

CONVEX OPTIMIZATION SUMMARY

ANDREW TULLOCH

1. EXISTENCE

Definition. For $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define δ_C as

$$\delta_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in C \\ \infty & x \notin C \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Note x' minimizes f over C if and only if x' minimizes $f + \delta_C$ over \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition. (i) $\text{dom } f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) < \infty\}$,
(ii)

$$\arg \min f = \begin{cases} \emptyset & f \equiv \infty \\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) = \inf f\} & f < \infty \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

(iii) f is **proper** if and only if $\text{dom } f \neq \emptyset$ and $f(x) > -\infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition. For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, denote

$$\text{epi } f = \{(x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} | f(x) \leq \alpha\} \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem. A set C is an epigraph if and only if for every x there is an $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $C \cap (x \times \mathbb{R}) = [\alpha, \infty]$ - so all vertical one-dimensional sections must be closed upper half-lines. If f is proper then $\text{epi } f$ is not empty and does not include a complete vertical line.

Definition. For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, define

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x'} f(x) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \inf_{\|x - x'\|_2 \leq \delta} f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\|x - x'\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{k}} f(x) \quad (1.4)$$

f is **lower semicontinuous** at x' if and only if $f(x') \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow x'} f(x)$.
 f is **lower semicontinuous** if f is lower semicontinuous at every $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem.

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow x'} f(x) = \min\{\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{R}} | \exists (x^k) \rightarrow x' : f(x^k) \rightarrow \alpha\} \quad (1.5)$$

In particular, f is lower semi-continuous at x' if and only if $f(x') \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x^k)$ for all convergence sequences $x^k \rightarrow x'$.

Theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) f is lsc on \mathbb{R}^n
- (ii) $\text{epi } f$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$
- (iii) The sub level sets $\text{lev}_{\leq \alpha} f = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) \leq \alpha\}$ are closed in \mathbb{R}^n for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. $\text{epi } f$ can only be not closed along vertical lines. \square

Definition. $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is **level bounded** if and only if $\text{lev}_{\leq \alpha} f$ is bounded for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem. $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is level-bounded if and only if $f(x^k) \rightarrow \infty$ for all sequences (x^k) satisfying $\|x^k\|_2 \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. $K(\alpha)$ such that $x^k \notin \text{lev}_{\leq \alpha} f$ for $k \geq K(\alpha)$ by boundedness. In reverse, find α with $\text{lev}_{\leq \alpha} f$ unbounded and choose x^k in this set with $f(x^k) \leq \alpha$. \square

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is lsc, level-bounded, and proper. Then $\inf f(x) \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and $\arg \min f$ is nonempty and compact.

Proof. Consider $\cap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > \inf f} \arg \min f$, then this is countable intersection of nonempty, compact sets, so intersection is nonempty.

Finiteness of \inf is shown as for any $x \in \arg \min f \neq \emptyset$, must have $f(x) = -\infty$ contradicting properness. \square

Theorem. (i) f, g lsc, proper implies $f + g$ is lsc.

(ii) f lsc, $\lambda \geq 0$ implies λf is lsc

(iii) $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is lsc and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous implies $f \circ g$ is lsc.

2. CONVEXITY

Definition. $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is **convex** if and only if

$$f((1 - \tau)x + \tau y) \leq (1 - \tau)f(x) + \tau f(y) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tau \in (0, 1)$.

A set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is **convex** if and only if δ_C is convex if and only if $(1 - \tau)x + \tau y \in C$ for all $x, y \in C, \tau \in (0, 1)$.

$f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is **strictly convex** if and only if f is convex and the inequality is strict for all $x \neq y$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$.

Definition. Let $x_0, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i = 1$. The linear combination $\sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i x_i$ is a **convex combination** of the points x_0, \dots, x_m .

Theorem. (i) $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex if and only if

$$f\left(\sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i f(x_i) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $m \geq 0, x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i = 1$.

(ii) $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if and only if C contains all convex combinations of its elements.

Theorem. $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex implies $\text{dom } f$ is convex.

Theorem. (i) $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex if and only if $\text{epi } f$ is convex in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is strictly convex if and only if $\{(x, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} | f(x) < \alpha\}$ is convex in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex implies $\text{lev}_{\leq \alpha} f$ is convex for all $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex. Then

- (i) $\arg \min f$ is convex.
- (ii) x is a local minimizer of f implies x is a global minimizer of f .
- (iii) f is strictly convex and proper implies f has at most one global minimizer.

Theorem. Let I be an arbitrary index set. Then

- (i) $f_i, i \in I$ convex implies $\sup_{i \in I} f_i(x)$ is convex.
- (ii) $f_i, i \in I$ strictly convex, I finite implies $\sup_{i \in I} f_i(x)$ is strictly convex.
- (iii) $C_i, i \in I$ is convex implies $\cap_{i \in I} C_i$ is convex.
- (iv) $f_k, k \in N$ is convex implies $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x)$ is convex.

Theorem. Assume $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and convex, and $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) f is [strictly] convex
- (ii) $\langle y - x, \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x) \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in C$ [and > 0 if $x \neq y$]
- (iii) $f(x) + \langle y - x, \nabla f(x) \rangle \leq f(y)$ for all $x, y \in C$ [and $< f(y)$ if $x \neq y$]
- (iv) If f is additionally twice differentiable, then $\nabla^2 f(x)$ is positive semidefinite for all $x \in C$.

Proof. Reduce to one-dimensional sections $g(t) = f(x + t(y - x))$. Take $g(x + h)$, use convexity to show $g'(x) \leq \frac{g(y) - g(x)}{y - x}$.

