5/6/24, 7:45 PM 3.ipynb - Colab

Train Test Splits, Cross Validation, and Linear Regression

Lregressions.png

Learning Objectives

- Explain the difference between over-fitting and under-fitting a model
- Describe Bias-variance tradeoffs
- · Find the optimal training and test data set splits, cross-validation, and model complexity versus error
- Apply a linear regression model for supervised learning
- Apply Intel® Extension for Scikit-learn* to leverage underlying compute capabilities of hardware

scikit-learn*

Frameworks provide structure that Data Scientists use to build code. Frameworks are more than just libraries, because in addition to callable code, frameworks influence how code is written.

A main virtue of using an optimized framework is that code runs faster. Code that runs faster is just generally more convenient but when we begin looking at applied data science and AI models, we can see more material benefits. Here you will see how optimization, particularly hyperparameter optimization can benefit more than just speed.

These exercises will demonstrate how to apply **the Intel® Extension for Scikit-learn***, a seamless way to speed up your Scikit-learn application. The acceleration is achieved through the use of the Intel® oneAPI Data Analytics Library (oneDAL). Patching is the term used to extend scikit-learn with Intel optimizations and makes it a well-suited machine learning framework for dealing with real-life problems.

To get optimized versions of many Scikit-learn algorithms using a patch() approach consisting of adding these lines of code prior to importing sklearn:

- · from sklearnex import patch_sklearn
- patch_sklearn()

This exercise relies on installation of Intel® Extension for Scikit-learn*

If you have not already done so, follow the instructions from Week 1 for instructions

Introduction

We will be working with a data set based on <u>housing prices in Ames, lowa</u>. It was compiled for educational use to be a modernized and expanded alternative to the well-known Boston Housing dataset. This version of the data set has had some missing values filled for convenience.

There are an extensive number of features, so they've been described in the table below.

Predictor

· SalePrice: The property's sale price in dollars.

Features

- MoSold: Month Sold
- YrSold: Year Sold

```
LandContour: Flatness of the property
    YearBuilt: Original construction date
    YearRemodAdd: Remodel date
    OverallQual: Overall material and finish quality
    OverallCond: Overall condition rating
    Utilities: Type of utilities available
    Foundation: Type of foundation
    Functional: Home functionality rating</rr>
    BldgType: Type of dwelling
    HouseStyle: Style of dwelling<br>
    1stFlrSF: First Floor square feet
    2ndFlrSF: Second floor square feet
    LowQualFinSF: Low quality finished square feet (all floors)
    GrLivArea: Above grade (ground) living area square feet
    TotRmsAbvGrd: Total rooms above grade (does not include bathrooms)
    Condition1: Proximity to main road or railroad
    Condition2: Proximity to main road or railroad (if a second is present)
    RoofStyle: Type of roof
    RoofMatl: Roof material</pr>
    ExterQual: Exterior material quality
    ExterCond: Present condition of the material on the exterior
    Exterior1st: Exterior covering on house
    Exterior2nd: Exterior covering on house (if more than one material)
   <1115
    MasVnrType: Masonry veneer type
    MasVnrArea: Masonry veneer area in square feet
    \li>\WoodDeckSF: Wood deck area in square feet\OpenPorchSF: Open porch area in square feet
    EnclosedPorch: Enclosed porch area in square feet
    3SsnPorch: Three season porch area in square feet
    ScreenPorch: Screen porch area in square feet
    PoolArea: Pool area in square feet
    PoolQC: Pool qualityFence: Fence quality
    PavedDrive: Paved driveway
    GarageType: Garage location
    </p
    </p
    Heating: Type of heating
    Electrical: Electrical system
    FullBath: Full bathrooms above grade
    HalfBath: Half baths above grade</rr>
    BedroomAbvGr: Number of bedrooms above basement level
    KitchenAbvGr: Number of kitchens
    KitchenQual: Kitchen quality
    Fireplaces: Number of fireplaces
    FireplaceQu: Fireplace quality
    MiscFeature: Miscellaneous feature not covered in other categories
    MiscVal: Value of miscellaneous feature<br>
    SsmtQual: Height of the basement
    BsmtCond: General condition of the basement
    SsmtExposure: Walkout or garden level basement walls
    BsmtFinType1: Quality of basement finished area
    BsmtFinSF1: Type 1 finished square feet
    SsmtFinType2: Quality of second finished area (if present)
    BsmtFinSF2: Type 2 finished square feet
    SsmtUnfSF: Unfinished square feet of basement area
    SsmtFullBath: Basement full bathrooms
    SsmtHalfBath: Basement half bathrooms
    TotalBsmtSF: Total square feet of basement area
```

```
from __future__ import print_function
import os

data_path = [ r'G:\MITADT\2023-24 Sem-II\AIEC Machine Learning lab\Resources\Class3-Train_Test_Splits_Validation_Linear_Regression\Class3-Train_Test

from sklearnex import patch_sklearn
patch_sklearn()

from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder, LabelEncoder
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler, MinMaxScaler, MaxAbsScaler

Intel(R) Extension for Scikit-learn* enabled (https://github.com/intel/scikit-learn-intelex)
```

Ouestion 1

5/6/24, 7:45 PM

- Import the data using Pandas and examine the shape. There are 79 feature columns plus the predictor, the sale price (SalePrice).
- There are three different types: integers (int64), floats (float64), and strings (object, categoricals). Examine how many there are of each data type.

Question 2

As discussed in the lecture, a significant challenge, particularly when dealing with data that have many columns, is ensuring each column gets encoded correctly.

This is particularly true with data columns that are ordered categoricals (ordinals) vs unordered categoricals. Unordered categoricals should be one-hot encoded, however this can significantly increase the number of features and creates features that are highly correlated with each other.

Determine how many total features would be present, relative to what currently exists, if all string (object) features are one-hot encoded. Recall that the total number of one-hot encoded columns is n-1, where n is the number of categories.

Question 3

5/6/24, 7:45 PM 3.ipynb - Colab

Let's create a new data set where all of the above categorical features will be one-hot encoded. We can fit this data and see how it affects the results.

- Used the dataframe .copy() method to create a completely separate copy of the dataframe for one-hot encoding
- On this new dataframe, one-hot encode each of the appropriate columns and add it back to the dataframe. Be sure to drop the original column
- For the data that are not one-hot encoded, drop the columns that are string categoricals.

For the first step, numerically encoding the string categoricals, either Scikit-learn;s LabelEncoder or DictVectorizer can be used. However, the former is probably easier since it doesn't require specifying a numerical value for each category, and we are going to one-hot encode all of the numerical values anyway. (Can you think of a time when DictVectorizer might be preferred?)

```
data_ohc = data.copy()
le = LabelEncoder()
ohc = OneHotEncoder()
for col in num_ohc_cols.index:
    dat = le.fit_transform(data_ohc[col]).astype(int)
    data_ohc = data_ohc.drop(col, axis=1)
    new_dat = ohc.fit_transform(dat.reshape(-1,1))
    n_cols = new_dat.shape[1]
    col_names = ['_'.join([col, str(x)]) for x in range(n_cols)]
    new_df = pd.DataFrame(new_dat.toarray(),
                          index=data_ohc.index,
                          columns=col_names)
   data_ohc = pd.concat([data_ohc, new_df], axis=1)
data_ohc.shape[1] - data.shape[1]
     215
print(data.shape[1])
data = data.drop(num_ohc_cols.index, axis=1)
print(data.shape[1])
     80
     37
```

