#### ANDREW TULLOCH

# RAMSAY THEORY

TRINITY COLLEGE
THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

## Contents

1	Monochromatic Systems	5	
2	Van Der Waerden's Theore	m	9

- 3 The Hales-Jewett Theorem 11
- 4 Bibliography 13

### Monochromatic Systems

**Theorem 1.1** (Ramsay's theorem). Whenever  $\mathbb{N}^{(2)}$  is two-coloured, there exists an infinite monochromatic set.

- (i) Called a "two-pass" proof.
- (ii) Same proof that whenever  $N^{(2)}$  is k-coloured. Alternatively, view color as 1 and "2 or 3 or ... or k". and by theorem one we get an infinite set of colour 1 then just induct on k.
- (iii) Having an infinite monochromatic set is stronger than asking for an arbitrarily large finite monochromatic set.

**Example 1.2.** Any sequence  $x_1, x_2, ...$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  (or any totally ordered set) has a monotone subsequence.

*Proof.* Color **up** if 
$$x_i < x_j$$
, **down** if  $x_i \ge x_j$ , and apply Theorem 1.1.

What about  $\mathbb{N}^{(r)}$ ,  $r = 3, 4, \dots$  If we two-color  $\mathbb{N}^{(r)}$ , can we get an infinite monochromatic set?

For example, consider n = 3. Color  $N^{(3)}$  by colouring (i, j, k) **red** if i divides j + k, **blue** if not.

**Theorem 1.3** (Ramsey's theorem for r-sets). Whenever  $\mathbb{N}^{(r)}$  is two-coloured, there exists an infinite monochromatic set.

*Proof.* Induction on r. r=1 is trivial by the pigeonhole principle. r=2 is shown by Theorem 1.1.

Now, given a two-colouring of  $N^{(r)}$ . Choose  $a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . We induce a two-colouring c' of  $(\mathbb{N} - \{a_1)^{(r-1)}$  by  $c'(F) = c(F \cup \{a_1\})$  for all  $F \in$ 

 $(\mathbb{N} - \{a_1\})^{(r-1)}$ . By induction, there exists an infinite monochromatic set  $B_1 \subseteq N - \{a_1\}$  for c'.

So all r-sets  $F \cup \{a_1\}$ ,  $F \subset B_1$  have the same color  $(c_1, \text{say})$ . Choose  $a_2 \in B_1$ . By the same argument, there exists an infinite set  $B_2 \subset B_1 - \{a_2\}$  such that all r-sets  $F \cup \{a_2\}$ ,  $F \subset B_2$  have the same colour. Continue inductively. We obtain a sequence of points  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  and colors  $c_1, c_2, \ldots$  such that each r-set  $a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_r}$  with  $i_1 < \cdots < i_2$  has color  $c_{i_1}$ . But we must have  $c_{i_1} = c_{i_2} = c_{i_3} = \ldots$  for some infinite subsequence. Then  $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots\}$  is an infinite monochromatic sequence.

**Example 1.4.** We can show that given any  $(1, x_1), (2, x_2), \ldots$  we can find a subsequence inducing a monotone function. Consider the three-colouring of  $(1, x_1), (2, x_2), (3, x_3), \ldots$  by colouring triples of points **convex** or **convex** depending on the colouring of the set.

**Theorem 1.5.** Infinite Ramsey (Theorem 1.3) implies the finite version. That is, for all  $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ , whenever  $[m]^{(r)}$  is two-coloured there exists a monochromatic m-set.

*Proof.* Suppose not, so for all  $n \ge r$  there exists a two-colouring  $c_n$  of  $[m]^{(r)}$  without a monochromatic m-set. We'll construct a 2-colouring of  $\mathbb{N}^{(r)}$  without a monochromatic m-set, contradicting Theorem 1.3.<sup>1</sup> There are only finitely many ways to two-color  $[r]^{(r)}$  (two, in fact). So infinitely many of the  $c_n$  agree on  $[r+1]^{(r)}$ . Say,  $c_i|[r+1]^{(r)}=d_{r+1}$ . Now,

- (i) the  $d_i$  are nested, and
- (ii) no  $d_n$  has a monochromatic m-set (as there is some k such that  $d_n = c_k |[n]^{(r)}$ .

Define a colouring  $c : \mathbb{N}^{(r)} \to [2]$  by  $c(F) = d_n(F)$  for any  $n \ge \max F$ . We obtain our contradiction.

- **Remark 1.6.** (i) Proof gives no bound on what n = n(m,r) we could take. There are direct proofs that do give upper bounds.
- (ii) Called a "compactness argument". Essentially, we are proving that the space  $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  (all infinite o-1 sequences) with the product topology (e.g. the metric  $d(f,g) = \frac{1}{\min(n:f_n \neq g_n)}$  is (sequentially) compact.