Use $g(x) = \sup_{y \in \text{dom } g} g(y) + (y - x)g'(y)$ to show the supremum over convex functions is convex. \square

Theorem. (i) Assume $f_1, \dots, f_m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ are convex, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0$. Then $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i$ is convex. If at least one of the f_i with $\lambda_i > 0$ is strictly convex, then f is strictly convex.

(ii) Assume $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ are convex. Then

$$f : \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x_i) \quad (2.3)$$

is convex. If all f_i are strictly convex, then f is strictly convex.

(iii) If $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then $g(x) = f(Ax + b)$ is convex.

Theorem. (i) C_1, \dots, C_m convex implies $C_1 \times \dots \times C_m$ is convex.

(ii) $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ convex, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, L(x) = Ax + b$ implies $L(C)$ is convex.

(iii) $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ convex, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, L(x) = Ax + b$ implies $L^{-1}(C)$ is convex.

(iv) C_1, C_2 is convex implies $C_1 + C_2$ is convex.

(v) C convex, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ implies λC is convex.

Definition. For a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the projection of x onto S as

$$\Pi_S(y) = \arg \min_{x \in S} \|x - y\|_2. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem. Assume $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex, closed, and $C \neq \emptyset$. Then Π_C is single-valued - that is, the projection of x onto C is unique for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. f is lsc, level-bounded, and proper, so $\arg \min f \neq \emptyset$. f is strictly convex so has at most one minimizer. \square

Definition. For an arbitrary set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\text{con } S = \bigcap_{C \text{ convex}, S \subseteq C} C \quad (2.5)$$

is the convex hull of S . It is the smallest convex set that contains S .

Theorem. $\text{con } S = \{\sum_{i=0}^p \lambda_i x_i | x_i \in S, \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^p \lambda_i = 1, p \geq 0\} = D$

Proof. $D \subseteq \text{con } S$ as a convex set contains all convex combinations of points in S , thus $D \subseteq \text{con } S$.

$\text{con } S \subseteq D$ as taking linear combination of $x, y \in D$, we have a convex combination of elements in D , which is in D , so $\text{con } S \subseteq D$. \square

Theorem. We have

- (i) $\text{cl } C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \forall \text{ open neighborhoods } N \text{ of } x, N \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$
- (ii) $\text{int } C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \exists \text{ open neighborhood } N \text{ of } x \text{ such that } N \subseteq C\}$
- (iii) $\text{bnd } C = \text{cl } C \setminus \text{int } C$.

Theorem. $\text{cl } C = \bigcap_{S \text{ closed}, C \subseteq S} S$.

3. CONES AND GENERALIZED INEQUALITIES

Definition. $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a cone if and only if $0 \in K$ and $\lambda x \in K$ for all $x \in K, \lambda \geq 0$.

A cone K is pointed if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^m x_i = 0, x_i \in K$ implies $x_i = 0$ for all i .

Theorem. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be arbitrary. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) K is a convex cone.
- (ii) K is a cone and $K + K \subseteq K$.
- (iii) $K \neq \emptyset$ and $\sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i x_i \in K$ for all $x_i \in K$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0$ (not necessarily summing to 1).

Proof. $x = \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i x_i \in K, \alpha_i \geq 0 \iff \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{\alpha_i}{\sum_j \alpha_j} x \in K$, which is a convex combination. \square

Theorem. Assume K is a convex cone. Then K is pointed if and only if $K \cap -K = \{0\}$.

Proof. $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m \in K, x_1 \neq 0, x_2 + \dots + x_m = -x_1, x_2 + \dots + x_m \in K$, so $x_1 \in K \cap -K$. \square

Theorem. For a closed convex cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the generalized inequality

$$x \leq_K y \iff x - y \in K \quad (3.1)$$

Then

- (i) $x \leq_K x$
- (ii) $x \leq_K y, y \leq_K z \Rightarrow x \leq_K z$
- (iii) $x \leq_K y \Rightarrow -y \leq_K -x$
- (iv) $x \leq_K y, \lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda x \leq_K \lambda y$
- (v) $x \geq_K y, x' \geq_K y' \Rightarrow x + x' \geq_K y + y'$
- (vi) $x^k \rightarrow x, y^k \rightarrow y$ with $x^k \geq_K y^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x \geq_K y$.
- (vii) $x \geq_K y, y \geq_K x \Rightarrow x = y$ (antisymmetry) holds if and only if K is pointed.

Definition (K_n^{LP}). For any pointed, closed, convex cone $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and vectors $c \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, define the conic problem $\inf_x c^T x \text{ s.t. } Ax \geq_K b$.

Definition (K_n^{SOCP}).

$$K_n^{SOCP} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n \geq \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2}\}. \quad (3.2)$$

4. SUBGRADIENTS

Definition. For any $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\partial f(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) + \langle v, y - x \rangle \leq f(y) \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \quad (4.1)$$

is the set of subgradients of f at x .

Theorem. Assume $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are convex. Then

- (i) If f is differentiable at x , then $\partial f(x) = \{\nabla f(x)\}$
- (ii) If f is differentiable at x and $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\partial(f+g)(x) = \partial g(x) + \nabla f(x)$.

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper. Then $x \in \arg \min f \iff 0 \in \partial f(x)$.

Proof.

$$0 \in \partial f(x) \iff f(x) \leq f(y) \forall y \iff x \in \arg \min f \quad (4.2)$$

where properness was required since $\arg \min +\infty = \emptyset$ by definition. \square

Definition. For a convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in C$, the normal cone $N_C(x)$ at x is

$$N_C(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n | \langle v, y - x \rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in C\} \quad (4.3)$$

$N_C(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \notin C$.

Note that $N_C(x)$ is a cone if $x \in C$.

Theorem. Assume $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex with $C \neq \emptyset$. Then $\partial \delta_C(x) = N_C(x)$.

