

Progressive to negative and other unexpected developments in Iranian languages

Shuan Osman Karim
sk2300@cam.ac.uk
University of Cambridge

Follow Along



Introduction

Unexpected developments

- Progressive to Negative

Unexpected developments

- Progressive to Negative
- Progressive marked only in the negative

Unexpected developments

- Progressive to Negative
- Progressive marked only in the negative
- Slot competition between definite and plural

Unexpected developments


- Progressive to Negative
- Progressive marked only in the negative
- Slot competition between definite and plural
- Transitive alignment: $A = P$

Unexpected developments

- Progressive to Negative
- Progressive marked only in the negative
- Slot competition between definite and plural
- Transitive alignment: A = P
- OBL.SG = DIR.PL

Progressive to Negative

Gorani languages/dialects/varieties



PROG > NEG

Shabaki	Paweyane
me-şor-ó	me-şor-ó
IPFV-wash.PRS-3SG	IPFV-wash.PRS-3SG
ní-me-şor-o	ní-me-şor-o
NEG-IPFV-wash.PRS-3SG	NEG-IPFV-wash.PRS-3SG

Gewrecûî	Hewram Text
me-şûr-ê	şor-ó
IPFV-wash.PRS-3SG	wash.PRS-3SG
ní-me-şûr-ê	mé-şor-o
NEG-IPFV-wash.PRS-3SG	NEG-wash.PRS-3SG

Hewramî Verbal Morphology

present-tense Examples

- (1) a. ey paðšazað, girew-êw
oh prince, wager-INDF.M.DIR
kér-mê
make.PRS.SUB-2PL.A
Lihon: 'Oh prince, let's make a wager. (MacKenzie, 1966, 80)
- b. a kitêb-î=m=e
DEM.DIST book.M.SG.OBL=1SG.P=DEM
b-âr-e
IMP-BRING-2SG.IMP
'bring that book of mine!' (MacKenzie, 1966, 26)

Past-tense Examples

- (2) a. a=ne tewen-ek-ê=ne
DEM.DIST=DEM stone-DEF-F.SG.DEF=COP.F.SG
to bas=it kérд-e
2SG mention=2SG.A do.PST-3SG.F
'that is the stone you mentioned' (MacKenzie, 1966,
54)
- b. baba xwà-y da
grandfather.M God-OBL.M give.PST.M.3SG
'God granted the grandfather [a son].' (?)[BP.3]

Hewramî Verbal Morphology

			AFF	NEG
NOM- -ACC	(i)	PRS.IND/IPFV	<i>kerî</i>	<i>mékerî</i>
	(ii)	PRS.SUB	<i>kérî</i>	<i>nékerî</i>
	(iii)	IMP	<i>kére</i>	<i>mékere</i>
	(iv)	PST.IPFV	<i>keréñî</i>	<i>nékeréñî</i>
ERG- -ABS	(v)	PST	(=s) <i>kérðî</i>	(=s) <i>nékerðî</i>
	(vi)	PST.COND	(=s) <i>kérðεnî</i>	(=s) <i>nékerðεnî</i>
	(vii)	PRS.PRF	(=s) <i>kerðénî</i>	(=s) <i>nékerðenî</i>
	(viii)	PRF.SUB	(=s) <i>kerðébî</i>	(=s) <i>nékerðebî</i>
	(ix)	PST.PRF	(=s) <i>kerðébêñî</i>	(=s) <i>nékerðebêñî</i>
	(x)	PRF.COND	(=s) <i>kerðébîεnî</i>	(=s) <i>nékerðebîεnî</i>

Verb Classes (present formation)

