

ANDREW TULLOCH

# ADVANCED PROBABILITY EXAMPLES

TRINITY COLLEGE  
THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE



# Contents

1	<i>Example Sheet 1</i>	5
1.1	<i>Conditional Expectation</i>	5
1.2	<i>Discrete-time Martingales</i>	10
2	<i>Bibliography</i>	15



# 1

## Example Sheet 1

### 1.1 Conditional Expectation

(i) For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$A_c = \{Y \leq c < X\}$$

$$B_c = \{c < Y, c < X\}$$

$$C_c = \{Y \leq c, X \leq c\}$$

Then

$$A_c \cup B_c = \{Y \leq c, X > C\} \cup \{c < X, c < Y\} = \{X > c\}$$

$$A_c \cup C_c = \{Y \leq c, c < X\} \cup \{Y \leq c, c \geq X\} = \{Y \leq c\}$$

and these unions are clearly disjoint.

Note that  $\mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(A_c)) \geq 0$  as  $(X > Y)$  on  $A_c$ . Then, we have

$$0 = \mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(A_c)) + \mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(B_c)) \quad (1.1)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(A_c)) + \mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(C_c)) \quad (1.2)$$

Summing these equalities, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(B_c \cup C_c)) \leq 0 \quad (1.3)$$

and by symmetry (as  $B_c \cup C_c$  is symmetric with respect to  $X, Y$ ), we have

$$\mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(B_c \cup C_c)) = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

and by (1.1), we have

$$\mathbb{E}((X - Y)\mathbb{I}(A_c)) = 0 \quad (1.5)$$

However,  $X > Y$  on  $A_c$ , and thus by the pigeonhole principle, we must have  $A_c$  has measure zero. Taking the countable union over all rational  $c$ , we obtain that  $\mathbb{P}(X > Y) = 0$ . By symmetry, we have  $\mathbb{P}(X < Y) = 0$ . This completes the proof.

(ii) Note that  $Z \in \{-2, 0, 2\}$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}(X|Z = z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z = 2 \\ B(0, p) & z = 0 \\ -1 & z = -1 \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

(iii) As all distribution functions are continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , by direct calculation, we have for  $z \geq 0$ ,

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(y)f_Y(z-y)dy \quad (1.7)$$

$$= \int_0^z \theta e^{-\theta y} \theta e^{-\theta(z-y)} dy \quad (1.8)$$

$$= \theta^2 \int_0^z e^{-\theta z} dy \quad (1.9)$$

$$= \theta^2 z e^{-\theta z} \quad (1.10)$$

and 0 if  $z < 0$  as required.

For the second half, we have for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq c) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X \leq c)) \quad (1.11)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X \leq c) | Z)) \quad (1.12)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{Z} \int_0^Z \mathbb{I}(u \leq c) du\right) \quad (1.13)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \theta^2 z e^{-\theta z} \int_0^z \mathbb{I}(u \leq c) du dz \quad (1.14)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \int_u^\infty \theta^2 e^{-\theta z} dz \mathbb{I}(u \leq c) du \quad (1.15)$$

$$= \int_0^c [-\theta e^{-\theta z}]_u^\infty du \quad (1.16)$$

$$= \int_0^c \theta e^{-\theta u} du \quad (1.17)$$

$$= 1 - e^{-\theta c} \quad (1.18)$$

for  $c \geq 0$ , and 0 for  $c < 0$ . By uniqueness, we have the  $X$  is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\theta$ .

Similarly, we have that  $\mathbb{E}(Z - X | Z)$  is uniformly distributed on  $[0, Z]$ . An identical calculation gives that  $Z - X$  is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\theta$ .

We have

$$f_{X|Z}(x, z) = \frac{1}{z} \mathbb{I}(0 \leq x \leq z) = \frac{f_{X,Z}(x, z)}{f_Z(z)} = \theta^2 e^{-\theta z}$$

for  $z, x > 0$  and zero elsewhere.

