

Part 1

2:13 PM

Proposition 17.3.

$[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty], \text{ proper, convex; } x \in \text{dom} f]$

$x \in \text{Argmin} f \Leftrightarrow f'(x; \cdot) \geq 0$

Proof:

(\Rightarrow)

$x \in \text{Argmin} f \Rightarrow \forall y \in H \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++} f(x) \leq f(x + \kappa y)$

$\Leftrightarrow \forall y \in H \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa} \geq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \forall y \in H \underbrace{\inf_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa}}_{f'(x; y)} \geq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow f'(x; \cdot) \geq 0$

$\therefore x \in \text{Argmin} f \Rightarrow f'(x; \cdot) \geq 0$

$(\Leftarrow) f'(x; y - x) + f(x) \leq f(y) \quad \forall y \in H \quad \forall x \in \text{dom} f \quad (1)$

$f'(x; \cdot) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall y' \in H f'(x; y') \geq 0$

$y' = y - x$
 $\Rightarrow f'(x; y - x) \geq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow f(x) + f'(x; y - x) \leq f(x)$

from (1)
 $\Rightarrow f(y) \geq f(x) + f'(x; y - x) \geq f(x)$

$\therefore \forall y \in H f(y) \geq f(x) \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{Argmin} f$

So, $f'(x; \cdot) \geq 0 \Rightarrow x \in \text{Argmin} f$

Proposition 17.2. (Properties of directional derivative)

$[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty], \text{ proper, convex; } x \in \text{dom} f; y \in H] \Rightarrow$

(i) $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]: \kappa \mapsto (f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)) / \kappa$: increasing

(ii) $f'(x; y)$ exists in $[-\infty, +\infty]$ and $f'(x; y) = \inf_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa}$

(iii) $f'(x; y) + f(x) \leq f(x)$ // from a subgradient like property!

(iv) $f'(x; \cdot)$ is sublinear; $f'(x; 0) = 0$.

(v) $f(x; \cdot)$ proper, convex, dom $f'(x; \cdot) = \text{cone}(\text{dom} f - x)$

(vi) $x \in \text{core dom} f \Rightarrow f'(x; \cdot)$ real valued, sublinear

*/

Corollary 17.6.

$[f \in \Gamma(H); x, p \in H; \text{dom} f: \text{open}; f: \text{locally differentiable on dom} f]$

$p \in \text{Prox}_f x \Leftrightarrow \nabla f(p) + p - x = 0$

Proof:

set $g: y \mapsto f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|^2 \Rightarrow \forall y \in \text{dom} f \quad \nabla g(y) = \nabla f(y) + (y - x)$

So, g : convex, Gateaux differentiable on dom f ;

$p \in \text{Prox}_f x = (Id + \partial f)^{-1} x \quad // \because \text{prox}_f = (Id + \partial f)^{-1}$

$\Leftrightarrow (Id + \partial f) p \ni x$

$\Leftrightarrow p + \partial f(p) \ni x \quad // \partial f(p) = \nabla f(p)$ and \ni becomes $=$

$\Leftrightarrow p + \nabla f(p) = x$

$\therefore \nabla f(p) + p - x = 0$

Proposition 17.18. $[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty], \text{ proper, convex; } x \in \text{dom} f] \Rightarrow (f'(x; \cdot))^* = L_{\nabla f(x)}$

Proof:

Define: $\varphi: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]: y \mapsto f'(x; y) = \lim_{\kappa \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa}$

So, $\varphi^*(u) = \sup_{y \in H} (-\varphi(y) + \langle y | u \rangle)$

$(f'(x; \cdot))^* = \sup_{y \in H} \left(-\inf_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \left(\frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa} \right) + \langle y | u \rangle \right)$

$= \sup_{y \in H} \left(-\inf_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \left(\frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa} \right) + \langle y | u \rangle \right)$
 $= \sup_{y \in H} \left(-\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \left(\frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa} \right) + \langle y | u \rangle \right)$

Proposition 17.2. (Properties of directional derivative)

$[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty], \text{ proper, convex; } x \in \text{dom} f; y \in H] \Rightarrow$

(i) $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]: \kappa \mapsto (f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)) / \kappa$: increasing

(ii) $f'(x; y)$ exists in $[-\infty, +\infty]$ and $f'(x; y) = \inf_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \frac{f(x + \kappa y) - f(x)}{\kappa}$

(iii) $f'(x; y) + f(x) \leq f(x)$ // from a subgradient like property!

(iv) $f'(x; \cdot)$ is sublinear; $f'(x; 0) = 0$.