Proof. For $x \in C$, this follows easily, and for $x \notin C$, choosing $y \in C$ shows that $\partial \delta_C(x) = \emptyset$. \square

Theorem. Assume $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex with $C \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $y \in \Pi_C(x) \iff x - y \in N_C(y)$.

Proof. Follows from y being the unique minimizer of $f(y') = \frac{1}{2}\|y' - x\|_2^2 + \delta_C(y')$. \square

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper and convex. Then

$$\partial f(x) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & x \notin \text{dom } f \\ \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n | (v, -1) \in N_{\text{epi } f}(x, f(x))\} & x \in \text{dom } f \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

If $x \in \text{dom } f$ then $N_{\text{dom } f}(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n | (v, 0) \in N_{\text{epi } f}(x, f(x))\}$.

Proof. $x \notin \text{dom } f$: choose $f(y) < \infty$, then $\partial f(x) = \emptyset$.

$x \in \text{dom } f$: $v^T(y - x) + (-1)(-f(x)) \leq 0 \forall (y, \alpha) \in \text{epi } f \iff (v, -1) \in N_{\text{epi } f}(x, f(x))$. \square

Definition. For any set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, define the affine hull and relative interior by

$$\text{aff } C = \bigcap_{A \text{ affine}, C \subseteq A} A \quad (4.5)$$

$$\text{rint } C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \exists \text{ open neighborhood } N \text{ of } x \text{ with } N \cap \text{aff } C \subseteq C\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem. (i) Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is convex. Then

$$g(x) = f(x + y) \Rightarrow \partial g(x) = \partial f(x + y) \quad (4.7)$$

$$g(x) = f(\lambda x) \Rightarrow \partial g(x) = \lambda \partial f(\lambda x), \lambda \neq 0 \quad (4.8)$$

$$g(x) = \lambda f(x) \Rightarrow \partial g(x) = \lambda \partial f(x), \lambda > 0 \quad (4.9)$$

$$(4.10)$$

(ii) Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper and convex, and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is such that

$$\{Ay | y \in \mathbb{R}^m\} \cap \text{rint dom } f \quad (4.11)$$

If $x \in \text{dom}(f \circ A) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m | Ay \in \text{dom } f\}$, then $\partial(f \circ A)(x) = A^T \partial f(Ax)$.

(iii) Assume $f_0, \dots, f_m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are proper and convex, and $\text{rint dom } f_0 \cap \dots \cap \text{rint dom } f_m \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in \text{dom } f$, then $\partial(\sum_{i=0}^m f_i)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \partial f_i(x)$.

5. CONJUGATE FUNCTIONS

Definition. For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\text{con } f(x) = \sup_{g \leq f, g \text{ convex}} g$ is the convex hull of f . $\text{con } f$ is the greatest convex function majorized by f .

Definition. For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the lower closure $\text{cl } f$ is defined as $(\text{cl } f)(x) = \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(y)$. Alternatively, $(\text{cl } f)(x) = \sup_{g \leq f, g \text{ lsc}} g(x)$.

Theorem. For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $\text{epi}(\text{cl } f) = \text{cl}(\text{epi } f)$. Moreover, if f is convex then $\text{cl } f$ is convex.

Proof. $(x, \alpha) \in \text{cl}(\text{epi } f) \iff \exists x^k \rightarrow x, \alpha^k \rightarrow \alpha, f(x^k) \leq \alpha^k \iff \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \leq \alpha \iff (x, \alpha) \in \text{epi}(\text{cl } f)$. \square

Theorem. Assume $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex. Then

$$C = \bigcap_{(b,\beta), C \subseteq H_{b,\beta}} H_{b,\beta} \quad (5.1)$$

where $B_{b,\beta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \leq 0\}$

Proof. Separating hyperplane theorem - $x \in C$ then x is the intersection. If $x \notin C$ consider the separating hyperplane of C and $\{x\}$. \square

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex. Then $f(x) = \sup_g$ affine, $f \leq g(x)$.

Proof. f lsc implies $\text{epi } f$ is closed, f is convex implies $\text{epi } f$ is convex, so $\text{epi } f$ is the intersection of all half spaces containing it. Show g affine \iff there exists $(b, c), \beta$ with $c < -$, $\text{epi } g = H_{(b,c),\beta}$.

Then as $\text{epi}(\sup_{g \leq f} g(x)) = \bigcap_{g \leq f} \text{epi } g$ where g is affine and $g \leq f \iff \text{epi } f \subseteq \text{epi } g$, we need only show $I_1 = \bigcap_{(b,c), \beta \in S} H_{(b,c),\beta} = \bigcap_{(b,c), \beta \in S, c < 0} H_{(b,c),\beta} = I_2$. \square

Definition. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, then

$$f^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}} \quad (5.2)$$

$$f^*(v) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \langle v, x \rangle - f(x) \quad (5.3)$$

is the **conjugate** to f . The mapping $f \mapsto f^*$ is the Legendre-Fenchel transform.

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $f^* = (\text{con } f)^* = (\text{cl } f)^* = (\text{cl con } f)^*$ and $f^{**} = (f^*)^* \leq f$. If $\text{con } f$ is proper, then f^* and f^{**} are proper, lsc, and convex, and $f^{**} = \text{cl con } f$. If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex, then $f^{**} = f$.

Proof. $(v, \beta) \in \text{epi } f^* \iff (v, x) - \beta \leq f(x) \forall x$ so $(v, \beta) \in \text{epi } f^*$ define all affine functions majorized by f . Thus for every affine function h , $h \leq f \iff h \leq \text{cl } f \iff h \leq \text{con } f \iff h \leq \text{cl con } f$. Which gives our result.

For the inequality, expand $f^{**}(y) = \sup_v \langle v, y \rangle + \inf_x (f(x) - \langle v, x \rangle) \leq f(y)$ at $y = x$.