We then have<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This is kind of dubious?

$$f_X(x) f_{Z-X}(z - x) = \left(\theta e^{-\theta x}\right) \left(\theta e^{-\theta(z-x)}\right) \quad (1.19)$$

$$= \theta^2 e^{-\theta z} \quad (1.20)$$

$$= f_{X,(Z-X)} f_{X,(Z-X)}(x, z - x) \quad (1.21)$$

and thus the random variables  $X$  and  $Z - X$  are independent.

- (iv) (i) Assume the proposition is false. Let  $Y = \mathbb{E}(X | \mathcal{G})$ . Then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  with positive measure such that  $\mathbb{E}(X \mathbb{I}(A)) \leq 0$ .

Then

$$0 \geq \mathbb{E}(X \mathbb{I}(A)) = \mathbb{E}(Y \mathbb{I}(A)) \quad (1.22)$$

as  $X > 0$  on  $\mathbb{I}(A)$ .<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Is this some pigeonhole argument needed?

(ii)

Complete

(v) We have

$$f_{X,Y}(n, y) = b \frac{(ay)^n}{n!} e^{-(a+b)y} \quad (1.23)$$

by differentiating with respect to  $t$ .

$$f_X(x) = b \int_0^\infty \frac{(ay)^n}{n!} e^{-(a+b)y} dy \quad (1.24)$$

$$= \frac{ba^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty y^{n-1} e^{-\beta y} dy \quad (1.25)$$

$$= \frac{ba^n \Gamma(n+1)}{n! (a+b)^{n+1}} \quad (1.26)$$

$$= \frac{ba^n}{(a+b)^{n+1}} \quad (1.27)$$

Then we can compute

$$\mathbb{E}(h(Y)|X=n) = \int_0^\infty f_{Y|X}(y, n) h(y) dy \quad (1.28)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{f_{X,Y}(n, y)}{f_X(n)} h(y) dy \quad (1.29)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{b \frac{(ay)^n}{n!} e^{-(a+b)y}}{\frac{ba^n}{(a+b)^{n+1}}} h(y) dy \quad (1.30)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{h(y) y^n e^{-(a+b)y} (a+b)^{n+1}}{n!} dy \quad (1.31)$$

as required.

We can now compute  $\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{Y}{X+1}\right)$ . We have (by Fubini's theorem)

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{Y}{X+1}\right) = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty f_{X,Y}(n, y) dy \quad (1.32)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{y}{n+1} \frac{(ay)^n}{n!} e^{-(a+b)y} dy \quad (1.33)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left[ \frac{(ay)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right] \frac{1}{a} e^{-(a+b)y} dy \quad (1.34)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty (e^{ay} - ay - 1) \frac{1}{a} e^{-(a+b)y} dy \quad (1.35)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-by}}{a} dy - \int_0^\infty y e^{-(a+b)y} dy - \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{a} e^{-(a+b)y} dy \quad (1.36)$$

$$= \frac{1}{ab} - \frac{1}{(a+b)^2} - \frac{1}{a(a+b)} \quad (1.37)$$



We now compute  $f_Y(y)$ . We have

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(n, y) \quad (1.38)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b(ay)^n}{n!} e^{-(a+b)y} \quad (1.39)$$

$$= be^{-(a+b)y} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ay)^n}{n!} \quad (1.40)$$

$$= be^{-(a+b)y} e^{ay} \quad (1.41)$$

$$= be^{-by} \quad (1.42)$$

and thus the unconditional distribution of  $Y$  is exponential with parameter  $b$ .

We now compute  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X = n|Y)) = \mathbb{P}(X = n|Y) = f_{X|Y}(n, y)$ .

