#### Collaborative Discussion 1

Initial Post by Jason Yim by Sheung Yat Yim - Sunday, 12 March 2023, 4:21 AM Number of replies: 1

The articles of risks of digitalization of business model suggested that term Industry 4.0 refers to the advancement of digitalization and business model. It is a sequel of 3<sup>rd</sup> of industrialization. Firstly, authors indicated that Industry 4.0 started from the introduction of internet and approach of decentralization of energy. Since then, wide range of technological drivers such as internet of things, big data, cloud computing, robotics, and artificial intelligence have been adopted and emerged to the market. Furthermore, consensus have been drawn among researchers that Industry 4.0 brings additional risks to the change of business model( KOVAITE), including but not limited to cybersecurity, the value of data and criticality of a function.

As a matter of fact, there are significant challenges arising from the adoption of Industry 4.0. Journal article published by Keliang Zhou (Keliang, 2015) claimed that developed Countries largely adopt technological drivers of industry 4.0 such as Japan, China and India bear the first blunt about it. Some of these countries see drop of unemployment rate following the use of Artificial Intelligence and robotics. This serves as one of major factors contributes to the economic downturn in Japan. On the other hand, industry 4.0 may also involves with complex legal issue and environmental issue. Infringement of intellectual property right serves as prime example. Chatgpt becomes a concern of different sectors that their design or idea may be snatched without their consent. There are many other issues arising from Industry 4.0 such as data quality and credibility, complexity issues, less human control, and higher negative environmental impacts deserved global attention.

#### References:

Kovaite: Risks of digitalization of business models

Keliang ZHou 2015: Industry 4.0: Towards future industrial opportunities and challenges

Peer Response Peer Response

by Sheung Yat Yim - Tuesday, 21 March 2023, 4:09 PM

Number of replies: 0

Thank you for both Nisa and Prannoy of numerateing various cyber breaches to reflect the uprising challeneges associated by Industry 4.0, an era that heading toward digitlization through technological facilitators. Similar cyber attack to a power plant in Saudi Arabia also occured in France(Paralzed French Hosiptal, 2022). A public hospital computer system being paralzed by ransomware that caused tens of thousands of patient delayed their admission to hopsital. Depsite relevant department regained control to the system after

hours of intervention and no ransom was paid, this cyber breaches rendered public panic and increasingly concerned about network saftey. Shall database with patient personal information be hacked, supply chain attack may be carried out as a result. Therefore, it leads me to form up a perspective that industry 4.0 may also become a platform of cyber terrorism. On the other hand, I do also appreciate the figure uploaded by Prannoy with a view to explain about the interaction between industrial and IT components. That will be great if further ellaboration could be provided. However, I do absolutely concur with the conclusion that critical infrastructures must be equipped with a security mechanism to prevent, detect, and recover from attacks. (Flatt)

#### References

Paralzed French Hosiptal, 2022 Avaiable online from:

https://www.rfi.fr/en/france/20220902-paralysed-french-hospital-fights-cyber-attack-as-hackers-lower-ransom-demand

Flatt, H., Schriegel, S., Jasperneite, J., Trsek, H. and Adamczyk, H., 2016, September. Analysis of the Cyber-Security of industry 4.0 technologies based on RAMI 4.0 and identification of requirements. In 2016 IEEE 21st International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA) (pp. 1-4). IEEE

Maximum rating: -

In reply to Antonios Kikidis Peer Response by Jason Yim

by Sheung Yat Yim - Tuesday, 14 March 2023, 9:40 AM

Thank you for numerating different examples of cyber security breaches, as I do comply with your view that the 4th industrial revolution is of definition to the new organization and controls of the life cycle and products through technological drivers the Internet of things , the Industrial Internet, Big data, Cloud computing, Artificial intelligence. The SolarWind attack indeed serves as prime example in this regard. Being as one of the biggest cyber security breaches in the 21st century, hackers launched the supply chain attack by breaking into the system by deploying malicious code. Not only did the targeted company SolarWind has been attacked, but also its customers. Enabling the number of potential victims grew exponentially.

