# The $\kappa$ -connected Arborescence Star Problem: Formulation and Branch-and-Cut Algorithm

Shurui Zhang s2193407 zssrui@gmail.com Supervised by: Dr.Kit Daniel Searle

From 10<sup>th</sup> June to 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2024

# 1 Introduction

To fulfill this commitment of achieving net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050, the adoption of cleaner energy sources is crucial. However, the distribution of renewable energy to consumers poses significant financial challenges. Therefore, it is crucial to optimize these investments. Optimization and operational research play a pivotal role in determining the most efficient strategies for designing and implementing renewable energy systems.

The customers and the candidates refuelling stations can be modelled as a set of vertices  $\mathcal{V}$  and a set of vertices  $\mathcal{R}$ , respectively. The hydrogen pipelines networks can be viewed as the set of directed edges  $\mathcal{A}$  to connect the sources  $\mathcal{R}$  and customers  $\mathcal{V}$ . In addition, the networks  $\mathcal{A}$  can be denoted as a set of arborescences  $T_r$  rooted at  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , which can be viewed as a forest topology. Previous researchers adopted the forest-stars network [1]. In this design approach, the "forest" consists of a set of trees, which are used to model the pipeline routes used to distribute the renewable energy [2]. These trees originate from the renewable energy production facilities and extend outward to reach the refueling stations and the customers, which resembles stars. However, the limitation lies in its vulnerability to disruptions. Specifically, the removal of a single component in the distribution network could lead to a complete system failure. This vulnerability is a significant concern since it makes the renewable energy infrastructure susceptible to unforeseen disruptions and maintenance needs.

The focus of this research is to first present the arborescence star problem, and then the develop a rigorous model and solution algorithms for determining a robust and financially optimal renewable energy refueling network by introducing an additional constraint, and thus ensures uninterrupted functionality of the whole system.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** A directed graph (or digraph) is an ordered pair G = (V, E), where V is (finite)set of objects called vertices, and E is a set of ordered pairs of distinct vertices, called directed edges. A weighted digraph is a digraph together with a weight function  $w : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ . A rooted directed graph (or flow graph) is a digraph in which a vertex r has been distinguished as the root. Digraphs with a set of vertices  $R \subset V$  designated as roots are called multiply rooted digraphs.

**Definition 2.** A path P in a digraph G = (V, E) is a sequence of vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  with  $n \ge 2$  and a corresponding sequence of n-1 edges such that the  $i^{th}$  edge in the sequence is  $(v_i, v_{i+1})$ . Vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_n$  are called the origin and the destination of P, respectively. A set of paths from are called edge-disjoint if no two have an edge in common.

**Definition 3.** The edge-connectivity of s and t, denoted as  $\kappa(s,t)$  is the minimal number  $\kappa$  such that there exists  $X \subseteq E$ ,  $|X| = \kappa$  and the subgraph  $G' = (V, E \setminus X)$  contains no s-t path. The maximal number of edge-disjoint s-t paths is denoted as  $\kappa'(s,t)$ .

Theorem 4 (Edge Connectivity Menger's Theorem for Digraph). In a digraph G = (V, E), for any  $s, t \in V$ , and  $s \neq t$ , we have

$$\kappa(s,t) = \kappa'(s,t).$$

**Definition 5.** A multiply rooted digraph G = (V, E) with roots  $R \subset V$  is called  $\kappa$ -connected if for every vertices  $v \in V \setminus R$ , there exists  $\kappa$  edge-disjoint directed paths from distinct roots to v.

**Definition 6.** A cut C = (S, T) is a partition of V of a digraph G = (V, E) into two subsets S and T. The cut-set of a cut C = (S, T) is the set of edges

$$\delta^{+}(S) = \{(u, v) \in E | u \in S, v \in T\}$$

that have one endpoint in S and the other endpoint in T. In a weighted digraph, the value or weight is defined by the sum of the weights of the edges crossing the cut, i.e.

$$c(S,T) = \sum_{e \in \delta^+(S)} w(e).$$

# 3 The arborescence star problem

Consider a set of vertices  $\mathcal{V}$ , a set of roots  $\mathcal{R}$  and a di-graph  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A})$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = \{(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{V}\}$ . Additionally, each arc  $(i, j) \in \mathcal{A}$  is associated with a construction cost  $c_{i,j}$  and an allocation cost  $a_{i,j}$ . The the arborescence star problem is defined as follows: Find a set of vertices  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$  and edges  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that

- in the induced subgraph  $\mathcal{G}' = (\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E})$ , each weakly connected component is an arborescence rooted in some  $r \in \mathcal{R}$
- any  $v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{W}$  is assigned to a vertex in  $\mathcal{G}'$  that minimises the total edge weights and assignment cost.

## 3.1 Desicion Variables

To formulate the problem, we introduce the following decision variables: Let

$$x_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (i,j) \in \mathcal{E} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

and let

$$y_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if vertex } j \in \mathcal{V} \text{ is assigned to vertex } i \in \mathcal{W} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where we employ the notation that if  $y_{i,i} = 1$  then vertex  $i \in \mathcal{W}$ , i.e.,

$$y_{i,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in W \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (3)

## 3.2 Objective function

The objective function is

$$\sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{A}} c_{i,j} x_{i,j} + a_{i,j} y_{i,j}.$$

## 3.3 Model constraints

$$y_{r,r} = 1 \quad \forall \ r \in \mathcal{R} \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} x_{i,j} = y_{j,j} \quad \forall \ j \in \mathcal{V}$$
 (5)

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} y_{i,j} = 1 \quad \forall \ j \in \mathcal{V} \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{(p,q)\in\delta^{+}(S)} x_{p,q} \ge \sum_{t\in S} y_{k,t} \quad \forall \ S \subset \mathcal{V}, k \in \mathcal{V}$$
 (7)

$$x_{i,j} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ (i,j) \in \mathcal{A} \tag{8}$$

$$y_{i,j} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ (i,j) \in \mathcal{A} \tag{9}$$

The constraint (4) is labeling the roots  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  as tree nodes. The second constraint (5) is that any node  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  is on one arborescence if and only if the node v has one predesector on one arborescence. The constraint (6) is that for any node  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ , it is either on one arborescence or is assigned to one node on one arborescence. The constraint (7) ensures the arborescence topology.

### Proposition 7.

The inequality

$$y_{i,i} \ge y_{i,j} + x_{i,j} + x_{j,i} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{V}$$
 (10)

is valid.

*Proof.* The inequality (10) is indeed a special case of the cycle elimination constraint (7). Letting  $S = \{i, j\}, \text{ and } k = j \text{ yields}$ 

$$\sum_{(p,q) \in \delta^+(\{i,j\})} x_{p,q} \ge \sum_{t \in \{i,j\}} y_{j,t},$$

that is

$$\sum_{(o,i)\in\delta^+(\{i\})} x_{o,i} - x_{j,i} + \sum_{(o,j)\in\delta^+(\{j\})} x_{o,j} - x_{i,j} \ge y_{j,j} + y_{j,i}$$

Replacing by constraint (5) yields

$$y_{i,i} - x_{j,i} + y_{j,j} - x_{i,j} \ge y_{j,j} + y_{j,i}$$

that is

$$y_{i,i} \ge x_{j,i} + x_{i,j} + y_{i,j}$$
.

We then apply the branch and bound method to solve the arboresence star model [3]. We first solve the linear model with constraints (4)(5)(6)(10), and then solve the separation problem of the constraint (7)

#### 4 The $\kappa$ -connected Arborescence Star Problem

Then, the  $\kappa$  connected arborescence star problem is defined as follows: Find a set of vertices  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$ and edges  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that

- in induced subgraph  $\mathcal{G}' = (\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{E})$ , for each  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , there is  $\kappa$  edge-disjoint paths from  $\kappa$ different roots in  $\mathcal{R}$  to w.
- any  $v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{W}$  is assigned to a vertex in  $\mathcal{G}'$

that minimises the total edge weights and assignment cost.

#### 4.1 **Model Constarints**

$$y_{r,r} = 1 \quad \forall \ r \in \mathcal{R} \tag{11}$$

$$y_{r,r} = 1 \quad \forall \ r \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} x_{i,j} = \kappa y_{j,j} \quad \forall \ j \in \mathcal{V}$$
(11)

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} y_{i,j} = 1 \quad \forall \ j \in \mathcal{V}$$
 (13)

$$x_{i,j} + x_{j,i} \le 1 \quad \forall \ i, j \in \mathcal{V} \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{(p,q)\in\delta^{+}(S)} x_{o,i} \ge (\kappa - |S \cap \mathcal{R}|) \sum_{t \in S} y_{k,t} \quad \forall \ S \subset \mathcal{V}, k \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$\tag{15}$$

$$x_{i,j} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ (i,j) \in \mathcal{A} \tag{16}$$

$$y_{i,j} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall \ (i,j) \in \mathcal{A} \tag{17}$$

(18)

## Proposition 8.

The inequality

$$y_{i,i} \ge y_{i,j} + x_{i,j} + x_{j,i} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$\tag{19}$$

is valid.

*Proof.* By the constraint 15, letting  $S = \{i, j\}$  and k = j where  $i, j \in \mathcal{V}$  yields

$$\sum_{(p,q)\in\delta^{+}(\{i,j\})} x_{p,q} \ge \kappa \sum_{t\in\{i,j\}} y_{j,t},\tag{20}$$

that is

$$\sum_{(o,i)\in\delta^+(\{i\})} x_{o,i} - x_{j,i} + \sum_{(o,j)\in\delta^+(\{j\})} x_{o,j} - x_{i,j} \ge \kappa(y_{j,j} + y_{j,i})$$

Replacing by constraint (12) yields

$$\kappa y_{i,i} - x_{j,i} + \kappa y_{j,j} - x_{i,j} \ge \kappa (y_{j,j} + y_{j,i})$$

that is

$$\kappa(y_{i,i} - y_{i,j}) \ge x_{j,i} + x_{i,j}. \tag{21}$$

Clearly, the equation 19 is stronger than the equality (21). By the Non-negativity of  $x_{i,j}, x_{j,i}$  and (21), we get

$$y_{i,i} \ge y_{i,j}, \quad \forall i, j \in \mathcal{V}.$$

We consider (21) in two different cases:  $y_{i,i} - y_{i,j} = 0$  and  $y_{i,i} - y_{i,j} = 1$ .

- If  $y_{i,i} y_{i,j} = 0$ , we get  $x_{i,j} = x_{j,i} = 0$ , and thus (19) is valid.
- If  $y_{i,i} y_{i,j} = 1$ , by the constraint 14, we have  $y_{i,i} y_{i,j} = 1 \ge x_{i,j} + x_{j,i}$  as well.

Hence, the claim follows.

# 4.2 Separation Method

We apply the branch and bound method to solve the  $\kappa$ -connected arboresence star model. We first solve the linear model with constraints (11)(12)(13)(19), and then solve the separation problem of the constraint (15). Sadly, no algorithm is found to solve the separation problem (15). However, there is a algorithm to solve the separation problem of the weaker inequality:

$$\sum_{(p,q)\in\delta^{+}(S)} x_{o,i} \ge \kappa \sum_{t\in S} y_{k,t} - |S \cap \mathcal{R}|$$
(22)

## Algorithm 1: A separation procedure for SEC's

```
Input: \bar{x}_{i,j}, \bar{y}_{i,j} a solution to \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{G}^*
  1 s \leftarrow -1 defines a dummy source
  2 \mathcal{V}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \cup \{i \in \mathcal{V} : \bar{y}_{i,i} > 0\} \cup \{s\}
  \mathbf{3} \ \mathcal{A}^* \leftarrow \{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A} : \bar{x}_{i,j} > 0\}
  4 foreach (i, j) \in \mathcal{A} do
  \mathbf{5} \mid c_{i,j} \leftarrow \bar{x}_{i,j}
  6 \mathcal{A}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^* \cup \{(s,r) : r \in \mathcal{R}\}
  7 foreach r \in \mathcal{R} do
        c_{s,r} = 1
  9 \mathcal{G}^* \leftarrow (\mathcal{V}^*, \mathcal{A}^*)
10 foreach i \in \mathcal{V}^* do
               \mathcal{V}^{**} \leftarrow \mathcal{V}^* \cup \{t\};
11
               \mathcal{A}^{**} \leftarrow \mathcal{A}^* \cup \{(j,t) \ \forall j \in \mathcal{V}^*\};
12
              c_{j,t} \leftarrow \kappa \bar{y}_{i,j} \ \forall j \in \mathcal{V}^*;
13
               \Delta, \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \rangle \leftarrow \text{MIN\_ST\_CUT}(\mathcal{G}^{**} = (\mathcal{V}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^{**}), s, t);
              if \Delta < \kappa and i \in \mathcal{T} then
15
                \mathcal{T} \setminus \{t\} defines the most violated cut involving i;
16
```

We then prove the validation of the separation procedure. Suppose  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}$  defines the most violated cut involving i and  $\Delta < \kappa$ , we have

$$\sum_{(p,q): p \in \mathcal{S}, q \in \mathcal{T}} c_{p,q} < \kappa$$

that is

$$\sum_{q \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{R}} c_{s,q} + \sum_{(p,q) \in \delta^+(\mathcal{T})} c_{p,q} + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}} c_{p,t} < \kappa$$
$$|\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{R}| + \sum_{(p,q) \in \delta^+(\mathcal{T})} \bar{x}_{p,q} + \kappa \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \bar{y}_{i,p} < \kappa$$

By constraint 13, we get

$$|\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{R}| + \sum_{(p,q) \in \delta^+(\mathcal{T})} \bar{x}_{p,q} + \kappa (1 - \sum_{p \in \mathcal{T}} \bar{y}_{i,p}) < \kappa$$

that is

$$\sum_{(p,q)\in\delta^{+}(\mathcal{T})} \bar{x}_{p,q} < \kappa \sum_{p\in\mathcal{T}} \bar{y}_{i,p} - |\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{R}|$$
(23)

Hence, the algorithm find a violation of the constraint (15). We can apply the same algorithm with  $\kappa = 1$  for the arborescence star problem.

# 5 Acknowledgements

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Kit Searle, for his continuous support and invaluable guidance throughout this project. His expertise in operational research did far more than fill gaps in my knowledge—it sharpened my problem-formulation, clarified my thinking, and raised my standards for what careful analysis and clear writing should look like. I am especially grateful for his thoughtful, line-by-line feedback on early drafts, his probing questions that turned obstacles into tractable sub-problems, and his steady encouragement whenever results were slow to arrive. His timely and detailed advice on my CSE funding application, together with a crucial reference letter, opened doors I could not have opened alone.

Additionally, I am grateful to Dr. Wei En for her constant support, as well as for providing essential information on both external and internal funding opportunities, which significantly aided my application process. I also would like to extend my deepest gratitude to the School of Science and the School of Mathematics for generously providing the funding that made this project possible. Their financial support has been crucial in allowing me to pursue this research, and I am profoundly appreciative of the opportunities it has afforded me.

# References

- [1] LABBÉ, M., LAPORTE, G., MARTÍN, I., AND GONZÁLEZ, S. The ring star problem: Polyhedral analysis and exact algorithm. *Networks* 43 (2004), 177–189.
- [2] LUCENA, A., SIMONETTI, L., AND DA CUNHA, A. The tree-star problem: A formulation and a branch-and-cut algorithm. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics* 52 (2016), 285–292.
- [3] Pereira, A. H., Mateus, G. R., and Urrutia, S. Branch-and-cut algorithms for the arborescence star problem. *International Transactions in Operational Research* 29 (2022), 2374–2400.