			IND	SBJ	IMP/PRH
1	<i>kerdey</i> 'do'	AFF	<i>ker-î</i>	<i>kér-î</i>	<i>kér-e</i>
		NEG	<i>mé-ker-î</i>	<i>né-ker-î</i>	<i>mé-ker-e</i>
2	<i>day</i> 'give'	AFF	<i>mi-ðe-î</i>	<i>bi-ðé-î</i>	<i>bi-ð-é</i>
		NEG	<i>mé-ðe-î</i>	<i>né-ðe-î</i>	<i>mé-ð-e</i>
3	<i>awirdey</i> 'bring'	AFF	<i>m-ar-î</i>	<i>b-ár-î</i>	<i>b-ár-e</i>
		NEG	<i>ni-m-ár-î</i>	<i>n-ár-î</i>	<i>ni-m-ár-e</i>
4	<i>witey</i> 'sleep'	AFF	<i>m-us-î</i>	<i>b-ús-î</i>	<i>b-ús-e</i>
		NEG	<i>mé-ws-î</i>	<i>né-ws-î</i>	<i>mé-ws-e</i>

Reconstructing the Hewramî System

Cognate? Constructions

			IND	SBJ	IMP / PRH
Kurdish (Mukrî)	<i>kirdin</i>	AFF	<i>de-ke-î</i>	<i>bí-ke-î</i>	<i>bí-ke</i>
		NEG	<i>ná-ke-î</i>	<i>né-ke-î</i>	<i>mé-ke</i>
Persian (Tehran)	<i>kærdæn</i>	AFF	<i>mî-kon-î</i>	<i>bé-kon-î</i>	<i>bé-kon</i>
		NEG	<i>né-mî-kon-î</i>	<i>ná-kon-î</i>	<i>ná-kon</i>
Balochi (Rakhshani)	<i>kirdin</i>	AFF	<i>a-kar-əy</i>	<i>bé-kar-əy</i>	<i>bé-kar</i>
		NEG	<i>(a-)ná-kar-əy</i>	<i>ná-kar-əy</i>	<i>má-kar</i>
Gorani (Zerde)	<i>kerdey</i>	AFF	<i>me-ker-î</i>	<i>bí-ker-î</i>	<i>bí-ker-e</i>
		NEG	<i>ní-me-ker-î</i>	<i>né-ker-î</i>	<i>mé-ker-e</i>

IPFV.PRS.3SG	NEG.IPFV.PRS.3SG
*me-STEM-ó	*ní-me-STEM-o
↓	↓
STEM-ó	<i>mé</i> -STEM-o
<i>mir</i> -ó	<i>mé-mir</i> -o
die.PRS-3SG	NEG-die.PRS-3SG

Sound Changes

The initial subjunctive stress shift




Figure: Zerde: SBJ '(that) s/he wash'




Figure: Pawe: SBJ '(that) s/he wash'

*meşoró *bíşoro *nímeşoro *mezanó *bízano *nímezano *mestanó *bístano *nímestano



***pşoro**

The second subjunctive stress shift




Figure: Pawe: SBJ '(that) s/he know'




Figure: Lihon: SBJ '(that) s/he know'

*meşoró *pşóro *nímeşoro *mezanó *bízano *nímezano *mestanó *bístano *nímestano

↓
***bⁱzáno**

Pretonic reduction




Figure: Pawe: IPFV 's/he knows'




Figure: Text: IPFV 'you buy'

*mešoró *pšoro *nímešoro *mezanó *bzáno *nímezano *mes(t)anó *bís(t)ano *nímes(t)ano
↓
***mⁱšoró**

↓
***mizanó**

↓
***mis(t)anó**

Pretonic reduction blocked




Figure: Bizłana: IPFV 's/he buys'




Figure: Bizłana: NEG.IPFV 's/he doesn't buy'

*mşoró *pşoro *nímeşoro *mizanó *bzáno *nímezano *mis(t)anó *bís(t)ano *nímes(t)ano

Loss of SBJV p- in clusters




Figure: Pawe: SBJV '(that) s/he washes'




Figure: Text: SBJV '(that) s/he washes'

*mşoró *pşóro *nímeşoro *mizanó *bzáno *nímezano *mis(t)anó *bís(t)ano *nímes(t)ano
↓
sóro

Loss of SBJV b- in clusters




Figure: Lihon: SBJV '(that) s/he knows'




Figure: Text: SBJV '(that) s/he knows'

*mşoró şóro *nímeşoro *mizanó *bzáno *nímezano *mis(t)anó *bís(t)ano *nímes(t)ano



záno

The third subjunctive stress shift




Figure: Text: SBJ 'that) s/he know'




Figure: Text: SBJ 'that) s/he buy'

*mşoró şoro *nímeşoro *mizanó *bzáno *nímezano *misanó* *psáno *nímesano



psáno

Loss of m(i)-




Figure: Lihon: IPFV 's/he sits'




Figure: Text: IPFV 's/he sits'

*mšoró šoro *nímesoro *mizanó záno *nímezano *misanó psáno* *nímesano

↓

šoró

↓

zanó

Loss of ni- in nime-




Figure: Bizłane: NEG.IPFV 'I don't buy'




Figure: Text: NEG.IPFV 'I don't buy'

şoró şóro *nímeşoro zanó záno *nímezano misanó psáno *nímesano

↓
***nímeşoro**

↓
méşoro

↓
***nímezano**

↓
mézano

↓
***nímesano**

↓
mésano

Summary of phonological changes in Gorani

	Text	Lihon	Bizłana	Pawe	Zerde
(1) $bí \rightarrow b[C\acute{v}] / \#_TVC$					
(2) $b \rightarrow p / \#_T$					
(2) $me \rightarrow m(i) / _C(VC)\acute{v}$					
(2) $ní \rightarrow n[C\acute{v}] / \#_me$					
(3) $p/m/n \rightarrow \emptyset / \#_C$					
(4) $bí \rightarrow b[C\acute{v}] / \#_ZVC$					
(4) $m- \rightarrow nim- / _\acute{v} [PROH]$					
(5) $b \rightarrow \emptyset / \#_C$					

Systemic effects

Phonemic Stress

IPFV.PRS.3SG	SBJ.PRS.3SG
*me-STEM-ó	*bí-STEM-o
↓	↓
STEM-ó	STÉM-o
<i>mir-ó</i>	<i>mír-o</i>
die.PRS.IPFV-3SG	die.PRS.SBJ-3SG

NEG.IPFV = PROH

NEG.IPFV.PRS.2SG	PROH.PRS.2SG
*níme-STEM-î	*m�-STEM-e
↓	↓
*m�-STEM-î	*m�-STEM-e
<i>m�-mir-î</i>	<i>m�-mir-e</i>
NEG-die.PRS-2SG	PROH-die.PRS-2SG

According to Christensen & Benedictsen (1921), *m mir * / *m mira*.

Four-part Analogy

NEG.IPFV.PRS.2SG		PROH.PRS.2SG
<i>mé-mir-î</i>	:	<i>mé-mir-e</i>
NEG-die.PRS-2SG		PROH-die.PRS-2SG
	as	
<i>ni-m-ar-î</i>	:	X

Four-part Analogy

NEG.IPFV.PRS.2SG	:	PROH.PRS.2SG
<i>mé-mir-î</i>	:	<i>mé-mir-e</i>
NEG-die.PRS-2SG		PROH-die.PRS-2SG
	as	
<i>ni-m-ar-î</i>	:	X

* máre

Four-part Analogy

NEG.IPFV.PRS.2SG		PROH.PRS.2SG
<i>mé-mir-î</i>	:	<i>mé-mir-e</i>
NEG-die.PRS-2SG		PROH-die.PRS-2SG
	as	
<i>ni-m-ar-î</i>	:	X

* *máre*

- According to Christensen & Benedictsen (1921), *máre*.

Four-part Analogy

NEG.IPFV.PRS.2SG		PROH.PRS.2SG
<i>mé-mir-î</i>	:	<i>mé-mir-e</i>
NEG-die.PRS-2SG		PROH-die.PRS-2SG
	as	
<i>ní-m-ar-î</i>	:	X

* *máre*

- According to Christensen & Benedictsen (1921), *máre*.
- *nímare*

Understanding PROG > NEG

Etyma

- *me-* < *ham + *a: same + imperfective past (augment)

Etyma

- *me-* < *ham + *a: same + imperfective past (augment)
- Emergent Progressive: used first to mark acts in progress

Etyma

- *me-* < *ham + *a: same + imperfective past (augment)
- Emergent Progressive: used first to mark acts in progress
- Categorical Progressive: mandatory with acts in progress

- *me-* < *ham + *a: same + imperfective past (augment)
- Emergent Progressive: used first to mark acts in progress
- Categorical Progressive: mandatory with acts in progress
- Generalized Progressive: used to mark the full imperfective domain (see Deo, 2015)

- *me-* < *ham + *a: same + imperfective past (augment)
- Emergent Progressive: used first to mark acts in progress
- Categorical Progressive: mandatory with acts in progress
- Generalized Progressive: used to mark the full imperfective domain (see Deo, 2015)
- Suffix conjugation takes over the past imperfective.