As $\text{con } f$ is proper, then $\text{cl con } f$ is proper, lsc, and convex, so $\text{cl con } f = \sup_{g \leq \text{cl con } f} g(x)$ where g is affine, which equals f^{**} by definition.

Finally, if f is convex, then $\text{con } f = f$, so $\text{con } f$ is proper, and $\text{con } f = f$ is lsc, so $f^{**} = \text{cl con } f = \text{cl } f = f$. \square

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$. Then

- (i) $\text{con } f$ is not proper implies $f^* \equiv +\infty$ or $f^* \equiv -\infty$.
- (ii) In particular, f^* proper implies $\text{con } f$ is proper.

Proof. If $\text{con } f = \infty$, then $f^*(v) = -\infty$. If $\text{con } f(x') = -\infty$, then $f^*(v) = (\text{con } f)^*(v) \geq +\infty$. \square

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex. Then $\partial f^* = (\partial f)^{-1}$, specifically,

$$v \in \partial f(x) \iff f(x) + f^*(v) = \langle v, x \rangle \iff x \in \partial f^*(v). \quad (5.4)$$

Moreover,

$$\partial f(x) = \arg \max_{v'} \langle v', x \rangle - f^*(v') \quad \partial f^*(x) = \arg \max_{x'} \langle v, x' \rangle - f(x) \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. $f(x) + f^*(v) = \langle v, x \rangle$ iff $x \in \arg \max_{x'} \langle v, x' \rangle - f(x') \iff v \in \partial f(x)$. \square

Theorem. For a proper, lsc, convex $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, we have

$$(f(\cdot) - \langle a, \cdot \rangle)^* = f^*(\cdot + a) \quad (5.6)$$

$$(f(\cdot + b))^* = f^*(\cdot) - \langle \cdot, b \rangle, \quad (5.7)$$

$$(f(\cdot) + c)^* = f^*(\cdot) - c \quad (5.8)$$

$$(\lambda f(\cdot))^* = \lambda f^{*star}(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda}), \lambda > 0 \quad (5.9)$$

$$(\lambda f(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda}))^* = \lambda f^*(\cdot), \lambda > 0 \quad (5.10)$$

Theorem. Let $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}, i = 0, \dots, m$ be proper and $f(x_0, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=0}^m f_i(x_i)$. Then $f^*(v_1, \dots, v_m) = \sum_{i=0}^m f_i^*(v_i)$.

Proof. For support functions, $f^*(x) = \sigma_C(x)$, so C nonempty closed convex implies f^* is proper lsc convex with $f^*(0) = 0$ and $f^*(\lambda v) = \lambda f^*(v)$, so $f^* = \sigma_C$ is positively homogeneous.

If g is positively homogeneous lower semicontinuous, $g^*(x) \in \{0, \infty\}$, so g^* is an indicator function, which must be convex and nonempty by properness lsc convexity of g^* .

One to one follows from $\delta_C^{**} = \delta_C$.

For cones, show g is positively homogeneous lsc convex proper indicator function $\iff g = \delta_K$ for K a convex closed cone.

Taking any such indicator function δ_K , then δ_K^* is an indicator function, with $\delta_K^*(v) < \infty \iff v \in K^*$. \square

Definition. For any set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ define the **support function** $\text{supp}_S(v) = \sup_{x \in S} \langle v, x \rangle = (\delta_S^*)(v)$

Definition. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be **positively homogeneous** if and only if $0 \in \text{dom } f$ and $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$.

Theorem. The set of positively homogeneous proper lsc convex functions and the set of closed convex nonempty sets are in one-to-one correspondence through the Legendre-Fenchel transform:

$$\delta_C \leftrightarrow \sup_C \quad (5.11)$$

$$x \in \partial \sup_C(v) \iff x \in C \quad (5.12)$$

$$\sup_C(v) = \langle v, x \rangle \iff v \in N_C(x). \quad (5.13)$$

In particular, the set of closed convex cones is in one-to-one correspondence with itself - for any cone K define the **polar cone** or **dual cone** as $K^* = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d | \langle v, x \rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in K\}$. Then

$$\delta_K \leftrightarrow \delta_{K^*} \quad (5.14)$$

$$x \in N_{K^*}(v) \iff v \in N_K(x) \quad (5.15)$$

TODO: fill next condition/implication in.

6. DUALITY IN OPTIMIZATION

Definition. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex. Define the **primal problem** as

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x), \phi(x) = f(x, 0), \quad (6.1)$$

the **dual problem** as

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^m} \psi(y), \psi(y) = -f^*(0, y) \quad (6.2)$$

and the **inf-projections**

$$p(u) = \inf_x f(x, u) \quad (6.3)$$

$$q(v) = \inf_y f^*(v, y) = -\sup_y (-f^*(v, y)) \quad (6.4)$$

f is sometimes called a **perturbation function** for ψ , and p the associated **marginal function**.

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex. Then

- (i) ϕ and $-\psi$ are lsc and convex.
- (ii) p, q are convex.
- (iii) $p(0)$ and $p^{**}(0)$ are the optimal values of the primal and dual problems -

$$p(0) = \inf_x \phi(x), p^{**}(0) = \sup_y \psi(y). \quad (6.5)$$

- (iv) The primal and dual problems are feasible if and only if their associated marginal function contains 0:

$$\inf_x \phi(x) < \infty \iff 0 \in \text{dom } p \quad (6.6)$$

$$\sup_y \psi(y) > -\infty \iff 0 \in \text{dom } q \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. f proper lsc convex implies f^* is proper lsc convex implies π, ψ lsc convex.

p, q are convex from the strict epigraph set, with $E = \{(u, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} | p(u) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x, u) < \alpha\} = A(E')$, where A is the linear projection mapping $A(x, u, \alpha) = (u, \alpha)$, and E' is the strict epigraph of f and thus convex, so $A(E')$ is convex.

$$p^*(y) = -\psi(y), \text{ so } p^{**}(0) = \sup_y \psi(y).$$

$$0 \in \text{dom } p \iff p(0) < \infty \iff \inf_x f(x, 0) < \infty \iff \inf \psi < \infty. \quad \square$$

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex. Then **weak duality** always holds,

$$\inf_x \phi(x) \geq \sup_y \psi(y), \quad (6.8)$$

and under certain conditions the infimum and supremum are equal and finite - **strong duality**

$$p(0) \in \mathbb{R}, p \text{ lsc in } 0 \iff \inf_x \phi(x) = \sup_y \psi(y) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.9)$$

The difference $\inf \phi - \sup \psi$ is the **duality gap**.