<sup>1</sup> If the  $c_n$  nested - that is, if  $c_n|_{[n-1]^{(r)}} = c_{n-1}$ , can take union, but they may **not** be nested

What if we coloured  $\mathbb{N}^{(2)}$  with  $\infty$  many colours (i.e. w have c:  $\mathbb{N}^{(2)} \to X$  for some set X). Obviously, we cannot find an infinite M on which c is constant - for example, let c be injective.

Can we always find an infinite *M* such that *c* is either constant on  $M^{(2)}$  or injective on  $M^{(2)}$ ? No - for example,  $1 \mapsto \{2,3,4,\dots\}, 2 \mapsto$  $\{3,4,5,\ldots\},\ldots$  as different colours as a counterexample.

**Theorem 1.7** (Canonical Ramsey Theorem). Let  $c: \mathbb{N}^{(2)} \to X$  for some set X. Then there exists an infinite  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that one of the following holds:

- (i) c is constant on  $M^{(2)}$ ,
- (ii) c is injective on  $M^{(2)}$ ,

(iii) 
$$c(i,j) = c(k,l) \iff i = k \text{ with } (i,j,k,l \in M, i < j,k < l)$$

(iv) 
$$c(i,j) = c(k,l) \iff j = l$$
) with  $(i,j,k,l \in M, i < j,k < l)$ 

**Remark 1.8.** This generalizes enormously Theorem 1.1 - if X is finite then (i), (iii), (iv) cannot arise.

*Proof.* We'll apply this for Ramsey's theorem on 4-sets. Two-colour  $\mathbb{N}^{(4)}$  by giving (i, j, k, l) colour same if c(i, j) = c(k, l), different otherwise.

By Ramsey's theorem for 4-sets (Theorem 1.3), there exists an infinite set  $M_1$  that is monochromatic for this colouring.

If  $M_1$  is coloured **same**, for any i, j and k, l in  $M_1^2$ , choose  $m, n \in$  $M_1^{(2)}$  within m > j, l. Then c(i, j) = c(m, n) and c(k, l) = c(m, n). So c(i,j) = c(k,l) so c is constant on  $M_1^{(2)}$ .

So now, we may assume  $M_1$  is coloured differently. Now twocolour  $M_1^{(4)}$  by giving (i, j, k, l) same if c(i, l) = c(j, k), different otherwise. By Theorem 1.3, there exists an infinite set  $M_2 \subset M_1$  that is monochromatic for this colouring.

If  $M_2$  are coloured the same, choose i < j < k < l < m < nin  $M_2$ . Then c(j,k) = c(i,n) and c(l,m) = c(i,n), whence c(j,k) =c(l, m), which is a contradiction, as  $M_2 \subset M_1$ . Thus,  $M_2$  is coloured different.

Two-colour  $M_2^{(4)}$  by giving (i,j,k,l) colour **same** if c(i,k) = c(j,l), different otherwise. We have an infinite monochromatic colouring

 $M_3 \subset M_2$  for this colouring. If  $M_3$  coloured **same**, choose i < j < k < l < m < n in  $M_3$ . Then c(i,l) = c(j,m) and c(i,l) = c(k,m), so c(j,n) = c(k,m), a contradiction. So  $M_3$  is coloured **different**.

Two-colour  $M_3^{(3)}$  by giving (i,j,k) colour **same** if c(i,j) = c(j,k), **different** otherwise. We have an infinite monochromatic sequence  $M_4 \subset M_3$  for this colouring. If  $M_4$  is coloured same, choose i < j < k < l in  $M_4$ . Then c(i,j) = c(j,k) = c(k,l), a contradiction. So,  $M_4$  is coloured **differently**.

Two-colour  $M_4^{(3)}$ , by giving (i,j,k) colour **left-same** if c(i,j) = c(i,k), **left-different** otherwise. We have an infinite monochromatic set  $M_5 \subset M_4$  for this. Then two-colour  $M_5^{(3)}$  by giving (i,j,k) colour **right-same** if c(j,k) = c(i,k), **right-different** if not. We get an infinite monochromatic sequence  $M_6$  for this colouring.

If  $M_6$  is **left-different**, right-different, we have case (iii). If  $M_6$  is **left-same**, right-different, we have case (ii). If  $M_6$  is **left-different**, right-same, we have case (iv). If  $M_6$  is **left-same**, right-same, choosing i < j < k in  $M_6$ , then c(i,j) = c(i,k) = c(j,k), which is a contradiction.

**Remark 1.9.** (i) Could use just one colouring, according to the pattern of colours on the 2-sets inside a given 4-set.

