

MAS 433: Cryptography

Lecture 5

Block Cipher (Part 2, DES)

Wu Hongjun

Lecture Outline

- Classical ciphers
- Symmetric key encryption
 - One-time pad & information theory
 - Block cipher
 - DES, Double DES, Triple DES
 - AES
 - Mode of Operations
 - Attacks
 - Stream cipher
- Hash function and Message Authentication Code
- Public key encryption
- Digital signature
- Key establishment and management
- Introduction to other cryptographic topics

Lecture Outline (contd.)

- DES design
 - Feistel network
- Double DES
- Triple DES

Recommended Reading

- CTP Section 3.5
- HAC Section 7.4
- Wikipedia:
 - Feistel network
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Feistel_cipher
 - DES
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data_Encryption_Standard
 - Triple DES
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Triple_DES

Data Encryption Standard (DES): History

- 1973: NBS (now named NIST) request for encryption algorithms
 - Only IBM submitted, but the design is disappointing
- 1974: NBS request for the second time
 - IBM submitted a new one with 64-bit key
 - NSA involved in the design, suggested modification to reduce the key size
- 1976: NBS adopts the IBM design as Data Encryption Standard (FIPS 46)
- 1983: DES was reaffirmed for the first time
- 1988: DES was reaffirmed for the second time (FIPS 46-1)
- 1993: DES was reaffirmed for the third time (FIPS 46-2)
- 1998: DES cracked in 55 hrs (brute force)
- 1999: DES cracked in 22 hrs 15 minutes (brute force)
- 1999: DES was reaffirmed for the fourth time (FIPS 46-3, with Triple-DES)
- 2001: NIST adopts Rijndael (AES) as replacement to DES
- 2005: NIST withdraws FIPS 46-3
- 2006: DES cracked in 9 days (\$10,000 hardware cost, brute force)

Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- Block cipher
- 64-bit block size
- 56-bit key
 - Plus 8 redundant bits for parity checking
- Feistel network
- 16 rounds + initial permutation + final permutation (the inverse of initial permutation)

DES: Feistel Network

- Feistel network
 - Invented by Horst Feistel
 - A pioneer on block cipher
 - Co-designer of DES



Horst Feistel
(1915—1990)

DES: Feistel Network

Encryption:

$(L_0, R_0) = P$ $(L_i \text{ \& } R_i \text{ are the same size})$

$$L_1 = R_0$$

$$R_1 = L_0 \oplus F(K_1, R_0)$$

...

$$L_i = R_{i-1}$$


$$R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus F(K_i, R_{i-1})$$

...

$$L_r = R_{r-1}$$

$$R_r = L_{r-1} \oplus F(K_r, R_{r-1})$$

$C = (R_r, L_r)$



DES: Feistel Network

Encryption:

$$\underline{(L_0, R_0) = P}$$

$$L_1 = R_0$$

$$R_1 = F(\underline{K_1}, L_0)$$

...

$$L_i = R_{i-1}$$

$$R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus F(\underline{K_i}, R_{i-1})$$

...

$$L_r = R_{r-1}$$

$$R_r = L_{r-1} \oplus F(\underline{K_r}, R_{r-1})$$

$$\underline{C = (R_r, L_r)}$$

Decryption:

$$\underline{(L_0, R_0) = C}$$

$$L_1 = R_0$$

$$R_1 = F(\underline{K_r}, L_0)$$

...

$$L_i = R_{i-1}$$

$$R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus F(\underline{K_{r-i+1}}, R_{i-1})$$

...

$$L_r = R_{r-1}$$

$$R_r = L_{r-1} \oplus F(\underline{K_1}, R_{r-1})$$

$$\underline{P = (R_r, L_r)}$$

Encryption & decryption :

- 1) The same Feistel Network
- 2) But the order of the round keys are reversed

DES: Feistel Network

- Properties of Feistel Network


- Always invertible

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_i = R_{i-1} & \longleftrightarrow & R_{i-1} = L_i \\ R_i = L_{i-1} \oplus F(K_i, R_{i-1}) & & L_{i-1} = R_i \oplus F(K_i, L_i) \end{array}$$

- The same Feistel network is used for both encryption & decryption


- Except that the order of round keys is reversed
 - $K_1, K_2, K_3, \dots, K_r$ for encryption
 - $K_r, \dots, K_3, K_2, K_1$ for decryption

DES: overall



DES: Computation Path



- Initial permutation (IP)
- Feistel network with 16 rounds
 - Notice the last round
- Final permutation (IP^{-1})
 - The inverse of the initial permutation




DES:Initial Permutation(IP) and Final Permutation(IP⁻¹)

IP							
58	50	42	34	26	18	10	2
60	52	44	36	28	20	12	4
62	54	46	38	30	22	14	6
64	56	48	40	32	24	16	8
57	49	41	33	25	17	9	1
59	51	43	35	27	19	11	3
61	53	45	37	29	21	13	5
63	55	47	39	31	23	15	7

IP ⁻¹							
40	8	48	16	56	24	64	32
39	7	47	15	55	23	63	31
38	6	46	14	54	22	62	30
37	5	45	13	53	21	61	29
36	4	44	12	52	20	60	28
35	3	43	11	51	19	59	27
34	2	42	10	50	18	58	26
33	1	41	9	49	17	57	25





DES: Function F



DES: Expansion & Permutation in Function F

<i>E</i>					
<u>32</u>	<u>1</u>	2	3	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	6	7	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	10	11	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	14	15	16	17
16	17	18	19	20	21
20	21	22	23	24	25
24	25	26	27	28	29
28	29	30	31	<u>32</u>	<u>1</u>