Proof. $\inf_x \phi(x) = p(0) \geq p^{**}(0) = \sup_y \psi(y)$ so must show $p(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ and p lsc in 0 if and only if $p(0) = p^{**}(0) \in \mathbb{R}$.

(\Rightarrow) follows as $p^{**}(0) \leq \text{cl} p(0) \leq p(0)$, so $\liminf_{y \rightarrow 0} p(y) = \text{cl} p(0) = p(0) \in \mathbb{R}$, so p is lsc in 0.

(\Leftarrow) follows from the claim that if it holds then $\text{cl} p$ is proper lsc convex. Convexity, lsc is clear, and an improper convex lsc function is always constant ∞ or $-\infty$, contradiction $p(0) \in \mathbb{R}$. So $(p^*)^*(0) = (\text{cl} p)^*(0) = \text{cl} p(0) = p(0)$. \square

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex. Then we have the **primal-dual optimality conditions**,

$$(0, y') \in \partial f(x', 0) \quad (6.10)$$

$$\iff \{x' \in \arg \min_x \phi(x), \quad y' \in \arg \max_y \psi(y), \inf_x \phi(x) = \sup_y \psi(y)\} \quad (6.11)$$

$$\iff (x', 0) \in \partial f^*(0, y'). \quad (6.12)$$

The set of **primal-dual optimal points** (x', y') satisfying this equation is either empty or equal to $(\arg \min \phi) \times (\arg \max \psi)$.

Proof. Follows from invertibility of subgradient in terms of conjugate functions, showing $f(x', 0) = -f^*(0, y') \iff \phi(x') = \psi(y') \in \mathbb{R}$, and equality with infinite value is explicitly excluded by definition of $\arg \min$. \square

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, and convex. Then

- (i) $0 \in \text{int dom } p$ or $0 \in \text{int dom } q$ implies $\inf_x \phi(x) = \sup_y \psi(y)$. (S')
- (ii) $0 \in \text{int dom } p$ and $0 \in \text{int dom } q$ implies $\inf_x \phi(x) = \sup_y \psi(y) \in \mathbb{R}$. (S)
- (iii) $0 \in \int \text{dom } p$ and $\inf_x \phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\arg \max_y \psi(y)$ is nonempty and bounded. (P)
- (iv) $0 \in \int \text{dom } q$ and $\sup_y \psi(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\arg \min_x \phi(x)$ is nonempty and bounded. (D)

In particular, if any of S, P, D hold, then strong duality holds - $\inf \phi = \sup \psi \in \mathbb{R}$. If S , or (P and D) hold, then there exists x', y' satisfying the primal-dual optimality conditions. Also, P implies $\partial p(0) = \arg \max_y \psi(y)$, and D implies $\partial q(0) = \arg \min_x \phi(x)$.

Proof. (i): If $p(0) = -\infty$, then $p^{**}(0) \leq p(0) = -\infty$ Use $\text{cl} p = p$ on $\int \text{dom } p$, so $\sup \psi = \inf \psi = -\infty$. Otherwise, $p(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ so as $\text{cl} p = p$ on $\int \text{dom } p$, we have p is lsc in 0 and so $p^{**}(0) = p(0) \in \mathbb{R}$.

This follows symmetrically on $f'(x, y) = f^*(y, x)$.

If both $0 \in \int \text{dom } p, 0 \in \int \text{dom } q$, then $+\infty > p(0) \geq p^{**}(0) = \sup \psi = -q(0) > -\infty$, which is finite.

Nonemptiness and boundedness follows from $0 \in \int \text{dom } p$ if and only if ψ is proper lsc convex and level bounded.

Subdifferential: if (iii) then $p(0) \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\text{cl} p(0) = p(0) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\text{cl} p$ is then proper and lsc convex, so $\partial(\text{cl} p)(0) = \arg \max \psi$, but $\partial p(0) = \partial(\text{cl} p)(0)$. \square

Theorem. Assume $k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are both proper, lsc, convex, and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $f(x, u) = \langle c, x \rangle + k(x) + h(Ax - b + u)$, the primal and dual problems are of the form

$$\inf \phi(x), \phi(x) = \langle c, x \rangle + k(x) + h(Ax - b) \quad (6.13)$$

$$\sup_y \psi(y), \psi(y) = -\langle b, y \rangle - h^*(y) - k^*(-A^T y - c) \quad (6.14)$$

with

$$\int \text{dom } p = \int (\text{dom } h - A \text{dom } k) + b \quad (6.15)$$

$$\int \text{dom } q = \int (\text{dom } k^* - (-A^T) \text{dom } h^*) + c \quad (6.16)$$

and optimality conditions

$$\{-A^T y' - c \in \partial k(x'), y' \in \partial h(Ax' - b)\} \quad (6.17)$$

$$\iff \{x' \in \arg \min_x \phi(x), y' \in \arg \max_y \psi(y), \inf_x \phi(x) = \sup_y \psi(y)\} \quad (6.18)$$

$$\iff \{Ax' - b \in \partial h^*(y'), x' \in \partial k^*(-A^T y' - c)\} \quad (6.19)$$

Theorem. Assume $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, lsc, convex. Define the associated **Lagrangian** as $l(x, y) = -f(x, \cdot)^*(y)$, so $l(x, y) = \inf_u (f(x, u) - \langle y, u \rangle)$. Then $l(\cdot, y)$ is convex for every y , $-l(x, \cdot)$ is lsc and convex for every x, y and $f(x, \cdot) = (-l(x, \cdot))^*$, and $(v, y) \in \partial f(x, u) \iff v \in \partial_x l(x, y)$ and $u \in \partial_y (-l(x, y))$.

