Probability Theory

1

Table of Contents

1 Bayes' Theorem and Independence

1 Bayes' Theorem and Independence

From StackExchange.

1.1 Question

I'm deriving Probabilistic latent semantic analysis model. In the model, documents d and words w are observed.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(d, w) &= \sum_{c} \Pr(d, w, c) \\ &= \sum_{c} \Pr(d) \Pr(w, c|d) \\ &= \Pr(d) \sum_{c} \frac{\Pr(w, c, d)}{\Pr(d)} \\ &= \Pr(d) \sum_{c} \Pr(w|c, d) \Pr(c|d) \end{aligned}$$

I used Bayes' theorem from the second line to the third. However, Wikipedia says $\Pr(d) \sum_{c} \Pr(w|c) \Pr(c|d)$. Is this because d and w are independent? If so, how can I know the independence from the grahical model?

1.2 Answer 1

From what Wikipedia says, d and w are assumed to be conditionally independent given c. This means that

$$Pr(d, w, c) = Pr(c) Pr(d|c) Pr(w|c).$$

Now using Bayes's theorem we obtain that this quantity equals Pr(d) Pr(c|d) Pr(w|c).

You could also continue your line of thought, because conditional independence is equivalent to saying that $\Pr(w|c,d) = \Pr(w|c)$.

1.3 Answer 2

Immediately skip to the last line by just applying the definition of conditional probability.

$$Pr(d, w) = \sum_{c} Pr(d, w, c)$$
$$= \sum_{c} Pr(d) Pr(w, c \mid d)$$
$$= Pr(d) \sum_{c} Pr(w \mid c, d) Pr(c \mid d)$$

Anyhow, to say that $\Pr(w \mid c, d) = \Pr(w \mid c)$ is to assert that w, d are conditionally independent for any given c. Conditional Independence of w, d given c is defined as when: $\Pr(w, d \mid c) = \Pr(w \mid c) \Pr(d \mid c)$ So we have:

$$\begin{split} \Pr(d,w) &= \sum_{c} \Pr(d,w,c) & \text{Law of Total Probability} \\ &= \sum_{c} \Pr(c) \Pr(d,w \mid c) & \text{defn. Conditional Probability} \\ &= \sum_{c} \Pr(c) \Pr(d \mid c) \Pr(w \mid c) & \text{because conditional independence} \\ &= \sum_{c} \Pr(c \mid d) \Pr(d) \Pr(w \mid c) & \text{Bayes' Rule} \\ &= \Pr(d) \sum_{c} \Pr(w \mid c) \Pr(c \mid d) & \end{split}$$