Your Original and Relevant Course Project Title

Social Network Analysis for Computer Scientists — Course paper

Student name 1 student2@umail.leidenuniv.nl LIACS, Leiden University Leiden, Netherlands

ABSTRACT KEYWORDS

keyword1, keyword2, keyword3, social network analysis, network science

ACM Reference Format:

Student name 1 and Student name 2. 2022. Your Original and Relevant Course Project Title: Social Network Analysis for Computer Scientists — Course paper. In *Proceedings of Social Network Analysis for Computer Scientists Course 2022 (SNACS '22)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages.

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 RELATED WORK
- 3 APPROACH

3.1 Variational Quantum Classifier

Variational Quantum Classifier(VQC) is a kind of parameterized quantum circuit used in classification tasks [1]. Conventional machine learning methods, such as SVM and neural networks, often take advantage of a parameterized model as classifiers. During training process, these methods use a target function or loss function to measure the difference between prediction results and ground true labels and update the parameters in the model to improve its performance. After several training epochs on adequate data, these machine learning methods can achieve great performance on prediction on unseen data as well. VQC works in a similar way, whereas applying a quantum circuit as its parameterized model. The abstract model of VQC is shown in Figure 1, including data encoding (or feature mapping) $U_{enc}(x)$, variational model $U_{train}(\theta)$, measurement, and parameter updating(usually via gradient decent). In the following subsection 3.1.1 to 3.1.4, we will introduce these processing steps in detail. And then, in subsection 3.1.5, the overall working principle of our VQC circuit will be discussed.

3.1.1 Data Encoding.

As what we have discussed above, VQC attempts to apply parameterized quantum circuit as its learning model. However, nowadays most of data is stored in classical computers which quantum circuit cannot directly access to. Hence, it's necessary to encode classical data into quantum state that can be preocessed by quantum circuit at first.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

SNACS '22, Master CS, Fall 2022, Leiden, the Netherlands © 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

Student name 2 student2@umail.leidenuniv.nl LIACS, Leiden University Leiden, Netherlands




Figure 1: Abstract model of VQC: A Variational Quantum Classifier contains these processing steps: data encoding, variational model, measurement and parameter updating.

There are many basic methods to achieve this encoding preocess, such as basis encoding, amplitude encoding and angle encoding. Besides, several higher order encoding methods which combines different basic encoding methods are studied as well. In this paper, our proposal data encoding method is xxxx, one of the most popular higher order encoding methods. The specific quantum circuit is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Data encoding circuit: Description

3.1.2 Variational Model.

Variational model is a quantum circuit with trainalbe parameters.

During the training process, these parameters will be updated to improve the model's performance. There are various kinds of variational model can be applied in VQC. In this paper, the circuit of our variational model is shown in Figure 3.

图片待补充

Figure 3: Variational model circuit: Description

3.1.3 Measurement.

When training most of machine learning models, we need to compare the prediction results and the labels to update parameters, which works the same way in VQC. Nevertheless, after data encoding and variational model, the results are still in quantum states. Hence, we need to extract prediction results from these quantum states. This process is accomplished by measurement. We simply measure all qubits in the circuit and run the circuit for many times. Then we can obtain a distribution of these possible results.

In binary classification tasks, a common way to extract prediction results is to converge the result states distribution into a binary distribution of odd parity states and even parity states. In other words, we consider the parity distribution as the prediction probability of two classes. For example, we run a 2-qubit circuit for 100 times and obtain the measurement result which is [00:21, 01:33, 10:28, 11:18], so the prediction probability for each class shall be [0.39, 0.61]. Therefore, the second class will be predicted as the final result.

3.1.4 Parameter Updating.

Parameter updating process is the most significant part of machine learning, which includes loss calculation and gradient decent. Loss calculation is the same as the conventional machine learning. When we obtain the predcition probability distribution of each class, we compare the difference between it and ground true label through a loss function. Despite popularity of cross entropy loss in classification tasks, we still choose MAE loss in our model.

In the conventional machine learning, such as neural network, gradient decent based method is famous and efficient to update parameters in the training process. However, it's hard to calculate gradient as in the conventional machine learning due to the fact that

our model is based on the quantum circuit. Hence, [2] proposed a method using difference to subsitute real gradients. In detail, for each parameter θ , we run the circuit and calculate the loss value based on the prediction probability distribution results for parameter $\theta + s$ and $\theta - s$, namely $L(\theta + s)$ and $L(\theta - s)$. Then using the following formula to calculate the gradient:

$$gradient(\theta) = \frac{L(\theta+s) - L(\theta-s)}{2s}$$

where *s* is usually chosen as a small real positive number. Then this proximate gradient is used to update model's parameters just as the same way in the conventional machine learning.

3.1.5 Overall Analysis.

In this subsection, we make a overal analysis on our VQC and the circuit theoretically to illustrate why this process makes a good classifier.

According to the analysis in the previous subsections, our ansatz can be written in the mathematical form:

$$U(x, \theta) = U_{train}(\theta)U_{enc}(x)$$

Before the measurement, the following state is prepared:

$$|\psi(x,\theta)\rangle = U(x,\theta)|0^n\rangle$$

And then we measure the observable $Z^{\otimes n}$ on the above state to implement the function:

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \langle \psi(x, \theta) | Z^{\otimes n} | \psi(x, \theta) \rangle$$

This function is actually the expectation of the observing result distribution on the computational basis of even parity states and odd parity states, when giving even parity states value 1 (represent class 0) and odd parity states value -1 (represent class 1). To be more specific, let us use a 2-qubit example to illustrate it.

Suppose we have a general 2-qubit state $|\phi\rangle=a\,|00\rangle+b\,|01\rangle+c\,|10\rangle+d\,|11\rangle$ as $|\psi(x,\theta)\rangle$. Feed this state into the above function, and the result is actually computed as:

$$\begin{split} f_{\theta}(x) &= \langle \phi | \, Z^{\otimes n} \, | \phi \rangle \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a^2 - b^2 - c^2 + d^2 \end{split}$$

When we observe $|\phi\rangle$ on the computational basis, the final probability to obtain odd and even parity state shall be:

$$p(even) = p(|00\rangle) + p(|11\rangle) = a^2 + d^2$$

$$p(odd) = p(|01\rangle) + p(|10\rangle) = b^2 + c^2$$

Remember we have given even parity states value 1 and odd parity states value -1 to make it a classifier, so the expectation value of this probability distribution will be:

$$E = 1 * p(even) + (-1) * p(odd) = a^2 - b^2 - c^2 + d^2$$

It's actually the same result compared to $f_{\theta}(x)$. However, in real cases, we couldn't

- 4 DATA
- 5 EXPERIMENTS
- 6 CONCLUSION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] Vojtěch Havlíček, Antonio D Córcoles, Kristan Temme, Aram W Harrow, Abhinav Kandala, Jerry M Chow, and Jay M Gambetta. 2019. Supervised learning with

- quantum-enhanced feature spaces. Nature 567, 7747 (2019), 209-212.
- [2] Maria Schuld, Alex Bocharov, Krysta M Svore, and Nathan Wiebe. 2020. Circuitcentric quantum classifiers. *Physical Review A* 101, 3 (2020), 032308.