Proof. Consider $g(x, y, u) = f(x, u) - \langle y, u \rangle$, which is proper lsc convex. Then $l(\cdot, y) = \inf_u g(\cdot, y, u)$ is convex. Then $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$, then $-l(x, \cdot) = f_x^*(\cdot)$ so f_x is either $+\infty$ or proper lsc convex, and $-l(x, \cdot)$ is either $-\infty$ or proper lsc convex, but always lsc convex.

By subgradient definition, $(v, y) \in \partial f(x, u) \iff \inf_{u'} f(x', u') - \langle y, u' \rangle \geq f(x, u) - \langle y, u \rangle + \langle v, x' - x \rangle \forall x'$, which evaluated at $x' = x$ implies $\inf_{u'} f(x, u') - \langle y, u' \rangle = f(x, u) - \langle y, u \rangle$.

Continuing, we obtain $(v, y) \in \partial f(x, u) \iff y \in \partial_u f(x, u), \in \partial_x l(x, y)$, and the first condition is equivalent to $u \in \partial f_x^*(y)$, or $u \in \partial(-l(x, \cdot))^* = \partial_y(-l)(x, y)$ as required. \square

Definition. For any function $l : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we say that (x', y') is a **saddle point** of l if $l(x, y') \geq l(x', y') \geq l(x', y)$ for all x, y . The set of all saddle points is denoted by spl .

Equivalently, $(x', y') \in \text{spl}$ if $\inf_x l(x, y') = l(x', y') = \sup_y l(x', y)$.

Theorem. Assume f is proper, lsc, and convex with associated Lagrangian l . Then $\phi(x) = \sup_y l(x, y)$, and $\psi(y) = \inf_x l(x, y)$, and the primal problem is $\inf_x \phi(x) = \inf_x \sup_y l(x, y)$, and the dual problem is $\sup_y \psi(y) = \sup_y \inf_x l(x, y)$. Moreover, the optimality condition

$$\{x' \in \arg \min_x \phi(x), y' \in \arg \max_y \psi(y), \inf_x \phi(x) = \sup_y \psi(y)\} \quad (6.20)$$

$$\iff (x', y') \in \text{spl} \quad (6.21)$$

$$\iff \{0 \in \partial_x l(x', y'), 0 \in \partial_y (-l)(x', y')\} \quad (6.22)$$

Theorem. Assume $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ are nonempty, closed, convex, and $L : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with $L(\cdot, y)$ is convex for every y and $-L(x, \cdot)$ convex for every x . Then $l(x, y) = L(x, y) + \delta_X(x) - \delta_Y(y)$ with the convention $+\infty - \infty = +\infty$ on the right, is the Lagrangian to $f(x, u) = \sup_y l(x, y) + \langle u, y \rangle = (-l(x, \cdot))^*(u)$.

f is proper, lsc, and convex, so the previous result applies with primal and dual problems $\phi(x) = \delta_X(x) + \sup_{y \in Y} L(x, y)$, $\psi(y) = -\delta_Y(y) + \inf_{x \in X} L(x, y)$. Moreover, if X and Y are bounded, then spl is nonempty and bounded.

Proof. For a fixed y , $\inf_x l(x, y) = -f^*(0, y) = \psi(y)$, and for a fixed x , $\sup_y l(x, y) = f(x, 0) = f_x^*(0) = f(x, 0) = \phi(x)$ as f_x is either proper lsc convex or $+\infty$.

The optimality condition is equivalent to $(0, y') \in f(x', 0) \iff 0 \in \partial_x l(x', y'), 0 \in \partial_y (-l)(x', y')$, which is the saddle point condition. \square

7. NUMERICAL OPTIMALITY

Definition. For $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, a point x is an ϵ -optimal solution if $\phi(x) - \inf \phi \leq \epsilon$.

Definition. Assume (x^k, y^k) is a primal-dual feasible pair - so $x^k \in \text{dom } \phi$ and $y^k \in \text{dom } \psi$. Then $\phi(x^k) \geq \psi(y^k)$, and $0 \leq \phi(x^k) - \inf \phi \leq \phi(x^k) - \psi(y^k) = \gamma(x^k, y^k) := \gamma$. γ is the **numerical primal-dual gap**. If $\gamma < \epsilon$ then x^k is an ϵ -optimal solution with **optimality certificate** y^k .

The normalized gap is $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}(x^k, y^k) = \frac{\phi(x^k) - \psi(y^k)}{\psi(y^k)}$.

Definition. Assume $\phi(x) = \phi_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_p} \delta_{g_i(x) \leq 0}$, $\psi(y) = \psi_0(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_d} \delta_{h_i(y) \leq 0}$ where $\text{dom } \phi_0 = \text{dom } \psi_0 = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h_i : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are suitable continuous real-valued convex functions, so the primal and dual constraints are of the form $g_i(x) \leq 0, h_i(y) \leq 0$. Then the primal and dual infeasibilities are defined as $\eta_p = \max\{0, g_1(x^k), \dots, g_{n_p}(x^k)\}$ and $\eta_d = \max\{0, h_1(y^k), \dots, h_{n_d}(y^k)\}$.

