Shubham Sharma DATA SCIENCE & BUSINESS ANALYTICS INTERN AT THE SPARKS FOUNDATION

Dataset: (http://bit.ly/w-data)

TASK -1 Prediction using Supervised ML

Hey everyone! This is a Simple Linear Regression project in which i have to predict the percentage of marks that a student is expected to score based upon the number of hours they studied. This is a simple linear regression task as it involves just two variables.

This video will guide you through the process of retrieving answers to all these questions.

Since the sum of all the cells of Hours and Scores is zero so we can say that we don't have any misssing value in our dataset.

Importing libraries

Before doing any task on the data our first aim to understand the data.

Let us get started!

%matplotlib inline import seaborn as sns

import numpy as np

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

import pandas as pd

import warnings

Out[2]:

warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")

Importing Dataset # Now reading the data from the link provided link = "http://bit.ly/w-data"

data = pd.read_csv(link) data.head(8) **Hours Scores**

2.5 21 1 5.1 47 2 3.2 27 3 8.5 75

30

20

9.2 88 60 **Data Preprocessing**

3.5

1.5

data.dtypes

dtype: object

dtype: int64

Scores

Out[3]: Hours

print(data.isna().sum()) print("\nSince the sum of all the cells of Hours and Scores is zero so we can say that we don't have any misssing value in our dataset.") Scores

float64

int64

In [4]: # Finding any missing value in the data

Now we plot our data points on graph to see if we can find any relationship between the data. data.plot(kind='scatter', x='Hours', y='Scores') plt.title('Hours vs scores') plt.xlabel('Hours Studied') plt.ylabel('Percentage Score') plt.show()

90 80 70

60 50 40

30 20 Hours Studied From the above graph, we can clearly see that there is a positive linear relation between the number of hours studied and percentage of score. # Outlier detection sns.boxplot(data['Hours']) Out[6]: <AxesSubplot:xlabel='Hours'>

Hours vs scores

Here we can see we don't have any outliers to remove so we proceed to our next steps. x = data.iloc[:, :-1].valuesy = data.iloc[:, 1].values from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

Training the data

from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

Out[12]: array([39.59421488, 56.68453365, 16.47201889, 93.8811098 , 84.83329398])

plt.plot(x, my_model.coef_*x + my_model.intercept_)

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(x,y,random_state=6,train_size=.80)

print(my_model.coef_) In [11]: print(my_model.intercept_) [10.05312869] 1.3923258559622198

my_model=LinearRegression() my_model.fit(X_train,y_train)

print(X_train.shape) print(X_test.shape) print(y_train.shape) print(y_test.shape)

(5, 1)(20,)

Out[10]: LinearRegression()

y_pred

80

60

In [10]:

In [13]:

In [16]:

Out[16]:

Plotting the regression line

plt.plot(x, y, 'o')

y_pred=my_model.predict(X_test)

Out[13]: [<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x2a54b607550>]

40 20

Comparing Actual vs Predicted scores

df = pd.DataFrame({'Actual': y_test, 'Predicted': y_pred}) df Out[14]: **Actual Predicted** 35 39.594215 60 56.684534 20 16.472019 88 93.881110 81 84.833294 # What will be predicted score if a student studies for 9.25 hrs/ day? In [15]: hours = 9.25pred = my_model.predict([[hours]]) print("No of Hours = {}".format(hours)) print("Predicted Score = {}".format(pred[0])) No of Hours = 9.25Predicted Score = 94.38376623376621

import statsmodels.formula.api as smf

9.132624e-17

Scores

OLS

25

23

nonrobust

coef std err

2.532

#confidence interval my_stat_model.conf_int()

Intercept -2.753470 7.720817

Hours 8.838823 10.712784

0

Now we have the coefficient then now find the p_value of the coefficient print(my_stat_model.pvalues) # how to check that print(my_stat_model.pvalues.loc['Hours'] < .05)</pre> 3.367785e-01

R-squared:

F-statistic:

AIC:

BIC:

Adj. R-squared:

Log-Likelihood:

0.953

0.951

465.8

-77.514

159.0

161.5

Evaluating coefficient's statistical significance

my_stat_model = smf.ols(formula='Scores ~ Hours', data=data).fit()

True my_stat_model.rsquared In [18]: Out[18]: 0.9529481969048356

Since our rsquared value is close to 1 we can say that our model is predicting well on the test data. my_stat_model.summary() In [19]:

Hours

dtype: float64

OLS Regression Results Out[19]: Dep. Variable: Model: Method:

Least Squares **Date:** Fri, 02 Jul 2021 **Prob (F-statistic):** 9.13e-17 Time: 10:38:16 No. Observations: **Df Residuals:** Df Model:

Covariance Type:

Intercept 2.4837

Hours 9.7758 0.453 21.583 0.000 8.839 10.713 Omnibus: 7.616 **Durbin-Watson:** 1.460 Prob(Omnibus): 0.022 Jarque-Bera (JB): 2.137 Skew: -0.216 **Prob(JB):** 0.343 Cond. No. Kurtosis: 1.634 13.0

t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]

0.981 0.337 -2.753

Notes: [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified. Evaluating the model This step is important to compare how well our algorithm performing on a particular dataset. Here, I'm using MAE, MSE and RMSE. There are many such metrics.

from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error,mean_squared_error

print('Mean Absolute Error:', mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_pred)) print('Mean squared Error:', mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)) print('Root mean squared Error:', np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred))) Mean Absolute Error: 4.230413223140487 Mean squared Error: 18.7654746734428 Root mean squared Error: 4.331913511768534