<i>P</i>			
16	7	20	21
29	12	28	17
1	15	23	26
5	18	31	10
2	8	24	14
32	27	3	9
19	13	30	6
22	11	4	25



DES: Substitution in Function F

- Sbox: substitution table
- 8 different Sboxes used in DES
 - Non-invertible
 - 6-bit input, 4-bit output
 - 6×4-bit Sbox
 - Example: the fifth Sbox of DES

$$S_5(011011) = 1001$$

S _i		Middle 4 bits of input															
		0000	0001	0010	0011	0100	0101	0110	0111	1000	1001	1010	1011	1100	1101	1110	1111
Outer bits	00	0010	1100	0100	0001	0111	1010	1011	0110	1000	0101	0011	1111	1101	0000	1110	1001
	01	1110	1011	0010	1100	0100	0111	1101	0001	0101	0000	1111	1010	0011	1001	1000	0110
	10	0100	0010	0001	1011	1010	1101	0111	1000	1111	1001	1100	0101	0110	0011	0000	1110
	11	1011	1000	1100	0111	0001	1110	0010	1101	0110	1111	0000	1001	1010	0100	0101	0011


DES: SBoxes

- Why design these Sboxes in this way?

s_1	14	4	13	1	2	15	11	8	3	10	6	12	5	9	0	7
	0	15	7	4	14	2	13	1	10	6	12	11	9	5	3	8
	4	1	14	8	13	6	2	11	15	12	9	7	3	10	5	0
	15	12	8	2	4	9	1	7	5	11	3	14	10	0	6	13
s_2	15	1	8	14	6	11	3	4	9	7	2	13	12	0	5	10
	3	13	4	7	15	2	8	14	12	0	1	10	6	9	11	5
	0	14	7	11	10	4	13	1	5	8	12	6	9	3	2	15
	13	8	10	1	3	15	4	2	11	6	7	12	0	5	14	9
s_3	10	0	9	14	6	3	15	5	1	13	12	7	11	4	2	8
	13	7	0	9	3	4	6	10	2	8	5	14	12	11	15	1
	13	6	4	9	8	15	3	0	11	1	2	12	5	10	14	7
	1	10	13	0	6	9	8	7	4	15	14	3	11	5	2	12
s_4	7	13	14	3	0	6	9	10	1	2	8	5	11	12	4	15
	13	8	11	5	6	15	0	3	4	7	2	12	1	10	14	9
	10	6	9	0	12	11	7	13	15	1	3	14	5	2	8	4
	3	15	0	6	10	1	13	8	9	4	5	11	12	7	2	14
s_5	2	12	4	1	7	10	11	6	8	5	3	15	13	0	14	9
	14	11	2	12	4	7	13	1	5	0	15	10	3	9	8	6
	4	2	1	11	10	13	7	8	15	9	12	5	6	3	0	14
	11	8	12	7	1	14	2	13	6	15	0	9	10	4	5	3
s_6	12	1	10	15	9	2	6	8	0	13	3	4	14	7	5	11
	10	15	4	2	7	12	9	5	6	1	13	14	0	11	3	8
	9	14	15	5	2	8	12	3	7	0	4	10	1	13	11	6
	4	3	2	12	9	5	15	10	11	14	1	7	6	0	8	13
s_7	4	11	2	14	15	0	8	13	3	12	9	7	5	10	6	1
	13	0	11	7	4	9	1	10	14	3	5	12	2	15	8	6
	1	4	11	13	12	3	7	14	10	15	6	8	0	5	9	2
	6	11	13	8	1	4	10	7	9	5	0	15	14	2	3	12
s_8	13	2	8	4	6	15	11	1	10	9	3	14	5	0	12	7
	1	15	13	8	10	3	7	4	12	5	6	11	0	14	9	2
	7	11	4	1	9	12	14	2	0	6	10	13	15	3	5	8
	2	1	14	7	4	10	8	13	15	12	9	0	3	5	6	11


DES: Key Schedule

- Permuted Choice 1 (PC1)
 - Remove 8 parity bits
 - Permutation
- Rotation positions:
 - 1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,
1,2,2,2,2,2,2,1
- Permuted Choice 2 (PC2)
 - Permute 56 key bits
 - Extract 48 bits as a subkey (round key)




DES: Key Schedule

- Permuted Choice 1



- Permuted Choice 2




Multiple Encryption

- DES: 56-bit key size
 - small with today's computing technology
 - How to increase the key size ?
- Multiple encryption
 - Double DES
 - Triple DES

Multiple Encryption

- Double DES
 - Key size: 112 bits
 - Encryption: $C = E_{K_2}(E_{K_1}(P))$
 - Vulnerable to meet-in-the-middle attack
 - With computational complexity 2^{57} , memory 2^{56}



Multiple Encryption

- Triple DES


- Encryption: $C = E_{K_3}(E_{K_2}^{-1}(E_{K_1}(P)))$

- Decryption: $P = E_{K_1}^{-1}(E_{K_2}(E_{K_3}^{-1}(C)))$

- Keying options

- Option 1. K_1, K_2 & K_3 are independent ✓

- Option 2. K_1, K_2 are independent, $K_1 = K_3$



Summary

- DES
 - Feistel Network
 - Always invertible
 - The same network for encryption and decryption
 - The order of the round keys are reversed
 - Key schedule
 - Linear
- Double DES, Triple DES
 - Their security ?