8. FIRST-ORDER METHODS

Definition. For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we define

- (i) The **forward step**, $F_{\tau_k f}(x^k) = (I - \tau_k \partial f)x^k$
- (ii) The **backward step**, $B_{\tau_k f}(x^k) = (I + \tau_k \partial f)^{-1}x^k$.

Theorem. If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper lsc convex with $\tau > 0$, then the backward step is $B_{\tau f}(x) = \arg \min_y \{\frac{1}{2}\|y - x\|_2^2 + \tau f(y)\}$ and is therefore unique.

Proof. $y \in B_{\tau f}(x) \iff 0 \in y - x + \tau \partial f(y) \iff y \in \arg \min_{y'} \{\frac{1}{2}\|y' - x\|_2^2 + \tau f(y')\}$ \square

Theorem. Assume f is proper lsc convex and $\arg \min f \neq \emptyset$. The **forward step** is $x^{k+1} \in F_{\tau_k f}(x^k)$. The sequence is not unique, can get stuck if x^k is infeasible.

The **backward step** is $x^{k+1} = B_{\tau_k f}(x^k)$ - which is a **unique** sequence, and cannot get stuck. Sub-steps are as hard as the original problem (but strictly convex).

- (i) Forward stepping: $x^{k+1} \in F_{\tau_k f}(x^k)$;
- (ii) Backward stepping: $x^{k+1} = B_{\tau_k f}(x^k)$.

If $f = g + h$, $\partial f = \partial g + \partial h$ with f, g, h proper lsc convex, $\arg \min f \neq \emptyset$, we can do:

- (i) Backward-Backward stepping: $x^{k+1} = B_{\tau_k h} B_{\tau_k g}(x^k)$.
- (ii) Forward-Backward stepping: $x^{k+1} \in B_{\tau_k h} F_{\tau_k g}(x^k)$. If $f(x) = g(x) + \delta_C(x)$, g differentiable, $C \neq \emptyset$ closed and convex, then $x^{k+1} \in \arg \min_x \{ \frac{1}{2} \|y - (x^k - \tau_k \Delta g(x^k))\|_2^2 + \delta_C(x) \} = \Pi_C(x^k - \tau_k \Delta g(x^k))$, which is a gradient projection.

9. INTERIOR-POINT METHODS

Definition. For a cone K we define the **canonical barriers** $F = F_K$ and associated parameters θ_F .

- (i) $K = K_n^{LP} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0\}$, $F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n -\log x_i$, $\theta_F = n$.
- (ii) $K = K_n^{SOCP} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_n \geq \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2}\}$, $F(x) = -\log(x_n^2 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_{n-1}^2)$, $\theta_F = 2$.
- (iii) $K = K_n^{SDP} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | X \text{ symmetric positive semidefinite}\}$, $F(x) = -\log \det X$, $\theta_F = n$.
- (iv) $K = K^1 \times K^2$, then $F_K(x^1, x^2) = F_{K^1}(x^1) + F_{K^2}(x^2)$, with $\theta_F = \theta_{F^1} + \theta_{F^2}$.

Theorem. If F is a canonical barrier for K , then F is smooth on $\text{dom } F = \int K$ and strictly convex, $F(tx) = F(x) - \theta_F \log t$ for all $x \in \text{dom } F$, and for $x \in \text{dom } F$, we have

- (i) $-\nabla F(x) \in \text{dom } F$
- (ii) $\langle \nabla F(x), x \rangle = -\theta_F$,
- (iii) $-\nabla F(-\nabla F(x)) = x$,
- (iv) $-\nabla F(tx) = -\frac{1}{t} \nabla F(x)$

Proof. Differentiate with respect to t and let $t = 1$. \square

Theorem. Consider the problem $\inf \langle c, x \rangle$ s.t. $Ax - b \geq_K 0$. The dual problem is $\sup \langle -b, y \rangle$ s.t. $-A^T y = c$, $y \geq_{K^*} 0$. Replacing y by $-y$ and assuming K is self-dual $K^* = K$ we obtain the dual as $\sup \langle b, y \rangle$ such that $A^T y = c$, $y \geq_K 0$.

The **primal central path** is the mapping

$$t \mapsto x(t) = \arg \min \{-t \langle b, y \rangle + F(y) + \delta_{A^T y = c}\} \quad (9.1)$$

The **dual central path** is the mapping $t \mapsto y(t) = \arg \min \{-t \langle b, y \rangle + F(y) + \delta_{A^T y = c}\}$

The **primal-dual central path** is the mapping $t \mapsto z(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ for some $t > 0$, if and only if

$$Ax - b \in \text{dom } F \quad (9.2)$$

$$A^T y = c \quad (9.3)$$

$$ty + \nabla F(Ax - b) = 0 \quad (9.4)$$

Proof. $y = -\frac{1}{y} \Delta f(Ax - b) \in \text{dom } F$ as $Ax - b \in \text{dom } F$ and $\text{dom } F$ is a cone. We also have that if x is on the primal path, then $A^T y = c$, so y is feasible.

For dual optimality, we need $0 \in -tb + \nabla F(y) + N_{A^T y = c}$. As $-tb + \nabla F(y) = -tAx \in \text{range } A$, y is the unique dual solution. Multiplying the dual optimality result by A^T gives the optimality condition for the primal central point. \square

Theorem. For feasible x, y (so $Ax - b \in K$, $A^T y = c$, $y \in K$), the duality gap is $\phi(x) - \psi(y) = \langle y, Ax - b \rangle$. Moreover, for points $(x(t), y(t))$ on the central path, the duality gap is $\phi(x(t)) - \psi(y(t)) = \frac{\theta_F}{t}$.

