Appendix B - Assignment Evaluation

Tunku Abdul Rahman University of Management and Technology
BAIT2073 Mobile Application Development
Academic Session 202401

1. Fill in the title of your mobile app.

Title of Mobile Solution	Study Buddy Finder

2. Fill in name, ID, programme code and group ID.

	Member 1	Member 2	Member 3	Member 4
Name	Lim Weng Ni	Tan Wei Siang	Sia Shun Fu	Chew Chin Chong
ID	2309341	2309364	2309359	2309303
Programme (E.g. REI)	RSD	RSD	RSD	RSD
Group (E.g. G1)	5	5	5	5
Score (leave it blank)	0	0	0	0

3. Fill in name of tutor and data of submission

Name of tutor	Mr. PEH GUAN SOON
Date	17/05/2024

Appendix B - Assignment Evaluation

BAIT2073 Mobile Application Development

Name	Lim Weng Ni
ID	2309341
Programme	RSD
Group	5

A. Development of a mobile solution (80%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 5 marks	Approaching 6 - 10 marks	Ideal 10 - 15 marks	Excellent 16 - 20 marks	Score (80%)	Remark
1	Quantity of work	About 20% or less of the proposed module has been completed. Completed modules lack substantial content or effort. Completed modules are none essential parts of the mobile app, i.e. About Us, Help File, Splash Screen, etc.	At least 50% of the proposed module have been completed but content may be lacking or effort may appear minimal. The completed module is an essential part of the mobile app, i.e. Login, Registration, Home Page, etc.	About 80% of the proposed module are completed with a good amount of content and effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.	All proposed modules are completed with comprehensive content and thorough effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.		
2	Quality of work	About 20% or less of modules are working. Code is poorly structured, inefficient, and may not follow best practices. Applications have major bugs that hinder functionality or usability. No input validation.	About 50% of the proposed modules are functional. Code may have some structural issues or inefficiencies. Applications may have bugs that impact functionality or usability. At least 50% of the input validation is implemented.	About 80% of the proposed modules are functional. Code is mostly well-structured and functional, with occasional minor bugs. Applications are generally user-friendly, but may have minor usability issues. Input validation is well implemented.	All completed modules are functional. Code is well-structured, efficient, and follows best practices. Application is user-friendly, and free of major bugs. Input validation is well implemented.		
3	Data management	Data is not stored insecurely or accessed incorrectly, potentially compromising application functionality or security. Application does not store or retrieve data.	Data is stored or retrieved using appropriate data saving method, but may have some security vulnerabilities or inefficiencies. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices.	Data is mostly secure and accessed correctly, but there may be room for improvement in efficiency. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.	Data is stored securely, efficiently, and accessed appropriately. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.		
4	Originality and understanding	Application lacks originality and demonstrates a weak understanding of concepts. Student may not understand key concepts or demonstrate a lack of effort in understanding them. Student does not apply any modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application shows limited originality and understanding of concepts. Student may show some understanding of key concepts, but understanding may be incomplete. Student may applies modern mobile application development methods/models, but may have some minor errors.	Application shows some originality and understanding of concepts. Student demonstrates a good understanding of most concepts. Student applies some modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application demonstrates innovative and creative solutions to problems. Student demonstrates a deep understanding of the concepts involved in mobile application development. Student applies modern mobile application development methods/models.		