(v) $f(x; \cdot)$ proper, convex, dom $f'(x; \cdot) = \text{cone}(\text{dom} f - x)$

(vi) $x \in \text{core dom} f \Rightarrow f'(x; \cdot)$ real valued, sublinear

*/

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(-\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \frac{-f(x+\kappa y) + f(x)}{\kappa} \right) \\
&= \sup_{y \in H} \left(\sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \left(\frac{f(x) - f(x+\kappa y)}{\kappa} \right) + \langle y | u \rangle \right) \\
&= \sup_{y \in H} \sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \left(\frac{f(x) - f(x+\kappa y) + \kappa \langle y | u \rangle}{\kappa} \right) \\
&= \sup_{y \in H} \sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \left(\frac{f(x) - f(x+\kappa y) + \langle x+\kappa y | u \rangle - \langle x | u \rangle}{\kappa} \right) \quad // \text{now} \\
&= \sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \sup_{y \in H} \frac{1}{\kappa} \left(f(x) - f(x+\kappa y) + \langle x+\kappa y | u \rangle - \langle x | u \rangle \right) \\
&= \sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \left[\frac{1}{\kappa} \sup_{y \in H} \left(\langle x+\kappa y | u \rangle - f(x+\kappa y) + f(x) - \langle x | u \rangle \right) \right] \\
&\quad // \sup_{y \in H} \left(\langle x+\kappa y | u \rangle - f(x+\kappa y) \right) + f(x) - \langle x | u \rangle = \sup_{\substack{y = \frac{z-x}{\kappa} \\ z \in H}} \left(\langle z | u \rangle - f(z) \right) + f(x) - \langle x | u \rangle = f^*(u) + f(x) - \langle x | u \rangle \neq / \\
&= \sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \frac{f(x) + f^*(u) - \langle x | u \rangle}{\kappa} \quad \dots (17.20)
\end{aligned}$$

* Proposition 16.9: $u \in \partial f(x) \Leftrightarrow f(x) + f^*(u) = \langle x | u \rangle$

Fenchel-Young inequality:

* Proposition 13.13. (Fenchel-Young inequality)

[$f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper] / *now! see how general the function is, infact any sensible function would satisfy this! */

$$\forall x \in H \quad \forall u \in H \quad f(x) + f^*(u) \geq \langle x | u \rangle \quad (2)$$

there are two possibilities: $u \in \partial f(x)$ or $u \notin \partial f(x)$

$$\text{if } u \in \partial f(x) \Leftrightarrow f(x) + f^*(u) - \langle x | u \rangle = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi^*(u) = 0$$

$$\text{if } u \notin \partial f(x) \Leftrightarrow f(x) + f^*(u) - \langle x | u \rangle > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi^*(u) = \sup_{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++}} \frac{f(x) + f^*(u) - \langle x | u \rangle}{\kappa} = +\infty$$

$$\text{so, } \phi^*(u) = (f'(x; u))^* = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u \in \partial f(x) \\ +\infty, & \text{if } u \notin \partial f(x) \end{cases} = l_{\partial f(x)}$$

$$\text{so, } (f'(x; u))^* = l_{\partial f(x)}$$

Proposition 17.22. (steepest descent direction)

[$f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper, convex; $x \in \text{int} \{ \text{dom} f \}$; $u \in P_{\partial f(x)}(0)$; $z = -\frac{u}{\|u\|}$]

z : unique minimizer of $g'(x; \cdot)$ over $B(0; 1)$

Proof:

A recall:

Proposition 14.14. [$f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper, convex; $x \in \text{dom} f$] \Rightarrow

(i) [if $\text{int} \text{dom} f \neq \emptyset$, $x \in \text{bdry} \text{dom} f \Rightarrow \partial f(x)$: empty or unbounded]

(ii) $x \in \text{dom} f \Rightarrow \partial f(x)$: nonempty, weakly compact ✓ (1)

(iii) $x \in \text{int} f \Rightarrow \exists \kappa \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \quad \partial f(B(x; \kappa))$: bounded

(iv) $\text{dom} f \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{int} \text{dom} f \subseteq \text{dom} \partial f$

also given, $x \in \text{int} \text{dom} f$; f : proper

Proposition 14.5. [$f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper, $x \in \text{dom} f$] \Rightarrow

(i) $\text{dom} \partial f \subseteq \text{dom} f$

(ii) $\partial f(x) = \bigcap_{y \in \text{dom} f} \{y \mid \langle y | x \rangle \leq f(y) - f(x)\}$

(iii) $\partial f(x)$: closed, convex (2)

(iv) $x \in \text{dom} f \Rightarrow f$: lower semicontinuous at x

Theorem 16.2. (Fenchel's rule)

[$f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper] $\text{Argmin} f = \text{zer} \partial f = \{x \in H \mid 0 \in \partial f(x)\}$ ✓

so, from (1), (2), (3) we have:

$\partial f(x)$: nonempty, closed, convex, weakly compact, $\neq \emptyset$

So, $f_{\partial f(x)}^* = 0 \neq 0$ (4)

Theorem 3.14.