This cyber attack plus other examples like Cypto theft and Microsoft data breach occurred last year 2022 [1] reflected that industrial revolution 4.0 does expose behavioral risk, security risk and technical risk that human being can not afford to down play, and should take all necessary actions to tackle it promptly. All institutions and enterprises should establish policies based on confidentiality, Integrity, and Accessibility to defend from any advanced persistent threat. Meanwhile, a robust cyber security response plan shall be formulated to ensure the readiness against any attack.

#### References

[1] Top 10 Data Breaches So Far in 2022 By Dr. Rey LeClerc Sveinsson, ERMProtect Available from https://ermprotect.com/blog/top-10-data-breaches-so-far-in-2022/ Maximum rating: -

Collaborative Discussion 2
Initial Post

## **CVSS**

The Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) is a free and open industry standard for assessing the severity of computer system security vulnerabilities. CVSS attempts to assign severity scores to vulnerabilities, allowing responders to prioritize responses and resources according to threat. (Mell et al., 2022)

# **CVSS** criticism

Weaknesses in the existing CVSS scoring system have been highlighted through new research, with existing metrics deemed responsible for "overhyping" some vulnerabilities.

So-called "overinflated" ratings are potentially eating up the limited time of cybersecurity teams who may then not be focused on the bugs most likely to impact their organizations in favor of issues deemed critical across the board. (Charlie Osborne, 2023)

## Alternative of CVSS

Risk-based vulnerability management assesses the exact risk levels, prioritizes the vulnerabilities, and mitigates them to reduce the probability of exploitation. (Priyanka VH, 2022)

## CVSS vs DREAD

STRIDE is a Threat modelling framework that is used to Identity threats in the application architecture early when they are less costly to mitigate. As a result, it greatly reduces the total cost of development and improves the security posture of the application. STRIDE stands for:-

- Spoofing (change state)
- Tampering (Man in the middle, IDOR)
- Repudiation (denying activities)Information disclosure (data
- information disclosure (data breach)
- Denial of service (service unavailable)
- Elevation of privilege (performing actions of a high privileged user)

DREAD threat modelling framework is comparable to CVSS, The Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) is a framework for measuring the severity of vulnerabilities. It is important to note that since CVSS is there to measure vulnerabilities, we cannot measure threats with CVSS because we do threat modelling on the design and architecture level.

(Cerovic L.(2022) StrideLang)

Reference:

Reference:

<u>Charlie Osborne</u> (2023) CVSS system criticized for failure to address real-world impact. Available at: <a href="https://portswigger.net/daily-swig/cvss-system-criticized-for-failure-to-address-real-world-impact">https://portswigger.net/daily-swig/cvss-system-criticized-for-failure-to-address-real-world-impact</a>

<u>Priyanka VH</u> (2022) Why Is It Important To Prioritize Vulnerabilities Beyond CVSS Available at: <a href="https://www.secpod.com/blog/why-is-it-important-to-prioritize-vulnerabilities-beyond-cvss/">https://www.secpod.com/blog/why-is-it-important-to-prioritize-vulnerabilities-beyond-cvss/</a>

Cerovic L.(2022) Creation of a Domain-Specific Threat Modeling Language using STRIDE, DREAD and MAL. KTH Royal institute of technology. Available from: <a href="https://kth.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1710734/FULLTEXT01.pdf">https://kth.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1710734/FULLTEXT01.pdf</a>.

Summary post

It is in my opinion that CVSS (Common Vulnerability Scoring System) is a quantitative measure. The point that assigned to a vulnerability is a numerical value that indicates the relative severity of the vulnerability. The CVSS score is calculated based on various of qualitative and quantitative factors, across from complexity of the attack required to exploit the vulnerability, the potential threat of the vulnerability, and the number of users or systems impact (NIST, 2022). Nonetheless, as it is measured in a numeric value (0-10), it may fall under the category of quantitative measurement. (Oracle 2016) In conclusion, it is suggested that CVSS is a free industry standard for assessing the security of computer system. Score would be assigned for the vulnerability by means to help security administrators to prioritize the problem.