Proof.

$$\phi(x) - \psi(y) = \langle c, x \rangle - \langle b, y \rangle \quad (9.5)$$

$$= \langle y, Ax - b \rangle \quad (9.6)$$

$$= \left\langle -\frac{1}{t} \nabla F(Ax(t) - b), Ax(t) - b \right\rangle \quad (9.7)$$

$$= \frac{\theta_F}{t}. \quad (9.8)$$

\square

Theorem. We define $\|v\|_x^* = (v^T \nabla^2 F(Ax - b)^{-1} v)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $z = (x, y)$, so $z(t)$ is the primal-dual central path, and $\text{dist}(z, z(t)) = \|ty + \nabla F(Ax - b)\|_x^*$. Then for $Ax - b \in \text{dom } F$, $y \in \text{dom } F$, $A^T y = c$, we have $\text{dist}(z, z(t)) \leq 1$ implies $\phi(x) - \psi(y) \leq 2(\phi(x(t)) - \psi(y(t))) = 2\frac{\theta_F}{t}$.

Proof. If we linearize $\nabla F(Ax^{k+1} - b) = \nabla F(Ax^k - b + A\Delta x) \approx \nabla F(Ax^k - b) + \nabla^2 F(Ax^k - b)A\Delta x$, and solve the constraints $A^T(y) = c$, $ty + \nabla F(Ax - b) = 0$. \square

Theorem. Assume $0 < \rho \leq \kappa < \frac{1}{10}$, $t^k > 0$ fixed, and $z^k = (x^k, y^k)$ strictly feasible, so $Ax^k - b \in \text{dom } F$, $y^k \in \text{dom } f$, such that $\text{dist}(z^k, z(t^k)) < \kappa$.

If we apply a full Newton step with $\tau_k = 1$ and $t^{k+1} = (1 + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\theta_F}})^{t^k}$ to generate z^{k+1} , then x^{k+1}, y^{k+1} are strictly primal and dual feasible, and $\text{dist}(z^{k+1}, z(t^{k+1})) > \kappa$ as well.

10. SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINES

Definition. The primal formulation of an SVM is

$$\inf_{w, b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \quad (10.1)$$

such that $1 \leq y^i (\langle w, x^i \rangle + b)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The dual formulation is

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n y^i x^i z_i \right\|_2^2 + e^T z \quad (10.2)$$

such that $z_i \leq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n y^i z_i = 0$.

11. TOTAL VARIATION AND APPLICATIONS

Definition. For $u \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, the **total variation** of u is defined as

$$TV(u) = \sup_{v \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n), \|v\|_\infty \leq 1} \int_\Omega \langle u, \text{div } v \rangle dx \quad (11.1)$$

Theorem. Assume $A \subseteq \Omega$ is a set so that its boundary is C^1 and satisfies $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Omega \cap \partial A) < \infty$. Define

$$1_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & x \notin A \end{cases} \quad (11.2)$$

then $TV(1_A) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Omega \cap \partial A)$.

Proof. The lower bound follows from

$$TV(1_A) = \sup_{v \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n), \|v\|_\infty \leq 1} \int_{\partial A} \langle v, n \rangle ds \quad (11.3)$$

by Gauss's theorem. \square

Theorem (Coarea formula). If $u \in BV(\Omega)$, then $TV(1_{u(x) > t}) < \infty$ for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $TV(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} TV(1_{u > t}) dt$.

Definition. For $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $k \geq 1$, define the space $BV^k(\Omega)$ as $BV^k = \{u \in W^{k-1,1} | \nabla^{k-1} u \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d^{k-1}})\}$ and the higher-order total variation as

$$TV^k(u) = \sup_{v \in C_c^k(\Omega, \text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)), \|v\|_\infty \leq 1} \int_\Omega u \text{div}^k v dx = TV(\nabla^{k-1} u) \quad (11.4)$$

12. RELAXATION

Definition. Consider the **Chan-Vese** model

$$f_{CV}(C, c_1, c_2) = \int_C (g - c_1^2) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus C} (g - c_2^2) dx + \lambda \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(C). \quad (12.1)$$

Theorem. Let c_1, c_2 be fixed, and consider $\inf_{u: \Omega \rightarrow [0,1], u \in BV(\Omega)} f(u)$, $f(u) = \langle u, s \rangle_{L^1} + \lambda TV(u)$. Then if u is a minimizer of f , and $u(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ a.e., then C is a minimizer of $f_{CV}(\cdot, c_1, c_2)$.

Proof. Follows by definitions - must have $u = 1_C$. \square

Definition. Let $\mathcal{C} = BV(\Omega, [0, 1]) = \{u \in BV(\Omega) | u(x) \in [0, 1] \text{ a.e.}\}$. Then for $u \in \mathcal{C}$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Define $\bar{u}_\alpha = 1_{\{u > \alpha\}}$. Then $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the **generalized coarea condition** if and only if

$$f(u) = \int_0^1 f(\bar{u}_\alpha) d\alpha \quad (12.2)$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem. Let $f^* u = TV(u)$, the condition is the coarea formula. As the condition is additive, we need only show $\int_\Omega s(x) u(x) = \int_0^1 \int_\Omega s(x) 1_{\{u(x) > \alpha\}} d\alpha$, where we use Fubini due to $s \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Theorem. Assume $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the generalized coarea condition, and u^* satisfies $u^* \in \arg \min_{u \in \mathcal{C}} f(u)$. Then for almost every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, the thresholded function satisfies $\bar{u}_\alpha^* \in \arg \min_{u \in BV(\Omega, \{0,1\})} f(u)$.

Proof. Follows by considering the set $S_\epsilon = \{\alpha \in [0, 1] | f(u^\star) \leq f(u_\alpha^\star) - \epsilon\}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, and showing that this implies $f(u^\star) \leq \int_0^1 f(\bar{u}_\alpha^\star) d\alpha - \epsilon L^1(S_\epsilon)$ which contradicts the generalized coarea formula. \square

REFERENCES