Characterization of projection on closed convex nonempty set $\neq \emptyset$ Theorem 3.14. ***

(C: nonempty closed convex subset of H) \Rightarrow $\begin{cases} \bullet C: \text{Chebyshev set, i.e., every point in } H \text{ has exactly one projection on } C \\ \bullet \forall_{x \in H} (p = P_C(x) \Leftrightarrow (p \in C, \forall_{y \in C} \langle y - p | x - p \rangle \leq 0)) \end{cases}$

*/

$u \in \partial f(x)$

$\forall_{y \in \partial f(x)} \langle y - u | 0 - u \rangle = \langle y - u | -u \rangle = -\langle y | u \rangle + \|u\|^2 \leq 0$

$\Rightarrow \max_{y \in \partial f(x)} -\langle y | u \rangle + \|u\|^2 \leq 0$ // But for $y = u \in \partial f(x)$ the max value = 0

$\therefore \max_{y \in \partial f(x)} -\langle y | u \rangle + \|u\|^2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \max_{y \in \partial f(x)} \langle -y | \partial f(x) \rangle = -\|u\|^2$

*/ Theorem 17.19 (Max formula)

$f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper, convex, $x \in \text{int} f$

$f'(x; \cdot) = \max \langle \cdot | \partial f(x) \rangle$ */

So, we have:

$f'(x; z) = \max \langle z | \partial f(x) \rangle$ // given $z = -\frac{u}{\|u\|}$
 $= \max \langle -\frac{u}{\|u\|} | \partial f(x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\|u\|} \max \langle u | \partial f(x) \rangle = -\frac{1}{\|u\|} \max_{y \in \partial f(x)} \langle u | y \rangle = -\frac{1}{\|u\|} \|u\|^2 = -\|u\|$ (4)

and $\forall_{y \in B(0;1)} f'(x; y) = \max \langle y | \partial f(x) \rangle \geq \langle y | u \rangle$ // as $u \in \partial f(x)$

for $y \in B(0;1)$
 $0 \leq \|y\| \leq 1$
 $\Rightarrow -1 \leq \langle y | u \rangle \leq \|y\| \|u\|$

*) Cauchy Schwarz inequality: $|\langle x | y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$
 $\max \{ \langle x | y \rangle, -\langle x | y \rangle \}$
 Fisher 1: $\langle x | y \rangle \leq \|x\| \|y\|$
 Fisher 2: $-\langle x | y \rangle \leq \|x\| \|y\| \Leftrightarrow \langle x | y \rangle \geq -\|x\| \|y\|$

$\geq -\|y\| \|u\| = -\|u\| = f'(x; z)$ // from (4)

So, $\forall_{y \in B(0;1)} f'(x; y) \geq f'(x; z)$ // But $z = -\frac{u}{\|u\|} \in B(0;1)$

So, $\min_{y \in B(0;1)} f'(x; y) = f'(x; z) = -\|u\|$ i.e., $z = \argmin_{y \in B(0;1)} f'(x; y)$

also, by construction $z = -\frac{u}{\|u\|}$ is the unique point which can produce minimum objective value $-\|u\|$

So, it is also the unique minimizer

Proposition 17.26.

$f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper, convex

$x \in \text{dom} f \Rightarrow$

the Gateaux derivative

(i) f : Gateaux differentiable at $x \Rightarrow \partial f(x) = \{ \nabla f(x) \}$

(ii) $[x \in \text{int} f, \partial f(x) = \{u\}] \Rightarrow (f: \text{Gateaux differentiable at } x; u = \nabla f(x))$

Proofs:

(i)

Proposition 17.9. $[x \in H; f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, convex, Gateaux differentiable at x

$\forall_{y \in H} \langle y - x | \nabla f(x) \rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)$

Definition 16.1. $[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper (Definition of subdifferential)

∂f : subdifferential of f $\Leftrightarrow \partial f: H \rightarrow 2^H: x \mapsto \{u \in H | \forall_{y \in H} \langle y - x | u \rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)\}$

u : subgradient

def ∂f : subdifferential of f $\partial f: H \rightarrow 2^H: x \mapsto \{u \in H \mid \forall y \in H, \langle y-x, u \rangle + f(x) \leq f(y)\}$

u : subgradient

$\nabla f(x) \in \partial f(x)$, now we show $\nabla f(x)$ is the only element

now suppose, $u \in \partial f(x)$ such that $u \neq \nabla f(x)$

Definition 17.1 (Directional derivative)

