Stable Marriage with Ties and Incomplete Lists (SMTI)

Shuvomoy Das Gupta

Stable Marriage with Ties and Incomplete Lists

- Classic version introduced by David Gale and Lloyd Shapley in 1962
 [2]
- They also came up with a fantastic algorithm, today known as Gale-Shapely (GS) algorithm
- In 1984 Alvin E. Roth applied GS algorithm to National Resident Matching Program US, which matches medical interns to hospitals [5]
- Roth has also applied GS algorithm to:
 - School admission process (e.g., in New York and Boston public school systems) [1]
 - Kidney transplant (since 2004, already 2000 transplants have been done this way) [5]
- