

The Tug-of-War Sketch

At this point, we have seen a sublinear-space algorithm — the AMS estimator — for estimating the k th frequency moment, $F_k = f_1^k + \dots + f_n^k$, of a stream σ . This algorithm works for $k \geq 2$, and its space usage depends on n as $\tilde{O}(n^{1-1/k})$. This fails to be polylogarithmic even in the important case $k = 2$, which we used as our motivating example when introducing frequency moments in the previous lecture. Also, the algorithm does *not* produce a sketch in the sense of Section 4.2.

But Alon, Matias and Szegedy [AMS99] also gave an *amazing* algorithm that *does* produce a sketch, of logarithmic size, which allows one to estimate F_2 . What is amazing about the algorithm is that seems to do almost nothing.

6.1 The Basic Sketch

We describe the algorithm in the turnstile model.

```

Initialize      :
1   Choose a random hash function  $h : [n] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  from a 4-universal family ;
2    $x \leftarrow 0$  ;

Process ( $j, c$ ):
3    $x \leftarrow x + ch(j)$  ;

Output      :  $x^2$ 
```

The sketch is simply the random variable x . It is pulled in the positive direction by those tokens j with $h(j) = 1$, and is pulled in the negative direction by the rest of the tokens; hence the name “Tug-of-War Sketch”. Clearly, the absolute value of x never exceeds $f_1 + \dots + f_k = m$, so it takes $O(\log m)$ bits to store this sketch. It also takes $O(\log n)$ bits to store the hash function h , for an appropriate 4-universal family.

6.1.1 The Quality of the Estimate

Let X denote the value of x after the algorithm has processed σ . For convenience, define $Y_j = h(j)$ for each $j \in [n]$. Then $X = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j Y_j$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^n f_j^2 Y_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n f_i f_j Y_i Y_j\right] = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n f_i f_j \mathbb{E}[Y_i] \mathbb{E}[Y_j] = F_2,$$

where we used the fact that $\{Y_j\}_{j \in [n]}$ are pairwise independent (in fact, they are 4-wise independent, because h was picked from a 4-universal family), and then the fact that $\mathbb{E}[Y_j] = 0$ for all $j \in [n]$. This shows that the algorithm's output, X^2 , is indeed an unbiased estimator for F_2 .

The variance of the estimator is $\text{Var}[X^2] = \mathbb{E}[X^4] - \mathbb{E}[X^2]^2 = \mathbb{E}[X^4] - F_2^2$. We bound this as follows. By linearity of expectation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X^4] = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n f_i f_j f_k f_\ell \mathbb{E}[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell].$$

Suppose one of the indices in (i, j, k, ℓ) appears exactly once in that 4-tuple. Without loss of generality, we have $i \notin \{j, k, \ell\}$. By 4-wise independence, we then have $\mathbb{E}[Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_\ell] = \mathbb{E}[Y_i] \mathbb{E}[Y_j Y_k Y_\ell] = 0$, because $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = 0$. It follows that the only potentially nonzero terms in the above sum correspond to those 4-tuples (i, j, k, ℓ) that consist either of one index occurring four times, or else two distinct indices occurring twice each. Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X^4] = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j^4 \mathbb{E}[Y_j^4] + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2 \mathbb{E}[Y_i^2 Y_j^2] = F_4 + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2,$$

where the coefficient “6” corresponds to the $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ permutations of (i, i, j, j) with $i \neq j$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[X^2] &= F_4 - F_2^2 + 6 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n f_i^2 f_j^2 \\ &= F_4 - F_2^2 + 3 \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^n f_j^2 \right)^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n f_j^4 \right) \\ &= F_4 - F_2^2 + 3(F_2^2 - F_4) \leq 2F_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

6.2 The Final Sketch

As before, having bounded the variance, we can design a final sketch from the above basic sketch by a median-of-means improvement. By Lemma 5.4.1, this will blow up the space usage by a factor of

$$\frac{O(1) \cdot \text{Var}[X^2]}{\varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[X^2]^2} \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta} \leq \frac{O(1) \cdot 2F_2^2}{\varepsilon^2 F_2^2} \cdot \log \frac{1}{\delta} = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

in order to give an (ε, δ) -approximation. Thus, we have estimated F_2 using space $O(\varepsilon^{-2} \log(\delta^{-1})(\log m + \log n))$, with a sketching algorithm that in fact computes a *linear* sketch.

6.2.1 A Geometric Interpretation

The AMS Tug-of-War Sketch has a nice geometric interpretation. Consider a final sketch that consists of t independent copies of the basic sketch. Let $M \in \mathbb{R}^{t \times n}$ be the matrix that “transforms” the frequency vector \mathbf{f} into the t -dimensional sketch vector \mathbf{x} . Note that M is not a fixed matrix but a random matrix with ± 1 entries: it is drawn from a certain distribution described implicitly by the hash family. Specifically, if M_{ij} denotes the (i, j) -entry of M , then $M_{ij} = h_i(j)$, where h_i is the hash function used by the i th basic sketch.

Let $t = 6/\varepsilon^2$. By stopping the analysis in Lemma 5.4.1 after the Chebyshev step (and before the “median trick” Chernoff step), we obtain that

$$\Pr_M \left[\left| \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^t x_i^2 - F_2 \right| \geq \varepsilon F_2 \right] \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

Thus, with probability at least $2/3$, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} M \mathbf{f} \right\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \in \left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon} \cdot \|\mathbf{f}\|_2, \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{f}\|_2 \right] \subseteq [(1-\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{f}\|_2, (1+\varepsilon) \|\mathbf{f}\|_2].$$

This can be interpreted as follows. The (random) matrix M/\sqrt{t} performs a “dimension reduction”, reducing an n -dimensional vector \mathbf{f} to a t -dimensional sketch \mathbf{x} (with $t = O(1/\varepsilon^2)$), while preserving ℓ_2 -norm within a $(1 \pm \varepsilon)$ factor. Of course, this is only guaranteed to happen with probability at least $2/3$. But clearly this correctness probability can be boosted to an arbitrary constant less than 1, while keeping $t = O(1/\varepsilon^2)$.

The “amazing” AMS sketch now feels quite natural, under this geometric interpretation. We are simply using dimension reduction to maintain a low-dimensional image of the frequency vector. This image, by design, has the property that its ℓ_2 -length approximates that of the frequency vector very well. Which of course is what we’re after, because the second frequency moment, F_2 , is just the square of the ℓ_2 -length.

Since the sketch is linear, we now also have an algorithm to estimate the ℓ_2 -difference $\|\mathbf{f}(\sigma) - \mathbf{f}(\sigma')\|_2$ between two streams σ and σ' .