$[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty], \text{proper}; x \in \text{dom } f; y \in H]$

$f'(x; y)$: directional derivative of f at x in the direction of y $\xleftrightarrow{\text{def}}$

$f'(x; y) = \lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \mu y) - f(x)}{\mu}$ provided that the limit exists in $[-\infty, +\infty]$

Proposition 17.17 $[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty], \text{proper, convex}; x \in \text{dom } f; u \in H] \Rightarrow$

(i) $u \in \partial f(x) \Leftrightarrow \langle \cdot | u \rangle \leq f'(x; \cdot)$

(ii) $f'(x; \cdot)$: proper, sublinear

$u \in \partial f(x) \Leftrightarrow \langle \cdot | u \rangle \leq f'(x; \cdot)$

$\therefore u = \nabla f(x)$

\Rightarrow

$\langle u - \nabla f(x) | u \rangle \leq f'(x; u - \nabla f(x)) = \langle u - \nabla f(x) | \nabla f(x) \rangle$

Definition 17.2.1 (Gateaux gradient of a function at a point)

$[x \in \text{dom } f; f: \text{real valued}, H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]; f'(x; \cdot): \text{linear and continuous on } H]$

$\bullet f$: Gateaux differentiable at x

\bullet /# using Riesz-Frechet representation #/

$\exists ! \nabla f(x) \in H \quad \forall y \in H \quad f'(x; y) = \langle y | \nabla f(x) \rangle = \lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \mu y) - f(x)}{\mu}$

$\nabla f(x)$ is unique Gateaux gradient of f at x

$\therefore \langle u - \nabla f(x) | u \rangle \leq \langle u - \nabla f(x) | \nabla f(x) \rangle$

$\Rightarrow \langle u - \nabla f(x) | u - \nabla f(x) \rangle = \|u - \nabla f(x)\|^2 \leq 0$

$\Rightarrow \|u - \nabla f(x)\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = \nabla f(x) \Rightarrow \text{contradiction}$

$\therefore \nabla f(x)$ is the only element in $\partial f(x)$

$\Leftrightarrow \partial f(x) = \{\nabla f(x)\} \quad \square$

(ii)

/+

Theorem 17.19 (Max formula)

$[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty], \text{proper, convex}; x \in \text{cont } f]$

$f'(x; \cdot) = \max \langle \cdot | \partial f(x) \rangle$

Given: $x \in \text{cont } f$

$\partial f(x) = \{u\}$

$f'(x; y) = \max \langle y | \partial f(x) \rangle$

$= \max \langle y | u \rangle = \langle y | u \rangle$

But, $f'(x; y) = \langle y | \nabla f(x) \rangle$ $\Rightarrow u = \nabla f(x)$
unique Gateaux gradient \square

Part 2

1:30 PM

Proposition 17.41 $[f \in \Gamma_b(H), \text{Gateaux differentiable at } x \in \text{dom } f] \Rightarrow$
 $x \in \text{int dom } f \wedge f: \text{continuous on int dom } f$

Proof: $f \in \Gamma_b(H) \Rightarrow f: \text{lower semicontinuous}$

Corollary 8.30.

$[f: H \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$, proper, convex,

one of the following holds

- $f: \text{bounded above on some neighborhood}$

☒ $f: \text{lower semicontinuous}$



- $H: \text{finite-dimensional}] \Rightarrow \text{cont } f = \text{int dom } f$ /* $\text{cont } f: \text{domain of continuity of a function } f$ */

So, $\text{cont } f = \text{int dom } f$ (1)

define $g: H \rightarrow [0, \infty]: x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x=0 \\ +\infty, & \text{else} \end{cases} \Rightarrow g \in \Gamma_b(H) \text{ by construction} \Rightarrow \text{dom } g = \{0\}$

Fact 9.16.

$[f, g \in \Gamma_b(H)] \quad \text{int}(\text{dom } f - \overset{\{0\}}{\text{dom } g}) = \text{core}(\text{dom } f - \overset{=\{0\}}{\text{dom } g}) \Rightarrow \text{int dom } f = \text{core dom } f$ (2)

From (1), (2) $\Rightarrow \text{cont } f = \text{int dom } f = \text{core dom } f$ (3)

$\therefore f: \text{continuous on int dom } f \quad \checkmark$

also. $f: \text{Gateaux differentiable and } x \in \text{dom } f$

?
 $\Rightarrow x \in \text{core dom } f$

from (3): $x \in \text{cont } f = \text{int dom } f \quad \square$