Etyma

- *me-* < *ham + *a: same + imperfective past (augment)
- Emergent Progressive: used first to mark acts in progress
- Categorical Progressive: mandatory with acts in progress
- Generalized Progressive: used to mark the full imperfective domain (see Deo, 2015)
- Suffix conjugation takes over the past imperfective.
- *me-* becomes the distinguishing characteristic of the present indicative

- *me-* < *ham + *a: same + imperfective past (augment)
- Emergent Progressive: used first to mark acts in progress
- Categorical Progressive: mandatory with acts in progress
- Generalized Progressive: used to mark the full imperfective domain (see Deo, 2015)
- Suffix conjugation takes over the past imperfective.
- *me-* becomes the distinguishing characteristic of the present indicative
- Demorphologization and Remorphologization

Demorphologization

- Greek past-tense marker *e*:

- (3) a. paidéu-o e-paideu-on
teach-1SG AUG-teach-1SG
'I teach' vs 'I taught'
- b. lambán-ete e-lambán-ete e-láb-ete
take-2PL AUG-take-2PL
'you (pl) take' vs 'you (pl) took (IPFV)' vs 'you (pl) took'
- c. lambán-ei é-lab-e
take-3SG AUG-take-3SG
'he takes' vs 'he took' ?, 189

Demorphologization

- Greek past-tense marker *e-*:

- (3) a. paidéu-o e-paideu-on
teach-1SG AUG-teach-1SG
'I teach' vs 'I taught'

b. lambán-ete e-lambán-ete e-láb-ete
take-2PL AUG-take-2PL
'you (pl) take' vs 'you (pl) took (IPFV)' vs 'you (pl) took'

c. lambán-ei é-lab-e
take-3SG AUG-take-3SG
'he takes' vs 'he took' ?, 189

- $e \rightarrow \emptyset / \#$: unstressed *e is deleted word-initially

- (4) *é-lav-a* *Ø-láv-ame*
 AUG-take.PST-1SG AUG-take.PST-1PL
 'I take' vs 'we take' ? 199

Morphologization

- Original: *mus ~ *mus-i

Morphologization

- Original: *mus ~ *mus-i
- Umlaut: *mus ~ *mysi

Morphologization

- Original: *mus ~ *mus-i
- Umlaut: *mus ~ *mysi
- Deletion: *mus ~ *mys

Morphologization

- Original: *mus ~ *mus-i
- Umlaut: *mus ~ *mysi
- Deletion: *mus ~ *mys
- (Merger, vowel shift): *mouse* ~ *mice*

Demorphologization and Remorphologization

- Morphologization:

Demorphologization and Remorphologization

- Morphologization:
 - Pretonic e shortens to $\overset{i}{:}$: $*me- > m(i)-$

Demorphologization and Remorphologization

- Morphologization:
 - Pretonic e shortens to $\overset{i}{e}$: $*me- > m(i)-$
 - prefixes with the weak vowel $\overset{i}{e}$ are lost: $*m(i)-$, $*b(i)-$, $*n(i)-$

Demorphologization and Remorphologization

- Morphologization:
 - Pretonic e shortens to *i*: *me- > *m(i)-*
 - prefixes with the weak vowel *i* are lost: *m(i)-, *b(i)-, *n(i)-
 - If the prefixes are stress-bearing, their stress shifts to the right: *bímiro > *míro*, *nímemiro > *mémiro*

