

Matrix and Vector

Table of Contents

1	Calculation of the squared Euclidean norm	1
2	General answer of $(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^\top \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)$	2

1 Calculation of the squared Euclidean norm

From StackExchange.

1.1 Question

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{x} - \alpha\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x} - \beta\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| - 2\|\alpha\| \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\alpha\| \|\alpha\| - \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| + 2\|\beta\| \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\beta\| \|\beta\| \\ &= \alpha^T \alpha - \beta^T \beta + 2(\sqrt{\beta \cdot \beta} - \sqrt{\alpha \cdot \alpha}) \|\mathbf{x}\| \\ &= \alpha^T \alpha - \beta^T \beta + 2(\sqrt{\beta \cdot \beta} - \sqrt{\alpha \cdot \alpha})(\sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}), \end{aligned}$$

where I used the fact that

$$\|a\| \|a\| = \sqrt{a \cdot a} \sqrt{a \cdot a} = \sqrt{a^T a} \sqrt{a^T a} = a^T a.$$

However, the article gives

$$2(\beta - \alpha)^T \mathbf{x} + \alpha^T \alpha - \beta^T \beta$$

1.2 Answer

Your transition from the first line to the second is incorrect. We should have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x - \alpha\|^2 - \|x - \beta\|^2 \\ &= (x - \alpha)^T (x - \alpha) - (x - \beta)^T (x - \beta) \\ &= \|x\|^2 + \|\alpha\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - \|\beta\|^2 - x^T \alpha - \alpha^T x + x^T \beta + \beta^T x \\ &= \|\alpha\|^2 - \|\beta\|^2 - 2\alpha^T x + 2\beta^T x \\ &= \alpha^T \alpha - \beta^T \beta + 2(\beta - \alpha)^T x \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

1.3 Caution

1.3.1

For any vectors u, v , $u^T v = v^T u$.

1.3.2

$$(x - \alpha)^T (x - \alpha) = x^T x - x^T \alpha - \alpha^T x + \alpha^T \alpha$$

2 General answer of $(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)$

From StackExchange.

2.1 Question

Can we say this generally?

$$(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) = \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \mathbf{x}_n - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k$$

Or is this the case when \mathbf{x}_n comes from normal distribution, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)$?

I'm a bit confused because I know following equation is generally correct.

$$(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\alpha})^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

2.2 Answer

Your statement is true as long as the $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_n$ matrix is symmetric.

Expand the product and you'll get:

$$(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_n)^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_n (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_n) = \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_n \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_n \boldsymbol{\mu}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_n^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_n \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\mu}_n^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_n \boldsymbol{\mu}_n$$

The cross terms are equal only if the lambda matrix is symmetric.