

Computer Programming

Dr. Deepak B Phatak
Dr. Supratik Chakraborty
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
IIT Bombay

Session: Parameter Passing in Function Calls

Quick Recap of Relevant Topics



- Use of simple functions in programs
- Contract-centric view of programming with functions
- Flow of control in function call and return
- Activation records and call stack

Overview of This Lecture



- Paradigms of parameter passing in function calls
 - Call by value
 - Call by reference
- Functions without return values

Recall: Encoding Example



 We want to store quiz 1 and quiz 2 marks of CS101 students in an encoded form

Encoding strategy:

The ordered pair of marks (m, n) is encoded as 2^m x 3ⁿ

• Assume all marks are integers in {1, 2, ... 10}

Recall: C++ Program Structure



```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int myEncode(int q1Marks,int q2Marks);
int power(int base, int exponent);
int main() { ...
 for ( ... ) { ...
 cipher = myEncode(q1Marks, q2Marks);
 ...}
```

```
// PRECONDITION: ...
int myEncode(int q1Marks,
              int q2Marks)
twoRaisedQ1 = power(2, q1Marks);
threeRaisedQ2 = power(3, q2Marks);
// POSTCONDITION: ...
// PRECONDITION: ...
int power(int base, int exponent)
// POSTCONDITION: ...
```

Recall: Activation Records in Call Stack



When a function (caller) calls a function (callee)

- a fresh activation record for callee created
- Values of function parameters from caller copied to space allocated for formal parameters of callee
- PC of caller saved
- Other book-keeping information updated
- Activation record for callee pushed on call stack

```
int
myEncode(int g1Marks, int g2Marks)
 twoRaisedQ1 = power(2, q1Marks);
   Activation record: power
                                 STACK
       Activation record:
           myEncode
    Activation record: main
```

Call-by-Value Paradigm



Values of function parameters copied from activation record of caller to activation record of callee

Recall:

Formal parameters of callee (power) are its local variables Not confused with parameters used in caller (myEncode) when invoking callee (power)

Only way in which callee (power) can let caller (myEncode) see effects of its computation is through return value of callee

Caveat When Using Call-by-Value



Any changes done on local variables of callee completely lost when callee returns to caller

Recall: Space for local variables of callee allocated in activation record of callee

Local variables of a function also called its stack variables

When callee returns to caller, activation record of callee freed up (lost forever!)

Program For Swapping Numbers



```
#include <iostream>
                                            int swap(int m, int n)
Values of m and n as local variables of swap
  (in swap's activation record) are swapped
                                                t temp;
                                                mp = m;
      main doesn't get to see this swap
                                             m = n;
status = swap(a, b);
 cout << "a: " << a << " b: " << b << endl;
                                             n = temp;
 return 0;
                                             return 0;
```

How Could We Fix This?



Can we let the formal parameters
refer to the variables used in main
when calling swap?

Can caller and callee refer to the same
variable?

int swap

temp =

m = n;
n = tem

```
int swap(int &m), int &m)
  π temp;
 temp = m;
 n = temp;
 return 0;
```

Program For Swapping Numbers



```
#include <iostream>
                                             int swap(int &m, int &n)
using namespace std;
int swap(int &m, int &n);
                                              int temp;
int main() {
 m and n are NOT local variables of swap,
                                              temp = m;
   but references (or aliases) to caller
                                              m = n;
variables (a and b) used to pass parameters
                                              n = temp;
                                              return 0;
 return 0;
```

Call-by-Reference Paradigm



#include <iostream> int swap(int &m, int &n) using namesnace std. Since m and n are not local variables of swap, no space allocated for m and n in activation record of swap Can lead to significant savings in memory required for call stack

Caveat When Using Call-by-Reference



Cannot pass a constant as parameter of a function called by reference (otherwise constant could be "changed" by callee)

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int swap(int &m, int &n);
int main() { int status;
  cout << "Give an integer: "; cin >> a;
  status = swap(2, a);
  cout << "a: " << a << endl;
  return 0;
}
</pre>
int swap(int &m, int &n)
{
  int temp;
  temp = m;
  m = n;
  n = temp;
  return 0;
}
```

Call-by-Value vs Call-by-Reference



- Call-by-reference allows functions to share variables
- Need to be careful

Inadvertent updates: Formal parameters are not local variables, but shared with caller Variables declared in body of function are local variables

 Can save significant memory for activation records on call stack for deeply nested function calls

Call-by-Value vs Call-by-Reference



- Call-by-value by far the safest
 No change to caller except through returned value
- Clean separation of variables of caller and callee
- Can lead to significant memory usage in activation records for deeply nested function calls
- Specific choice is context-dependent

Functions Not Returning Values



```
#include <iostream>
                                           int swap(int &m, int &n)
       No scope for errors here!
                                            int temp;
  swap also not used to compute an
                                            temp = m;
               int result.
                                            m = n;
                                            n = temp;
   Can we let swap return nothing
              (no value)?
                                            return 0;
```

Functions Not Returning Values



```
#include <iostream>
                                              void swap(int &m, int &n)
using namespace std;
void swap(int &m, int &n);
                                               int temp;
int main
                                               temp
 int status;
                                                       Simply "return"
 cout << "Giv Return type "void" > a >> b;
                                                      without argument
                                               m = r
 status = swa
                                               n = tem
 cout << "a: " << a << " b: " << b << endl;
                                               return;
 return 0;
```

Summary



- Parameter passing in function calls
 - Call-by-value
 - Call-by-reference
- Caveats and benefits of each paradigm
- Functions without return values