We have

$$f_{X|Y}(n, y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(n, y)}{f_Y(y)} \quad (1.43)$$

$$= \frac{\frac{b(ay)^n}{n!} e^{-(a+b)y}}{be^{-by}} \quad (1.44)$$

$$= \frac{(ay)^n e^{-ay}}{n!} \quad (1.45)$$

We now compute  $\mathbb{E}(X|Y)$ . We have

$$\mathbb{E}(X|Y = y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n f_{X|Y}(n, y) \quad (1.46)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \frac{(ay)^n e^{-ay}}{n!} \quad (1.47)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ay)^n e^{-ay}}{(n-1)!} \quad (1.48)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-ay} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ay)^n}{(n-1)!} \quad (1.49)$$

$$= e^{-ay} (aye^{ay}) \quad (1.50)$$

$$= ay \quad (1.51)$$

- (vi) For example, constant functions are trivially independent on all  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{G}$ .

We need to show the following are equivalent. Let  $f, g \geq 0$  and measurable. Let  $Z \geq 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.

(i)

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X)g(Y)|\mathcal{G}) = \mathbb{E}(f(X)|\mathcal{G}) \mathbb{E}(g(Y)|\mathcal{G}) \quad (1.52)$$

(ii)

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X)g(Y)Z) = \mathbb{E}(f(X)Z\mathbb{E}(g(Y)|\mathcal{G})) \quad (1.53)$$

(iii)

$$\mathbb{E}(g(Y)|\mathcal{G} \vee \sigma(X)) = \mathbb{E}(g(Y)|\mathcal{G}) \quad (1.54)$$

Complete proof

(vii)

## 1.2 Discrete-time Martingales

(i) Recall the definition of the natural filtration  $F_t^X$  as  $\sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$ . We need to show that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_t|\mathcal{F}_s^T) = X_s \iff \mathbb{E}(X_t|\sigma(X_s, s \leq t)) = X_s \quad (1.55)$$

(ii) Note that if  $C_n$  is bounded then we can trivially bound  $|Y_n|$ , and so  $Y_n$  is integrable. We need to show that for  $n > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(Y_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = Y_{n-1}$ .

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k \leq n} C_k(X_k - X_{k-1})|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right) \quad (1.56)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(c_n(X_n - X_{n-1})|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) + \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{k \leq n-1} c_k(X_k - X_{k-1})|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right) \quad (1.57)$$

$$= c_n(\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) - X_{n-1}) + Y_{n-1} \quad (1.58)$$

$$= Y_{n-1} \quad (1.59)$$

If  $X$  is a supermartingale, then we have  $\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \leq X_{n-1}$ , and if  $c_n$  is non-negative, we can replace the equality in (1.59) with

$$c_n \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}(X_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) - X_{n-1})}_{\leq 0} + Y_{n-1} \leq Y_{n-1} \quad (1.60)$$

(iii) Note first that  $\mathbb{E}(X_n) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(|X_n|) = 2$ . Let  $Y_n = \frac{S_n}{n}$  and that

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X_n + S_{n-1}}{n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right) \quad (1.61)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}(X_n) + \frac{S_{n-1}}{n} \quad (1.62)$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{n} Y_{n-1} \quad (1.63)$$

$$\leq Y_{n-1} \quad (1.64)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(|Y_n|) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right|\right) \quad (1.65)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|X_i|) \quad (1.66)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} 2n = 2 \quad (1.67)$$

so  $Y_n$  is a supermartingale bounded in  $L^1$ .

By the martingale convergence theorem,  $Y_n$  converges almost surely to some limit  $Y_\infty$  for some  $Y \in L^1(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$ . By Kolmogorov's 0-1 law, we can infer that  $\frac{S_n}{n}$  converges to some constant limit  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , and so  $Y_n = \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow c$ .

Show  $c = 1$ .

(iv) Note that  $T = m\mathbb{I}(A) + m'A^c$  is simply the stopping time

$$T(\omega) = \begin{cases} m & \omega \in A \\ m' & \omega \notin A \end{cases} \quad (1.68)$$

We must show that for all  $k \in N$ , the event  $\{T = k\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_k$  measurable. As  $\{\omega \in A\}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$  measurable, and  $\mathcal{F}_m, \mathcal{F}_{m'} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_n$ , and  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_0$  we have our required result.

