Name: Shubbam Lutter Enrollment: 12019009022112 Registration: 309201900900752 Paper Name: - Design & Analysis of Algon Papor Code: PCCCS902 Signature: Shubbam Dulla Date: 11th March 2021

Answers 1. A) i) Asymptotic Notations are mathematic -tal took to represent the time complete -ity of algorithms for asymptotic analysis "  $\begin{array}{c}
(2 \circ (n) \\
f(n)
\end{array}$ m-0 f(n) = O(g(n))above ; so it defines exact asymptotic ? 0 (9 (n)) = Efler); Here exist +ve constant -c1, c2 & no such that

0 = c1 = g(n) = f(n) = c2 = g(n)

+ n >= no (g(n)) n-0 f(n) = 0(g(n)) Big-O Notation ;- It define apper bound only from above.

It takes wornt one time complexity.

(g(n) f(n) = 0.52 (g(n))

Sound on time complexity of aborithm Eg - Inscrition Sort Fon O notation. Time Complexity = - Worst case - O(n)
- But case - O(n) For O Big - O Notation

Time wyslesity = O(n')

For St Notation (worst case)

Time complexity = O(n)

(best case) 1A) ii) But Care: - fastest time to complete, with optimal imputs chosen. Est best care of a sorting also rithm would be data that already sorted.

·) Worut Care: - slowert time to complete. with porsonal inputs chosin. Es - worst care for a sorting algorithm might be data that's sonted in revene order. (basically it depends on particula. Care - Arithmetic mean. Run the algorithm many times using brany diff. Up of size n that were from some distribut!) -ion that generates there if line all possible i/p are equally. Whely) compute the total running time. 8 divide by the!
no. of Obrials. To normalize the
noralt based on size of 0/p sets: 2:B) Time complexity of Insortion Sont in O(n) 1) Gorithm Sty-1 Start Sky-2 . Therate . from ave[1] to avening over the array compare the current element ( kg)

Step-9 of the key element in smaller than its predecinon, compare it to the clement position up to make space for swapp Strp-5 Repeat previous steps Step-6 Frel. 3. A) Quick Sort Algorithm Ity -1 Consider the 1st clement of the list as pivot lie. element at 1st position in the list Step 2 Determine & variables 0 & j. Set id j to 1 st & last Telemt. of list respectively. Step 3 soncrement i until list [i] > pivot

Step 9

Step 9

Step 9

Step 1

Stop

Devement j untill list [i]

pivot them stop. Step-5, 3/ i (j . then exchange with[] 2 list [j] Step-6: Repeat 1 teps 3, 9 & 5 until step-7: Exchange the pivot climate with lint [i] element.

Worst Case It occurs when partition process always picks greatest or smallest as got . clearly element in pivot. Worst care occur when away in abrody sorted in increasing or decreasing or decreasing order decreasing order T(n) = T(0) + T(n-1) + Q(n) T(n)= T(n-1) + On Best Care + St occurs when partition process always pichs middle element as pirot. T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)4. Average Care Complexity of Buick Sord For any pivot position i, i ∈ {0,...,n-} . Time for partitioning an away, in The head & tail subarrays contain is n-1-i item T(n) = (n + T(i) + T(n-1-i) Average running time for sorting T(n)= in 20 (T(i)+ T(m-1-i)+ cm)

$$= \frac{2}{n} \left( T(0) + T(1) + \dots + T(n-2) + T(n-1) \right)$$

$$T(n) = 2 \left( T(0) + T(1) + \dots + T(n-2) + T(n-1) \right)$$

$$(n) = 2 \left( T(0) + T(1) + \dots + T(n-2) + T(n-1) \right)$$

$$(n) = 2 \left( T(0) + T(1) + \dots + T(n-2) + \dots + T(n-2) + \dots + T(n-1) \right)$$

$$= 2 \left( T(n) + T(n-1) + 2 \left( T(n-$$

$$= \frac{2C}{n+1} + \frac{2C}{n} + \frac{2C}{3} + \frac{2C}{2}$$

$$= \frac{7(n)}{n+1} = \frac{7(0)}{1} + \frac{2C(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1})}{1}$$

$$\approx \frac{2C(H_{n+1} - 1)}{1} \approx \frac{C(\log n)}{1}$$
(where,  $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1}$ )
$$= \ln n + C$$

$$= \ln n + C$$

(n) o O(nlogn) 6 B) i) det take n=2m  $T(2m) = 2T(2m1) + 2m \log_{10}(2m)$ = 27 (2m1) + m2m Calling T (2m) of for f(m)=2f(m-1)+m2m. = 2 (2f(m-2) - (m+) 2 m) -4f(m-2)+(m-1)2m+ =  $4(2f(m-3)+(m-2).2^{m-2}$ + (m+) 2 m+ m2m  $= 8f(m-3) + (m-2) 2^{m} +$ (m-1) 5 m+ m 5 m Proceedy on the line f(m) = 2m f(v) + 2m(1+2+...+m). = 2mf(0) + (m+1) m 2m = 2 m f (b) + m (m+1) 2 m-1

 $T(n) = nT(1) + n \left( \frac{\log_2(n) + \log_2(n)}{2} \right)$  $2) + (n) = O(n \log^2 n)$ ii) the master Th. providu a sol= of recommendation of form  $t(n) = a \tau(\frac{\pi}{6}) + f(n)$ for a > 1 & b > 1 who with a .

so on ympoto hically positive . Such Gave i) if  $f(n) = O(n \log_{2} a - \varepsilon_{e})$   $T(n) = O(n \log_{e} a)$ an ") if f(n) z @O(n wg, a - 2). Cano (11)

Ef  $f(n) = \Omega(n \log_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \varepsilon_{\varepsilon})$ Ec >0 t(n) = O(f(n))(u)  $T(n) = 2 + (n/2) + \frac{n}{uyn}$  az 2, bz 2, ... azb, k=1,  $\xi = -1$  T(n) = 0 (n4 log n)
= 0 (n log log n) 7. A) ii) f(n)= n3, if 05n<1000 = n, otherwise g(n)=n. cf 05 n 5/00 = n +5n Otherwise f(n)  $n^3$   $n^3$   $n^2$  g(n) n  $n^2+5n$  g(n)  $n^2+6n$  g(n) g(:. Both f(r) & g(r) are taling
son same from for no 10000 ", Answer is option 389 ii) Big - O little -0 ) Big-O in uppor 1) lille-Diales bound in a stronger condition than big-D bound. upper bound u) Uttle-O in a stord of upper bound

5 A) Recoursion To Factorial Proggram S(n) = n f(n-1) + n>0 f(v)=1 + n=0 Pseudo Codo Factorial (n): id nin O return ol return no factorial (n-1) Time complexity factorial (0) is only comparison (1 units) factorial (n) in 1 comparison; 1 him for factorial (n-1) t(n) = .t(n-1) + 37(0)=1. T(n)= T(n-1)+3 - + (n-1)+6 = t(n-4)+34 T(n) 2 T(0) +3n (200) k=n) T(n) 1+3n : Time complexity = O(n