Question 4

- Create train and test splits of both data sets. To ensure the data gets split the same way, use the same random_state in each of the two splits.
- For each data set, fit a basic linear regression model on the training data.
- Calculate the mean squared error on both the train and test sets for the respective models. Which model produces smaller error on the test data and why?

```
y_col = 'SalePrice'
feature_cols = [x for x in data.columns if x != y_col]
X_data = data[feature_cols]
y_{data} = data[y_{col}]
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_data, y_data,
                                                     test size=0.3, random state=42)
feature_cols = [x for x in data_ohc.columns if x != y_col]
X_data_ohc = data_ohc[feature_cols]
y_data_ohc = data_ohc[y_col]
X_train_ohc, X_test_ohc, y_train_ohc, y_test_ohc = train_test_split(X_data_ohc, y_data_ohc,
                                                     test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
# Compare the indices to ensure they are identical
(X_train_ohc.index == X_train.index).all()
LR = LinearRegression()
error_df = list()
LR = LR.fit(X_train.values, y_train.values)
y_train_pred = LR.predict(X_train.values)
y_test_pred = LR.predict(X_test.values)
error\_df.append(pd.Series(\{'train': mean\_squared\_error(y\_train, y\_train\_pred),
                            'test' : mean_squared_error(y_test, y_test_pred)},
                           name='no enc'))
LR = LinearRegression()
LR = LR.fit(X_train_ohc.values, y_train_ohc.values)
y_train_ohc_pred = LR.predict(X_train_ohc.values)
y_test_ohc_pred = LR.predict(X_test_ohc.values)
error\_df.append(pd.Series(\{'train': mean\_squared\_error(y\_train\_ohc, y\_train\_ohc\_pred),
                            'test' : mean_squared_error(y_test_ohc, y_test_ohc_pred)},
                          name='one-hot enc'))
error_df = pd.concat(error_df, axis=1)
error_df
                 no enc one-hot enc
      train 1.131507e+09 3.177266e+08
      test 1.372182e+09 4.777314e+20
```

Note that the error values on the one-hot encoded data are very different for the train and test data. In particular, the errors on the test data are much higher. Based on the lecture, this is because the one-hot encoded model is overfitting the data. We will learn how to deal with issues like this in the next lesson.

Question 5

For each of the data sets (one-hot encoded and not encoded):

- Scale the all the non-hot encoded values using one of the following: StandardScaler, MinMaxScaler, MaxAbsScaler.
- Compare the error calculated on the test sets

Be sure to calculate the skew (to decide if a transformation should be done) and fit the scaler on *ONLY* the training data, but then apply it to both the train and test data identically.

```
pd.options.mode.chained assignment = None
```

```
scalers = {'standard': StandardScaler(),
           'minmax': MinMaxScaler(),
           'maxabs': MaxAbsScaler()}
training_test_sets = {
    'not_encoded': (X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test),
    'one_hot_encoded': (X_train_ohc, y_train_ohc, X_test_ohc, y_test_ohc)}
mask = X_train.dtypes == float
float_columns = X_train.columns[mask]
errors = {}
for encoding_label, (_X_train, _y_train, _X_test, _y_test) in training_test_sets.items():
    for scaler label, scaler in scalers.items():
        trainingset = _X_train.copy()
        testset = _X_test.copy()
       trainingset[float_columns] = scaler.fit_transform(trainingset[float_columns])
       testset[float_columns] = scaler.transform(testset[float_columns])
       LR = LinearRegression()
       LR.fit(trainingset.values, _y_train.values)
       predictions = LR.predict(testset.values)
       key = encoding_label + ' - ' + scaler_label + 'scaling'
       errors[key] = mean_squared_error(_y_test, predictions)
errors = pd.Series(errors)
print(errors.to_string())
print('-' * 80)
for key, error val in errors.items():
    print('{} {:9.8g}'.format(key, error_val))
     not_encoded - standardscaling
                                          1.372182e+09
     not encoded - minmaxscaling
                                          1.372182e+09
     not_encoded - maxabsscaling
                                          1.372182e+09
     one_hot_encoded - standardscaling
                                          8.065328e+09
     one_hot_encoded - minmaxscaling
                                          8.065328e+09
     one_hot_encoded - maxabsscaling
                                          8.065328e+09
     not_encoded - standardscaling 1.3721824e+09
     not_encoded - minmaxscaling 1.3721824e+09
     not_encoded - maxabsscaling 1.3721824e+09
     one_hot_encoded - standardscaling 8.0653276e+09
     one_hot_encoded - minmaxscaling 8.0653276e+09
     one_hot_encoded - maxabsscaling 8.0653276e+09
```

Question 6

Plot predictions vs actual for one of the models.

5/6/24, 7:45 PM 3.ipynb - Colab