Let  $X$  be a martingale and let  $T$  be a bounded stopping time. Recall that  $X_{T \wedge n}$  is a martingale. Then by the dominated convergence

theorem, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X_0) = (\leq) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_{T \wedge n}) \quad (1.69)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{T \wedge n}\right) \quad (1.70)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(X_T) \quad (1.71)$$

where the application of dominated convergence theorem is justified as there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T \leq K$ , and so  $|X_{T \wedge n}|$  is dominated by  $\sup_{k \leq K} |X_k| < \infty$ .

Now, let  $X$  be an integrable adapted process and with the property that for every bounded stopping time  $T$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_T) = \mathbb{E}(X_0)$ .

We must show that for  $m \geq n$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(X_m | \mathcal{F}_n) = X_n$ . Let  $m \geq n$  be given, and  $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ . Let  $T = mI_A + nI_{A^c}$ , and  $T' = n$ . Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X_T) = \mathbb{E}(X_m I(A)) + \mathbb{E}(X_n I(A^c)) = \mathbb{E}(X_0) \quad (1.72)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{T'}) = \mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mathbb{E}(X_n I(A)) + \mathbb{E}(X_n I(A^c)) = \mathbb{E}(X_0) \quad (1.73)$$

Thus,  $\mathbb{E}(X_m I(A)) = \mathbb{E}(X_n I(A))$ . By properties of conditional expectation, we then have  $\mathbb{E}(X_m | \mathcal{F}_n) = X_n$ , and thus  $X$  is a martingale.

(v) Let  $X$  be bounded. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(X_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_{T \wedge n}) \quad (1.74)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{T \wedge n}\right) \quad (1.75)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(X_T) \quad (1.76)$$

by the dominated convergence theorem, as (1.74) is dominated by  $M$ .

Let  $X$  have bounded increments. Then we can write  $X_{T \wedge n}(\omega) - X_0(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{T(\omega) \wedge n} X_k(\omega) - W_{k-1}(\omega)$ . Note that we can bound the right hand side by  $M$ , and thus  $|X_{T \wedge n} - X_0| \leq MT$ , and as  $X_0$  is integrable ( $X$  is a martingale), we can conclude that  $X_{T \wedge n}$  is dominated as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note also that the right hand side is integrable, as  $T$  is integrable, and so the whole side is bounded by  $M\mathbb{E}(T) < \infty$ . Thus, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to  $X_{T \wedge n}$  and conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(X_{T \wedge n}) = \mathbb{E}(X_T) \quad (1.77)$$

as required.

(vi) Note that for a discrete random variable  $X$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \geq i)$ . From the given equation, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(T \leq N + n | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq \epsilon, \quad (1.78)$$

and so by taking  $A \in \mathcal{F}_n = \{T > n\}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(T \leq n + N) \mathbb{I}(T > n)) \geq \mathbb{E}(\epsilon \mathbb{I}(T > n)) \quad (1.79)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(n < T \leq n + N) \geq \epsilon \mathbb{P}(T > n) \quad (1.80)$$

and in particular,

$$\mathbb{P}(kN < T \leq (k+1)N) \geq \epsilon \mathbb{P}(T \geq kN) \quad (1.81)$$

Then, we have

$$1 \geq \mathbb{P}(T \leq mN) \quad (1.82)$$

$$\geq \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \epsilon \mathbb{P}(T \geq kN) \quad (1.83)$$

, and so we have the bound

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(T \geq kN) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \quad (1.84)$$

and so we have the bound

$$\mathbb{E}(X) \leq N \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(T \geq kN) \leq \frac{N}{\epsilon} < \infty \quad (1.85)$$

as required.

(vii)

Finish this.



## *Bibliography*