE. 2016, pp. 41–46.

# Declaration of Academic Integrity

I hereby declare that the thesis submitted is my own unaided work. All direct or indirect sources used are acknowledged as references.

I am aware that the thesis in digital form can be examined for the use of unauthorized aid and in order to determine whether the thesis as a whole or parts incorporated in it may be deemed as plagiarism. For the comparison of my work with existing sources I agree that it shall be entered in a database where it shall also remain after examination, to enable comparison with future theses submitted. Further rights of reproduction and usage, however, are not granted here.

This thesis was not previously presented to another examination board and has not been published.

Garching, 15.04.2023

Shuaiwei Yu