(ii) For any r, one can show similarly. For **any** colouring c of  $\mathbb{N}^{(r)}$ , there exists an infinite  $M \subset \mathbb{N}$  and  $I \subset [r]$  such that for all  $i_1 < \cdots < i_r$  and  $j_1 < \cdots < j_r$  in M,  $c(i_1, \ldots, i_r) = c(j_1, \ldots, j_r) \iff i_n = j_n$  for all  $n \in I$ . These  $2^r$  colourings are the canonical colourings of  $\mathbb{N}^{(r)}$ .

For example, let r = 2.  $I = \{1\}$  is case (iii).  $I = \{2\}$  is case

#### Van Der Waerden's Theorem

**Theorem 2.1.** Whenever  $\mathbb{N}$  is two-coloured, there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length m, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever [n] is 2-coloured, there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length m.

One idea in the proof is - we show that  $\forall m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , whenever [n] is k-coloured, there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length m.<sup>1</sup>

Write W(m,k) for the least such n (if it exists) - a "Wan Der Waerden's number". Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_r$  be arithmetic progressions of length m-1, say

$$A_i = \{a_i, a_i + d_i, \dots, a_i + (m-2) * d_i\}$$
 (2.1)

We say  $A_1, \ldots, A_r$  are **focused** at f if  $a_i + (m-1)d_i = f$  for all i - for example,  $\{1,4\}$  and  $\{5,6\}$  are focused at 7. If each  $A_i$  are monochromatic (for a given colouring), with no two  $A_i$  the same colour, say that  $A_i, \ldots, A_r$  are colour-focused at f.<sup>2</sup>

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever [n] is k-coloured, there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length 3.3

**Lemma 2.4.** We claim the following result - for all  $r \le k$ , there exists n such that whenever [n] is k-coloured, there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length 3 or there exist r colour-focused arithmetic progressions of length 2.

- <sup>1</sup> Harder results **could** be easier to prove
- if the proof is by induction!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So if we have a *r*-colouring, and  $A_1, \ldots, A_r$  are colour-focused. Then, we get a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length m - by asking, what colour is the focus f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This will be subsumed by Van Der Waerden's theorem

*Proof.* Proceed by induction on r. This is true for r=1 (setting n=k+1.) We'll show that if n is suitable for r-1 then

$$(k^{2n}+1)\cdot 2n\tag{2.2}$$

is suitable for r. Indeed, given a k-colouring of  $[(k^{2n} + 1)2n]$  with no monochromatic arithmetic progression of length 3.

Break up  $[(k^{2n} + 1)2n]$  into intervals  $B_1, ..., B^{k^{2n}+1}$  of length 2m so  $B_i = [2m(i-1) + 1, 2ni]$  for  $i = 1, 2, ..., k^{2n} + 1$ . Now, there are  $k^{2m}$  ways to k-colour a block. Thus, there exist two blocks coloured identically - say  $B_s$  and  $B_{s+t}$ .

... Complete this proof

Missed lecture...

**Theorem 2.5** (Strengthened Van Der Warden). Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then whenever  $\mathbb{N}$  is finitely coloured there exists an arithmetic progression that (together with it's common difference) is monochromatic.

*Proof.* Induction on k, the number of colours. Given n suitable for k-1 (whenever [n] is k-1 coloured there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression with common difference of length n), then W(n(m-1)+1,k) is suitable for k.

Given k-colouring of [W(n(m-1)+1,k)], there exists a monochromatic arithmetic progression of length n(m-1)+1 - say a,a+d, a+2d, ..., a+n(m-1)d. If d or 2d or ... is the same color as the arithmetic progression, we are done. Otherwise,  $\{d,2d,\ldots,nd\}$  is k-1coloured, so we are done by induction.

**Remark 2.6.** (i) Henceforth, we do not care about bounds.

(ii) The case k = 2 is Shur's theorem - whenever  $\mathbb{N}$  is finitely coloured, there exist x, y, z monochromatic with x + y = z.

### The Hales-Jewett Theorem

Let *X* be a finite set. Subset of  $X^n$  is a **line** or **combinatorial line** if there exists  $I \subset [n]$ ,  $I \neq \emptyset$ , and  $a_i \in X$  for each  $i \in [n] - I$ , such that

$$L = \{ x \in X^n | x_i = a_i \forall i \notin I, x_j = x_k for all j, k \in I \}$$
(3.1)

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that whenever  $[m]^n$  is k-coloured there exists a monochromatic line.

**Remark 3.2.** (i) The smallest such n is denoted HJ(m,k).

- (ii) So m-in-a-row naughts and crosses played in enough dimensions cannot end in a draw.<sup>1</sup>
- (iii) Hales-Jewett implies Van Der Waerden's theorem. Indeed, given a k-colouring on  $\mathbb{N}$ , induce a k-colouring of  $[m]^n$  by  $c'((x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = c(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)$ . By Hales-Jewett, there exists a monochromatic line for c'.

<sup>1</sup> Exercise: show that first-player winers.