B. Quality of presentation and teamwork (20%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 1 marks	Approaching 2 - 3 marks	Ideal 3 - 4 marks	Excellent 5 marks	Score (20%)	Remark
1	Quality of solution	The solution demonstrates a weak understanding of the problem and offers an ineffective or incomplete approach.	The solution demonstrates a basic understanding of the problem but may lack effectiveness or have significant shortcomings.	The solution demonstrates a good understanding of the problem and offers a mostly effective approach. It may have minor shortcomings or lack some details.	The solution demonstrates a deep understanding of the problem and offers an innovative and effective approach. It is well-developed, comprehensive, and addresses all aspects of the assignment.		
2	Quality of presentation	The presentation is unclear, disorganized, and fails to effectively communicate the solution. Major components are not presented. It may lack visuals or storytelling techniques.	The presentation may have some clarity or organization issues, and may not effectively engage the audience. Major components are presented, but missing a few parts. Visuals and storytelling may be lacking.	The presentation is mostly clear and organized but may lack some elements of engagement or clarity. Most of the components are presented, with some minor errors. Visuals and storytelling may be adequate.	The presentation is clear, concise, engaging, and well-organized. All components are presented. It effectively communicates the solution and highlights key points using visuals and storytelling techniques.		
3	Completeness	The project is significantly incomplete and may lack crucial components or fail to meet most criteria. Deliverables are submitted on time, but incomplete. OR No submission. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are not documented.	The project is partially complete and may be missing significant components or not meet several criteria. Some deliverables are submitted on time, with two or more missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are documented, but lacks of detail.	The project is mostly complete, but may lack some minor components or not fully meet all criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time, with one or two missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are mostly documented.	The project is complete, includes all required components, and meets all specified criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are clearly documented.		
4	Teamwork	The student experiences significant difficulties with collaboration or communication, hindering his/her ability to work together effectively. There may be evidence of conflict or lack of participation from the student.	The student may have some issues with collaboration or communication, leading to challenges in managing conflict, delegating tasks, or achieving common goals.	The student demonstrates good collaboration and communication skills. The student is able to manage conflict and delegate tasks, but there may be occasional issues with teamwork or communication.	The student demonstrates excellent collaboration, communication, and problem-solving skills. The student effectively manage conflict, delegate tasks, and work together to achieve common goals.		
					Total	**0**	

Comment:

BAIT2073 Mobile Application Development

Name	Tan Wei Siang
ID	2309364
Programme	RSD
Group	5

A. Development of a mobile solution (80%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 5 marks	Approaching 6 - 10 marks	Ideal 10 - 15 marks	Excellent 16 - 20 marks	Score (80%)	Remark
1	Quantity of work	About 20% or less of the proposed module has been completed. Completed modules lack substantial content or effort. Completed modules are none essential parts of the mobile app, i.e. About Us, Help File, Splash Screen, etc.	At least 50% of the proposed module have been completed but content may be lacking or effort may appear minimal. The completed module is an essential part of the mobile app, i.e. Login, Registration, Home Page, etc.	About 80% of the proposed module are completed with a good amount of content and effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.	All proposed modules are completed with comprehensive content and thorough effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.		
2	Quality of work	About 20% or less of modules are working. Code is poorly structured, inefficient, and may not follow best practices. Applications have major bugs that hinder functionality or usability. No input validation.	About 50% of the proposed modules are functional. Code may have some structural issues or inefficiencies. Applications may have bugs that impact functionality or usability. At least 50% of the input validation is implemented.	About 80% of the proposed modules are functional. Code is mostly well-structured and functional, with occasional minor bugs. Applications are generally user-friendly, but may have minor usability issues. Input validation is well implemented.	All completed modules are functional. Code is well-structured, efficient, and follows best practices. Application is user-friendly, and free of major bugs. Input validation is well implemented.		
3	Data management	Data is not stored insecurely or accessed incorrectly, potentially compromising application functionality or security. Application does not store or retrieve data.	Data is stored or retrieved using appropriate data saving method, but may have some security vulnerabilities or inefficiencies. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices.	Data is mostly secure and accessed correctly, but there may be room for improvement in efficiency. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.	Data is stored securely, efficiently, and accessed appropriately. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.		
4	Originality and understanding	Application lacks originality and demonstrates a weak understanding of concepts. Student may not understand key concepts or demonstrate a lack of effort in understanding them. Student does not apply any modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application shows limited originality and understanding of concepts. Student may show some understanding of key concepts, but understanding may be incomplete. Student may applies modern mobile application development methods/models, but may have some minor errors.	Application shows some originality and understanding of concepts. Student demonstrates a good understanding of most concepts. Student applies some modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application demonstrates innovative and creative solutions to problems. Student demonstrates a deep understanding of the concepts involved in mobile application development. Student applies modern mobile application development methods/models.		

B. Quality of presentation and teamwork (20%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 1 marks	Approaching 2 - 3 marks	Ideal 3 - 4 marks	Excellent 5 marks	Score (20%)	Remark
1	Quality of solution	The solution demonstrates a weak understanding of the problem and offers an ineffective or incomplete approach.	The solution demonstrates a basic understanding of the problem but may lack effectiveness or have significant shortcomings.	The solution demonstrates a good understanding of the problem and offers a mostly effective approach. It may have minor shortcomings or lack some details.	The solution demonstrates a deep understanding of the problem and offers an innovative and effective approach. It is well-developed, comprehensive, and addresses all aspects of the assignment.		
2	Quality of presentation	The presentation is unclear, disorganized, and fails to effectively communicate the solution. Major components are not presented. It may lack visuals or storytelling techniques.	The presentation may have some clarity or organization issues, and may not effectively engage the audience. Major components are presented, but missing a few parts. Visuals and storytelling may be lacking.	The presentation is mostly clear and organized but may lack some elements of engagement or clarity. Most of the components are presented, with some minor errors. Visuals and storytelling may be adequate.	The presentation is clear, concise, engaging, and well-organized. All components are presented. It effectively communicates the solution and highlights key points using visuals and storytelling techniques.		
3	Completeness	The project is significantly incomplete and may lack crucial components or fail to meet most criteria. Deliverables are submitted on time, but incomplete. OR No submission. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are not documented.	The project is partially complete and may be missing significant components or not meet several criteria. Some deliverables are submitted on time, with two or more missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are documented, but lacks of detail.	The project is mostly complete, but may lack some minor components or not fully meet all criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time, with one or two missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are mostly documented.	The project is complete, includes all required components, and meets all specified criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are clearly documented.		
4	Teamwork	The student experiences significant difficulties with collaboration or communication, hindering his/her ability to work together effectively. There may be evidence of conflict or lack of participation from the student.	The student may have some issues with collaboration or communication, leading to challenges in managing conflict, delegating tasks, or achieving common goals.	The student demonstrates good collaboration and communication skills. The student is able to manage conflict and delegate tasks, but there may be occasional issues with teamwork or communication.	The student demonstrates excellent collaboration, communication, and problem-solving skills. The student effectively manage conflict, delegate tasks, and work together to achieve common goals.		
					Total	0	

Comment:

Appendix B - Assignment Evaluation

BAIT2073 Mobile Application Development

Name	Sia Shun Fu
ID	2309359
Programme	RSD
Group	5

A. Development of a mobile solution (80%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 5 marks	Approaching 6 - 10 marks	Ideal 10 - 15 marks	Excellent 16 - 20 marks	Score (80%)	Remark
1	Quantity of work	About 20% or less of the proposed module has been completed. Completed modules lack substantial content or effort. Completed modules are none essential parts of the mobile app, i.e. About Us, Help File, Splash Screen, etc.	At least 50% of the proposed module have been completed but content may be lacking or effort may appear minimal. The completed module is an essential part of the mobile app, i.e. Login, Registration, Home Page, etc.	About 80% of the proposed module are completed with a good amount of content and effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.	All proposed modules are completed with comprehensive content and thorough effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.		
2	Quality of work	About 20% or less of modules are working. Code is poorly structured, inefficient, and may not follow best practices. Applications have major bugs that hinder functionality or usability. No input validation.	About 50% of the proposed modules are functional. Code may have some structural issues or inefficiencies. Applications may have bugs that impact functionality or usability. At least 50% of the input validation is implemented.	About 80% of the proposed modules are functional. Code is mostly well-structured and functional, with occasional minor bugs. Applications are generally user-friendly, but may have minor usability issues. Input validation is well implemented.	All completed modules are functional. Code is well-structured, efficient, and follows best practices. Application is user-friendly, and free of major bugs. Input validation is well implemented.		
3	Data management	Data is not stored insecurely or accessed incorrectly, potentially compromising application functionality or security. Application does not store or retrieve data.	Data is stored or retrieved using appropriate data saving method, but may have some security vulnerabilities or inefficiencies. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices.	Data is mostly secure and accessed correctly, but there may be room for improvement in efficiency. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.	Data is stored securely, efficiently, and accessed appropriately. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.		
4	Originality and understanding	Application lacks originality and demonstrates a weak understanding of concepts. Student may not understand key concepts or demonstrate a lack of effort in understanding them. Student does not apply any modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application shows limited originality and understanding of concepts. Student may show some understanding of key concepts, but understanding may be incomplete. Student may applies modern mobile application development methods/models, but may have some minor errors.	Application shows some originality and understanding of concepts. Student demonstrates a good understanding of most concepts. Student applies some modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application demonstrates innovative and creative solutions to problems. Student demonstrates a deep understanding of the concepts involved in mobile application development. Student applies modern mobile application development methods/models.		