Demorphologization and Remorphologization

- Morphologization:
 - Pretonic e shortens to *i*: *me- > *m(i)-*
 - prefixes with the weak vowel *i* are lost: *m(i)-, *b(i)-, *n(i)-
 - If the prefixes are stress-bearing, their stress shifts to the right: *bímiro > *míro*, *nímemiro > *mémiro*
- Demorphologization:

Demorphologization and Remorphologization

- Morphologization:
 - Pretonic e shortens to *i*: *me- > *m(i)-*
 - prefixes with the weak vowel *i* are lost: *m(i)-, *b(i)-, *n(i)-
 - If the prefixes are stress-bearing, their stress shifts to the right: *bímiro > *míro*, *nímemiro > *mémiro*
- Demorphologization:
 - The prefix *me- only survives as a placeholder for stress, the last remnant of a former negative marker.

Important Points

Important Points

- The original imperfective marker *me-* is now the marker of *mé-* only because it is a placeholder for stress, the only remnant of the negation marker.

Important Points

- The original imperfective marker *me-* is now the marker of *mé-* only because it is a placeholder for stress, the only remnant of the negation marker.
- The prohibitive was borrowed from the negative imperfective, **the opposite of MacKenzie (1966)'s claim**

Important Points

- The original imperfective marker *me-* is now the marker of *mé-* only because it is a placeholder for stress, the only remnant of the negation marker.
- The prohibitive was borrowed from the negative imperfective, **the opposite of MacKenzie (1966)'s claim**
- No semantic explanation is warranted

A related story

**Progressive only when negated in
Southern Kurdish**

Typology of Negation I

Mazandarani 'eat' (Borjian, 2021)

	AFF	NEG
NPST	<i>xənna</i>	<i>naxənna</i>
SBJ	<i>baxəre</i>	<i>naxəre</i>
IMP	<i>baxər</i>	<i>naxər</i>
NPST.PROG	<i>dar xənna</i>	= NPST.NEG

Typology of Negation II

Zazaki 'eat' (Paul, 1998)

	AFF	NEG
NPST	<i>wer-en-o</i>	<i>nê-wer-en-o</i>
SBJ	<i>b-ur-o</i>	<i>nê-wer-o</i>
IMP	<i>b-ur-i</i>	<i>nê-wer-i</i>
NPST.PROG	<i>=o wer-en-o</i>	= NPST.NEG

CK Suleymani 'eat' (McCarus, 2009)

	AFF	NEG
NPST	<i>t-xw-a</i>	<i>na-xw-a</i>
SBJ	<i>bi-xw-a</i>	<i>ne-xw-a</i>
IMP	<i>bi-xo</i>	<i>me-xo</i>
NPST.PROG	<i>le xwardin=a=ye</i>	= NPST.NEG

Typology of Negation III

NK Duhok 'eat' (Haig, 2023)

	AFF	NEG
NPST	<i>t-xw-e</i>	<i>na-xw-e</i>
SBJ	<i>bi-xw-e</i>	<i>ne-xw-e</i>
IMP	<i>bi-xw-e</i>	<i>ne-xw-e</i>
NPST.PROG	$=\hat{e} \ t-xw-e$	= NPST.NEG

Typology of Negation

According to Miestamo (2007); Miestamo & van der Auwera (2011); Miestamo (2005):


- Symmetric: 114 (negated clause = AFF + NEG)

SK Malikşay 'chase' (Fattah, 2000)

	AFF	NEG
NPST	<i>der kerêg</i>	<i>der nye-kerêg</i>
NPST.PROG	<i>der di-kerêg</i>	<i>der nye-di-kerêg</i>
PST	<i>der kird</i>	<i>der ne-kird</i>
PST.IPFV	<i>der di-kird</i>	<i>der nye-kird</i>
PST.PROG	= PST.IPFV.AFF	<i>der nye-di-kird</i>

The Parallel Development of Southern Kurdish and Hewramî

Map of Kurdish Imperfectives



- the locative *antara used as a preposition or postposition became the locative circumposition in Kurdish, e.g., Mukrî: *de NP=da* (Öpentin, 2016).

- the locative *antara used as a preposition or postposition became the locative circumposition in Kurdish, e.g., Mukrî: *de NP=da* (Öpentin, 2016).
- The short infinitive/participle was recruited with the locative construction as a periphrastic progressive *de=INF=da.

- the locative *antara used as a preposition or postposition became the locative circumposition in Kurdish, e.g., Mukrî: *de NP=da* (Öpentin, 2016).
- The short infinitive/participle was recruited with the locative construction as a periphrastic progressive **de=INF=da*.
- Some varieties lost the prefix, the suffix, both or neither for both phonological and analogical reasons.

- the locative *antara used as a preposition or postposition became the locative circumposition in Kurdish, e.g., Mukrî: *de NP=da* (Öpentin, 2016).
- The short infinitive/participle was recruited with the locative construction as a periphrastic progressive **de=INF=da*.
- Some varieties lost the prefix, the suffix, both or neither for both phonological and analogical reasons.
- Just looking at the prefix conjugation, there was essentially parallel development with Hewramî and Southern Kurdish, spoken in the same towns and villages for centuries.

	Hewramî		Southern Kurdish	
	AFF	NEG	AFF	NEG
Etyma	*mekeró	*nímekero	*dekerê	*nídekerê
Pretonic shortening	*m ⁱ keró	*nímekero	*d ⁱ kerê	*nídekerê
Stress shift (Zagros <i>d</i>)	*m ⁱ keró	*n ⁱ mékero	<i>dⁱkerê</i>	<i>nyékerê</i>
Cluster Deletion	keró	mékero	kerê	nyékerê

Malikşay developments

Malikşay gaps

SK Malikşay 'chase' (Fattah, 2000)

	AFF	NEG
NPST	<i>der kerêg</i>	<i>der nye-kerêg</i>
NPST.PROG	<i>der di-kerêg</i>	<i>der nye-di-kerêg</i>
PST	<i>der kird</i>	<i>der ne-kird</i>
PST.IPFV	<i>der di-kird</i>	<i>der nye-kird</i>
PST.PROG	= PST.IPFV.AFF (!der di-di-kird)	<i>der nye-di-kird</i>

Malikşay developments I

- The tendency for progressives (act-in-progress) not to be negated sets up the multiple four-part analogies:

<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	<i>nye-Ø-ker-êg</i>
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PRS-3SG
<hr/>		
X	:	<i>nye-Ø-ker-êg</i>
IPFV-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV-do.PRS-3SG
<hr/>		
<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	Y
PROG-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-PROG-do.PRS-3SG

- The tendency for progressives (act-in-progress) not to be negated sets up the multiple four-part analogies:

<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	<i>nye-Ø-ker-êg</i>
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PRS-3SG
<hr/>		
X	:	<i>nye-Ø-ker-êg</i>
IPFV-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV-do.PRS-3SG
<hr/>		
<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	Y
PROG-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-PROG-do.PRS-3SG
	•	<i>Ø-kerêg</i>

- The tendency for progressives (act-in-progress) not to be negated sets up the multiple four-part analogies:

<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	<i>nye-Ø-ker-êg</i>
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PRS-3SG
<hr/>		
X	:	<i>nye-Ø-ker-êg</i>
IPFV-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV-do.PRS-3SG
<hr/>		
<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	Y
PROG-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-PROG-do.PRS-3SG
	• X = Ø-kerêg	
	• Y = nye-di-kerêg	

Malikşay developments II

- The same does not work in the past:

\emptyset - <i>kird</i>	:	<i>ne-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
PFV-do.PST.3SG		NEG-PRF-do.PST.3SG
<i>di-kird</i>	:	<i>nye-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PST.3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PST.3SG
<hr/>		
X	:	<i>nye-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
IPFV-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PST.3SG
<hr/>		
<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	Y
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PST.3SG		NEG-PROG-do.PRS-3SG

Malikşay developments II

- The same does not work in the past:

\emptyset - <i>kird</i>	:	<i>ne-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
PFV-do.PST.3SG		NEG-PRF-do.PST.3SG
<i>di-kird</i>	:	<i>nye-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PST.3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PST.3SG
<hr/>		
X	:	<i>nye-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
IPFV-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PST.3SG
<hr/>		
<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	Y
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PST.3SG		NEG-PROG-do.PRS-3SG
	•	\bullet X = * \emptyset - <i>kird</i>

Malikşay developments II

- The same does not work in the past:

\emptyset - <i>kird</i>	:	<i>ne-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
PFV-do.PST.3SG		NEG-PRF-do.PST.3SG
<i>di-kird</i>	:	<i>nye-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PST.3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PST.3SG
<hr/>		
X	:	<i>nye-</i> \emptyset - <i>kird</i>
IPFV-do.PRS-3SG		NEG-IPFV(-PROG)-do.PST.3SG
<hr/>		
<i>di-ker-êg</i>	:	Y
IPFV(+PROG)-do.PST.3SG		NEG-PROG-do.PRS-3SG
<hr/>		
	• X = * \emptyset - <i>kird</i>	
	• Y = <i>nye-di-kird</i>	

Important Points

Important Points

- The diachronic forces that set up the four-part analogy do not care about the typology of negation.

Important Points

- The diachronic forces that set up the four-part analogy do not care about the typology of negation.
- Parallel developments in *Hewramî* and other regional languages give us insight into these developments that would otherwise be opaque.

Important Points

- The diachronic forces that set up the four-part analogy do not care about the typology of negation.
- Parallel developments in *Hewramî* and other regional languages give us insight into these developments that would otherwise be opaque.
- Developments that are not predicted from a semantic or typological perspective need not have a semantic or typological explanation.

Zor supastan ekem!

References I

- Christensen, Arthur & Åge Meyer Benedictsen. 1921. *Les dialectes d'Awroman et de Pawa*. Kobenhavn: B. Lunos.
- Deo, Ashwini. 2015. The semantic and pragmatic underpinnings of grammaticalization paths: The progressive to imperfective shift. *S&P Semantics and Pragmatics* 8(14). 1–52.
doi:10.3765/sp.8.14. Publisher: Semantics and Pragmatics.
- Fattah, Ismaïl Kamandâr. 2000. *Les dialectes kurdes méridionaux: étude linguistique et dialectologique (Iranica 37)*. Leuven: Peeters.
- Haig, Geoffrey. 2023. Imperfective prefixes in Northern Kurdish: soundchanges, asymmetric negation, and the unity of Kurdish. In *Keynote lecture given at the Sixth International Conference on Kurdish Linguistics (ICKL6)*. Goethe University Frankfurt.

References II

- Karim, Shuan Osman. 2020. (d)e–(d)a: Rethinking the Origins of the Kurdish Imperfective Affixes (review draft).
<https://lingbuzz.net/lingbuzz/007347>.
- MacKenzie, David N. 1966. *The dialect of Awroman (Hawraman-i Luhon): Grammatical sketch, texts, and vocabulary*. Kobenhavn: Kommissionaer : Munksgaard.
- McCarus, Ernest. 2009. Kurdish. In Gernot Windfuhr (ed.), *The Iranian Languages*, 587–633. London and New York: Routledge.
- Miestamo, Matti. 2005. *Standard Negation* (The Negation of Declarative Verbal Main Clauses in a Typological Perspective). De Gruyter Mouton. doi:10.1515/9783110197631.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110197631>.

References III

- Miestamo, Matti. 2007. Negation – An Overview of Typological Research. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 1(5). 552–570. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-818X.2007.00026.x>.
<https://compass.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1749-818X.2007.00026.x>. _eprint:
<https://compass.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1749-818X.2007.00026.x>.
- Miestamo, Matti & Johan van der Auwera. 2011. Negation and perfective vs. imperfective aspect, 65 – 84. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill. doi:[10.1163/9789042032682_005](https://doi.org/10.1163/9789042032682_005).
<https://brill.com/view/book/9789042032682/B9789042032682-s005.xml>.

References IV

- Paul, Ludwig. 1998. *Zazaki : Grammatik und Versuch einer Dialektologie*. Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- Öpentin, Ergin. 2016. *The Mukri Variety of Central Kurdish: grammar, texts, and lexicon*. Wiesbaden: Reichert.