## Matrix and Vector

## Contents

1 Calculation of the squared Euclidean norm

1

2

2 General answer of  $(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)$ 

## 1 Calculation of the squared Euclidean norm

From StackExchange.

#### 1.1 Question

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \alpha\|^{2} - \|\mathbf{x} - \beta\|^{2}$$

$$= \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| - 2\|\alpha\| \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\alpha\| \|\alpha\| - \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| + 2\|\beta\| \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\beta\| \|\beta\|$$

$$= \alpha^{T} \alpha - \beta^{T} \beta + 2(\sqrt{\beta \cdot \beta} - \sqrt{\alpha \cdot \alpha}) \|\mathbf{x}\|$$

$$= \alpha^{T} \alpha - \beta^{T} \beta + 2(\sqrt{\beta \cdot \beta} - \sqrt{\alpha \cdot \alpha})(\sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}),$$

where I used the fact that

$$||a|||a|| = \sqrt{a \cdot a} \sqrt{a \cdot a} = \sqrt{a^T a} \sqrt{a^T a} = a^T a.$$

However, the article gives

$$2(\beta - \alpha)^T \mathbf{x} + \alpha^T \alpha - \beta^T \beta$$

#### 1.2 Answer

Your transition from the first line to the second is incorrect. We should have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x - \alpha\|^2 - \|x - \beta\|^2 \\ & (x - \alpha)^T (x - \alpha) - (x - \beta)^T (x - \beta) \\ & = \|x\|^2 + \|\alpha\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - \|\beta\|^2 - x^T \alpha - \alpha^T x + x^T \beta + \beta^T x \\ & = \|\alpha\|^2 - \|\beta\|^2 - 2\alpha^T x + 2\beta^T x \\ & = \alpha^T \alpha - \beta^T \beta + 2(\beta - \alpha)^T x \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

### 1.3 Caution

1.3.1

For any vectors  $u, v, u^T v = v^T u$ .

1.3.2

$$(x - \alpha)^T (x - \alpha) = x^T x - x^T \alpha - \alpha^T x + \alpha^T \alpha$$

# 2 General answer of $(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)$

From StackExchange.

#### 2.1 Question

Can we say this generally?

$$(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k) = \mathbf{x}_n^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \mathbf{x}_n - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\mu}_k^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \boldsymbol{\mu}_k$$

Or is this the case when  $\mathbf{x}_n$  comes from normal distribution,  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n|\boldsymbol{\mu}_k,\Lambda_k)$ ?

I'm a bit confused because I know following equation is generally correct.

$$(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\alpha})^T (\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \mathbf{x}_n + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

#### 2.2 Answer

Your statement is true as long as the  $\Lambda_n$  matrix is symmetric.

Expand the product and you'll get:

$$(\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_n)^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_n (\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_n) = \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \mu_n - \mu_n^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \mathbf{x}_n + \mu_n^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_n \mu_n$$

The cross terms are equal only if the lambda matrix is symmetric.