B. Quality of presentation and teamwork (20%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 1 marks	Approaching 2 - 3 marks	Ideal 3 - 4 marks	Excellent 5 marks	Score (20%)	Remark
1	Quality of solution	The solution demonstrates a weak understanding of the problem and offers an ineffective or incomplete approach.	The solution demonstrates a basic understanding of the problem but may lack effectiveness or have significant shortcomings.	The solution demonstrates a good understanding of the problem and offers a mostly effective approach. It may have minor shortcomings or lack some details.	The solution demonstrates a deep understanding of the problem and offers an innovative and effective approach. It is well-developed, comprehensive, and addresses all aspects of the assignment.		
2	Quality of presentation	The presentation is unclear, disorganized, and fails to effectively communicate the solution. Major components are not presented. It may lack visuals or storytelling techniques.	The presentation may have some clarity or organization issues, and may not effectively engage the audience. Major components are presented, but missing a few parts. Visuals and storytelling may be lacking.	The presentation is mostly clear and organized but may lack some elements of engagement or clarity. Most of the components are presented, with some minor errors. Visuals and storytelling may be adequate.	The presentation is clear, concise, engaging, and well-organized. All components are presented. It effectively communicates the solution and highlights key points using visuals and storytelling techniques.		
3	Completeness	The project is significantly incomplete and may lack crucial components or fail to meet most criteria. Deliverables are submitted on time, but incomplete. OR No submission. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are not documented.	The project is partially complete and may be missing significant components or not meet several criteria. Some deliverables are submitted on time, with two or more missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are documented, but lacks of detail.	The project is mostly complete, but may lack some minor components or not fully meet all criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time, with one or two missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are mostly documented.	The project is complete, includes all required components, and meets all specified criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are clearly documented.		
4	Teamwork	The student experiences significant difficulties with collaboration or communication, hindering his/her ability to work together effectively. There may be evidence of conflict or lack of participation from the student.	The student may have some issues with collaboration or communication, leading to challenges in managing conflict, delegating tasks, or achieving common goals.	The student demonstrates good collaboration and communication skills. The student is able to manage conflict and delegate tasks, but there may be occasional issues with teamwork or communication.	The student demonstrates excellent collaboration, communication, and problem-solving skills. The student effectively manage conflict, delegate tasks, and work together to achieve common goals.		
				Total		0	

Comment:

Appendix B - Assignment Evaluation

BAIT2073 Mobile Application Development

Name	Chew Chin Chong
ID	2309303
Programme	RSD
Group	5

A. Development of a mobile solution (80%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 5 marks	Approaching 6 - 10 marks	Ideal 10 - 15 marks	Excellent 16 - 20 marks	Score (80%)	Remark
1	Quantity of work	About 20% or less of the proposed module has been completed. Completed modules lack substantial content or effort. Completed modules are none essential parts of the mobile app, i.e. About Us, Help File, Splash Screen, etc.	At least 50% of the proposed module have been completed but content may be lacking or effort may appear minimal. The completed module is an essential part of the mobile app, i.e. Login, Registration, Home Page, etc.	About 80% of the proposed module are completed with a good amount of content and effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.	All proposed modules are completed with comprehensive content and thorough effort. Modules are essential component of the mobile app, i.e. searching, transaction-related modules and etc.		
2	Quality of work	About 20% or less of modules are working. Code is poorly structured, inefficient, and may not follow best practices. Applications have major bugs that hinder functionality or usability. No input validation.	About 50% of the proposed modules are functional. Code may have some structural issues or inefficiencies. Applications may have bugs that impact functionality or usability. At least 50% of the input validation is implemented.	About 80% of the proposed modules are functional. Code is mostly well-structured and functional, with occasional minor bugs. Applications are generally user-friendly, but may have minor usability issues. Input validation is well implemented.	All completed modules are functional. Code is well-structured, efficient, and follows best practices. Application is user-friendly, and free of major bugs. Input validation is well implemented.		
3	Data management	Data is not stored insecurely or accessed incorrectly, potentially compromising application functionality or security. Application does not store or retrieve data.	Data is stored or retrieved using appropriate data saving method, but may have some security vulnerabilities or inefficiencies. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices.	Data is mostly secure and accessed correctly, but there may be room for improvement in efficiency. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.	Data is stored securely, efficiently, and accessed appropriately. Applications demonstrate proper data handling practices. Suitable local and remote data saving methods are implemented.		
4	Originality and understanding	Application lacks originality and demonstrates a weak understanding of concepts. Student may not understand key concepts or demonstrate a lack of effort in understanding them. Student does not apply any modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application shows limited originality and understanding of concepts. Student may show some understanding of key concepts, but understanding may be incomplete. Student may applies modern mobile application development methods/models, but may have some minor errors.	Application shows some originality and understanding of concepts. Student demonstrates a good understanding of most concepts. Student applies some modern mobile application development methods/models.	Application demonstrates innovative and creative solutions to problems. Student demonstrates a deep understanding of the concepts involved in mobile application development. Student applies modern mobile application development methods/models.		

B. Quality of presentation and teamwork (20%)

No	Criteria	Developing 0 - 1 marks	Approaching 2 - 3 marks	Ideal 3 - 4 marks	Excellent 5 marks	Score (20%)	Remark
1	Quality of solution	The solution demonstrates a weak understanding of the problem and offers an ineffective or incomplete approach.	The solution demonstrates a basic understanding of the problem but may lack effectiveness or have significant shortcomings.	The solution demonstrates a good understanding of the problem and offers a mostly effective approach. It may have minor shortcomings or lack some details.	The solution demonstrates a deep understanding of the problem and offers an innovative and effective approach. It is well-developed, comprehensive, and addresses all aspects of the assignment.		
2	Quality of presentation	The presentation is unclear, disorganized, and fails to effectively communicate the solution. Major components are not presented. It may lack visuals or storytelling techniques.	The presentation may have some clarity or organization issues, and may not effectively engage the audience. Major components are presented, but missing a few parts. Visuals and storytelling may be lacking.	The presentation is mostly clear and organized but may lack some elements of engagement or clarity. Most of the components are presented, with some minor errors. Visuals and storytelling may be adequate.	The presentation is clear, concise, engaging, and well-organized. All components are presented. It effectively communicates the solution and highlights key points using visuals and storytelling techniques.		
3	Completeness	The project is significantly incomplete and may lack crucial components or fail to meet most criteria. Deliverables are submitted on time, but incomplete. OR No submission. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are not documented.	The project is partially complete and may be missing significant components or not meet several criteria. Some deliverables are submitted on time, with two or more missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are documented, but lacks of detail.	The project is mostly complete, but may lack some minor components or not fully meet all criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time, with one or two missing items. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are mostly documented.	The project is complete, includes all required components, and meets all specified criteria. All deliverables are submitted on time. The project description, methods used, personal contributions, and learning experiences gained are clearly documented.		
4	Teamwork	The student experiences significant difficulties with collaboration or communication, hindering his/her ability to work together effectively. There may be evidence of conflict or lack of participation from the student.	The student may have some issues with collaboration or communication, leading to challenges in managing conflict, delegating tasks, or achieving common goals.	The student demonstrates good collaboration and communication skills. The student is able to manage conflict and delegate tasks, but there may be occasional issues with teamwork or communication.	The student demonstrates excellent collaboration, communication, and problem-solving skills. The student effectively manage conflict, delegate tasks, and work together to achieve common goals.		
					Total	**0**	

Comment: