```
Write a set of JUnit tests for a given class with simple mathematical operations (add,
subtract, multiply, divide) using the basic @Test annotation.
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;
public class MathOperations {
  public int add(int a, int b) {
     return a + b;
  }
  public int subtract(int a, int b) {
     return a - b;
  }
  public int multiply(int a, int b) {
     return a * b;
  }
  public int divide(int a, int b) throws IllegalArgumentException {
     if (b == 0) {
       throw new IllegalArgumentException("Division by zero is not allowed.");
     }
     return a / b;
  }
  public static class MathOperationsTest {
     @Test
     public void testAdd() {
       MathOperations mathOps = new MathOperations();
       assertEquals(5, mathOps.add(2, 3), "2 + 3 should equal 5");
       assertEquals(-1, mathOps.add(-2, 1), "-2 + 1 should equal -1");
     }
     @Test
     public void testSubtract() {
       MathOperations mathOps = new MathOperations();
       assertEquals(1, mathOps.subtract(3, 2), "3 - 2 should equal 1");
       assertEquals(-3, mathOps.subtract(-2, 1), "-2 - 1 should equal -3");
```

```
}
     @Test
     public void testMultiply() {
       MathOperations mathOps = new MathOperations();
       assertEquals(6, mathOps.multiply(2, 3), "2 * 3 should equal 6");
       assertEquals(-2, mathOps.multiply(-1, 2), "-1 * 2 should equal -2");
     }
     @Test
     public void testDivide() {
       MathOperations mathOps = new MathOperations();
       assertEquals(2, mathOps.divide(6, 3), "6 / 3 should equal 2");
       assertEquals(-2, mathOps.divide(-4, 2), "-4 / 2 should equal -2");
       Exception exception = assertThrows(IllegalArgumentException.class, () -> {
          mathOps.divide(1, 0);
       });
       assertEquals("Division by zero is not allowed.", exception.getMessage());
     }
  }
}
Task 2: Extend the above JUnit tests to use @Before, @After, @BeforeClass, and
@AfterClass annotations to manage test setup and teardown.
import org.junit.jupiter.api.*;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;
```

public class MathOperations {

public int add(int a, int b) {

return a + b;

}

```
public int subtract(int a, int b) {
  return a - b;
}
public int multiply(int a, int b) {
  return a * b;
}
public int divide(int a, int b) throws IllegalArgumentException {
  if (b == 0) {
     throw new IllegalArgumentException("Division by zero is not allowed.");
  }
  return a / b;
}
public static class MathOperationsTest {
  private MathOperations mathOps;
  @BeforeAll
  public static void initAll() {
     System.out.println("Starting tests...");
  }
  @BeforeEach
  public void init() {
     mathOps = new MathOperations();
     System.out.println("Starting a test...");
  }
  @Test
  public void testAdd() {
     assertEquals(5, mathOps.add(2, 3), "2 + 3 should equal 5");
     assertEquals(-1, mathOps.add(-2, 1), "-2 + 1 should equal -1");
  }
  @Test
  public void testSubtract() {
     assertEquals(1, mathOps.subtract(3, 2), "3 - 2 should equal 1");
     assertEquals(-3, mathOps.subtract(-2, 1), "-2 - 1 should equal -3");
```

```
}
     @Test
     public void testMultiply() {
       assertEquals(6, mathOps.multiply(2, 3), "2 * 3 should equal 6");
       assertEquals(-2, mathOps.multiply(-1, 2), "-1 * 2 should equal -2");
     }
     @Test
     public void testDivide() {
       assertEquals(2, mathOps.divide(6, 3), "6 / 3 should equal 2");
       assertEquals(-2, mathOps.divide(-4, 2), "-4 / 2 should equal -2");
       Exception exception = assertThrows(IllegalArgumentException.class, () -> {
          mathOps.divide(1, 0);
       });
       assertEquals("Division by zero is not allowed.", exception.getMessage());
     }
     @AfterEach
     public void tearDown() {
       System.out.println("Finished a test.");
     }
     @AfterAll
     public static void tearDownAll() {
       System.out.println("Finished all tests.");
     }
  }
}
Task 3: Create test cases with assertEquals, assertTrue, and assertFalse to validate
the correctness of a custom String utility class.
import org.junit.jupiter.api.Test;
import static org.junit.jupiter.api.Assertions.*;
public class StringUtil {
  public static boolean isEmpty(String str) {
```

```
return str == null || str.isEmpty();
  }
  public static String reverse(String str) {
     if (str == null) {
       return null;
     }
     return new StringBuilder(str).reverse().toString();
  }
  public static boolean isPalindrome(String str) {
     if (str == null) {
        return false;
     }
     String reversed = reverse(str);
     return str.equals(reversed);
  }
  public static String toUpperCase(String str) {
     if (str == null) {
        return null;
     }
     return str.toUpperCase();
  }
  public static class StringUtilTest {
     @Test
     public void testIsEmpty() {
        assertTrue(StringUtil.isEmpty(null), "String should be considered empty if it is
null");
        assertTrue(StringUtil.isEmpty(""), "String should be considered empty if it is an
empty string");
        assertFalse(StringUtil.isEmpty("Hello"), "String 'Hello' should not be considered
empty");
     }
     @Test
     public void testReverse() {
```

```
assertEquals("olleH", StringUtil.reverse("Hello"), "The reverse of 'Hello' should
be 'olleH'");
       assertEquals("", StringUtil.reverse(""), "The reverse of an empty string should be
an empty string");
       assertNull(StringUtil.reverse(null), "The reverse of null should be null");
     }
     @Test
     public void testIsPalindrome() {
       assertTrue(StringUtil.isPalindrome("madam"), "'madam' should be identified as a
palindrome");
       assertFalse(StringUtil.isPalindrome("hello"), "'hello' should not be identified as a
palindrome");
       assertFalse(StringUtil.isPalindrome(null), "null should not be identified as a
palindrome");
     }
     @Test
     public void testToUpperCase() {
       assertEquals("HELLO", StringUtil.toUpperCase("hello"), "'hello' should be
converted to 'HELLO'");
       assertEquals("", StringUtil.toUpperCase(""), "An empty string should remain an
empty string when converted to uppercase");
       assertNull(StringUtil.toUpperCase(null), "Converting null to uppercase should
return null");
    }
  }
  public static void main(String[] args) {
     org.junit.platform.console.ConsoleLauncher.main(
       new String[] { "--select-class", "StringUtil$StringUtilTest" });
  }
}
```

**Task 4:** Research and present a comparison of different garbage collection algorithms (Serial, Parallel, CMS, G1, ZGC) in Java.

Garbage collection (GC) in Java is a critical component for managing memory. Different GC algorithms have been designed to balance trade-offs between application throughput, latency, and memory footprint. Here's a comparison of some of the main garbage collection algorithms in Java: Serial, Parallel, Concurrent Mark-Sweep (CMS), Garbage-First (G1), and Z Garbage Collector (ZGC).

## 1. Serial Garbage Collector

#### **Description:**

- The simplest GC algorithm.
- Uses a single thread to perform all garbage collection work.
- Best suited for single-threaded applications or environments with small heap sizes.

#### Advantages:

- Simple and easy to implement.
- Minimal overhead due to the use of a single thread.

#### Disadvantages:

- Pauses all application threads during GC (stop-the-world pauses).
- Not suitable for multi-threaded applications or large heap sizes due to long pause times.

#### **Use Case:**

 Best for small applications with low memory requirements and single-threaded environments.

#### JVM Option:

• -XX:+UseSerialGC

## 2. Parallel Garbage Collector

#### **Description:**

- Also known as the "Throughput Collector".
- Uses multiple threads to perform GC work.
- Focuses on maximizing application throughput by minimizing the total time spent on GC.

## Advantages:

- Efficient for applications with high throughput requirements.
- Can handle larger heap sizes better than the Serial GC.

### **Disadvantages:**

- Still has stop-the-world pauses.
- Less focus on minimizing pause times, which can be problematic for latency-sensitive applications.

#### Use Case:

• Suitable for multi-threaded applications where throughput is more important than low latency.

#### **JVM Option:**

• -XX:+UseParallelGC

## 3. Concurrent Mark-Sweep (CMS) Garbage Collector

## **Description:**

- Designed to minimize pause times by performing most of the GC work concurrently with application threads.
- Uses multiple threads for GC and runs in phases to reduce stop-the-world pauses.

## Advantages:

- Reduced pause times compared to Serial and Parallel GCs.
- Suitable for applications requiring low latency.

# Disadvantages:

- Can cause fragmentation, leading to inefficient memory use.
- Requires more CPU resources compared to Serial and Parallel GCs.
- Phases where stop-the-world pauses still occur.

#### **Use Case:**

 Applications that require low pause times and can tolerate some overhead from concurrent GC work.

### **JVM Option:**

-XX:+UseConcMarkSweepGC

## 4. Garbage-First (G1) Garbage Collector

#### **Description:**

- Aims to achieve both high throughput and low pause times.
- Divides the heap into regions and performs GC in a controlled manner.
- Uses a mix of concurrent and stop-the-world phases to manage memory.

### Advantages:

- Predictable pause times, making it suitable for latency-sensitive applications.
- Handles large heaps more efficiently than CMS.
- Reduces fragmentation through region-based memory management.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- More complex to configure and tune.
- Can require significant CPU resources.

#### **Use Case:**

 Large applications needing a balance between low pause times and high throughput.

#### **JVM Option:**

-XX:+UseG1GC

# 5. Z Garbage Collector (ZGC)

#### **Description:**

- Aims to achieve very low pause times (usually in the range of milliseconds).
- Performs most of the GC work concurrently with application threads.
- Designed for large heaps and memory-intensive applications.

#### Advantages:

- Extremely low pause times, typically sub-millisecond.
- Scales well with large heaps (terabyte-sized).

• Efficient concurrent compaction to reduce fragmentation.

# Disadvantages:

- Relatively new and may not be as mature as other GCs.
- Can have higher CPU overhead due to extensive concurrent operations.

### **Use Case:**

• Applications requiring minimal pause times and handling very large heaps.

# JVM Option:

• -XX:+UseZGC

# **Summary**

Garbag e Collect or	Descripti on	Advantag es	Disadvantag es	Use Cases	JVM Option
Serial	Single-thr eaded GC	Simple, minimal overhead	Long pause times, not for large heaps	Small, single-thre aded application s	-XX:+UseSerialG C
Parallel	Multi-threa ded GC for throughput	High throughput	Longer pause times	Multi-threa ded, throughput -critical	-XX:+UseParalle 1GC
CMS	Concurren t Mark-Swe ep	Low pause times	Fragmentatio n, more CPU use	Latency-se nsitive application s	-XX:+UseConcMar kSweepGC
G1	Garbage- First, region-bas ed	Predictabl e pauses, handles large heaps	Complex tuning, more CPU use	Large application s, balanced needs	-XX:+UseG1GC

ZGC Ultra-low Very low Higher CPU Large, -XX:+UseZGC pause pauses, overhead latency-crit time, handles ical application concurrent very large heaps s