

Capstone Project - 2

Team 2

Taxi Mobility Surge Price Prediction

Presented By :
Shubham Kumar

Content

pTHeyOlem

Title

- Problem Statement
- Data Summary
- Comparing features with Surge Pricing Type
- Feature Selection
- Models used
- Which model did we choose and why?
- Challenges
- Conclusion

Problem Statement

OP

- The goal is to build a predictive model which can help Sigma Cabs in predicting Surge Pricing Type proactively.
- This will help them in matching the right priced cabs with the right customers quickly and efficiently.

Data Summary:

Data set name Data Sigma Cabs


Shape

- Rows -- 131,662
- Columns--14


Features

Trip_ID, Trip_Distance, Type_of_Cab, Customer_since_months, Life_Style_Index, Confidence_Life_Style_Index, Destination_Type, Customer_Rating, Cancellation_Last_1Month, Var1, Var2, Var3, Gender, Surge_Pricing_Type


Comparing Trip Distance with Surge Pricing Type:



Comparing Customer Rating with Surge Pricing Type:



Count of Type of Cab with Gender Filter




Features selection:


Methods used:

- Extra Tree Classifier
- ANOVA
- Chi-Square


Extra Trees Classifier:



ANOVA:




Chi-Square:



Models used:


- **Logistic Regression Classifier**
- **SVM Classifier**
- **Random Forest Classifier**
- **XGBoost Classifier**

One vs One and One vs Rest:




One vs One

One-vs-all (one-vs-rest):




Class 1: Green
Class 2: Blue
Class 3: Red



One vs Rest


Logistic Regression:

- One vs Rest approach (“ovr”)
- Hyperparameter Tuning(Bayesian Optimisation)-C:0.001, solver:”lbfgs”,penalty=l2
- Metric Scores- Accuracy=72%, Precision=72%, Recall=70% & f1_score=71%




Support Vector Machine:

- One vs One approach (“ovo”)
- Parameters - C:1, degree =3,
- Kernel - Poly Kernel is giving us the best results. Accuracy i.e 72%, Precision=73%, Recall=70% & f1_score=70%




Random Forest Classifier:

- Hyper parameter Tuning(Bayesian Search)-
('max_depth', 8),
('min_samples_leaf', 10),
('min_samples_split', 50),
('n_estimators', 100)
- accuracy= 72%,
precision=73%,
recall=70%,fi_score=71%




XGBoost Classifier:

- **Hyperparameters**-gamma=0,
learning_rate=0.1,
max_depth=15, n_estimators=100,
objective='multi:softprob'
- **Metric Scores**- accuracy=72%,
precision=73%, recall=70%,f1_score=71%



SHAP Values:



Which model did we choose and why?

- We choose logistic regression as it's evaluation scores is very similar to other complicated models but it is computationally cheaper and more interpretable.
- Accuracy : 72%
- Recall : 72%
- Precision : 72%
- This is the most consistent performing model with same scores for all metrics.

Challenges

- Lots of NaN values in the dataset.
- Some features like Var1,Var2,Var3 are not clearly explained.
- Choosing the right encoding technique for categorical features.
- Choosing the right features for modelling.
- Faced issues while running the models as the dataset is large.
- Choosing the right models as there is not much difference in accuracy.

Conclusion

- We build a predictive model which can help Sigma Cabs in predicting Surge Pricing Types proactively.
- This will helps in matching the right cab with the right customer quickly and efficiently
- They can increase their customer base and profit by providing better services.

Q & A