Tracing back to the record, CVSS first appeared in 2005 and has changed over time. The latest version of CVSS is CVSSv3.1, which was firstly introduced in 2019. The score assigned to a vulnerability reflects the vulnerability's potential impact on the system if it is exploited, as well as the difficulty of exploiting the vulnerability.

The CVSS scoring system ranges from 0 to 10, with a score of 10 indicating the most severe vulnerability. The system takes into account a number of factors, such as the level of access required to exploit the vulnerability, the specific impact that exploitation would have on the system, and the exploitability of the vulnerability. (Claus Neilsen—June 7, 2022)

Despite CVSS has been widely used by many experts, following frameworks serve as alternatives that are also recommended by experts.

- 1. Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE): Focuses on software weaknesses that can lead to vulnerabilities, rather than the vulnerabilities themselves. (CWE ,2014)
- 2. The Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP) Risk Rating Methodology: focuses on web application vulnerabilities and provides a risk rating based on the likelihood of a vulnerability being exploited and the potential impact of an attack.(Grant Onger ,2023)
- 3. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Cybersecurity Framework: Rather than focusing on specific vulnerabilities, NIST Framework offers a comprehensive approach to managing cybersecurity risk. The framework provides guidance on identifying, assessing, and managing risk across an entire organization.

## Reference

CWE 2014 aviable at https://cwe.mitre.org/cwss/cwss v1.0.1.html

Grang Onger 2023 aviable at https://owasp.org/blog/2023/03/31/owasp-strategy-2023-1.html	
Claus Nielsen—June 7, 2022 avaiable at https://www.holmsecurity.com/blog/cvss-and-why-it-is-necessary	
Maximum rating: □ (1) PermalinkReply	

# Peer Response to Stella William

Thank you for bringing up the critism of CVSS, as there are indeed some potential issues existed in this framwork that users have to beware of.

First, CVSS relies on subjective assessments by security experts, and different experts may assign different scores to the same vulnerability. This can lead to inconsistencies in ratings and can make it difficult for organizations to prioritize which vulnerabilities to address first. (2020)

Second, CVSS scores do not take into account factors such as the potential impact on business operations, the specific context of an organization's environment, or the likelihood of a successful attack. This can result in an overemphasis on the technical characteristics of a vulnerability, rather than its actual risk to the organization. (Spring J 2021)

Finally, CVSS ratings are based solely on the characteristics of the vulnerability itself, rather than the effectiveness of existing mitigations or the overall security posture of an organization. As a result, CVSS scores may not fully reflect the true risk posed by a vulnerability to a particular organization.

# References

-Aboud, J. (2020) Why You Need to Stop Using CVSS for Vulnerability

Prioritization. 27 April 2020. Tenable Research. Available from: https://www.tenable.com/blog/why-you-need-to-stop-using-cvss-for-vulnerability-prioritization [Accessed 23 Apr. 2023]
-Spring, J., Hatleback, E., Householder, A., Manion, A. and Shick, D. (2021) Time to Change the CVSS. IEEE Security & Privacy 19(2): 74–78. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/msec.2020.3044475
Maximum rating: -

It is in my opinion that CVSS (Common Vulnerability Scoring System) is a quantitative measure. The point that is assigned to a vulnerability is a numerical value that indicates the relative severity of the vulnerability. The CVSS score is calculated based on various of qualitative and quantitative factors, across from complexity of the attack required to exploit the vulnerability, the potential threat of the vulnerability, and the number of users or systems impact (NIST, 2022). Nonetheless, as it is measured in a numeric value (0-10), it may fall under the category of quantitative measurement. (Oracle 2016)

#### References

NIST 2022 aviable at https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln-metrics/cvss Oracle 2021 aviavle at

 $https://docs.oracle.com/cd/E74890\_01/books/SecurHarden/securharden\_testing 002.htm$ 

Maximum rating: -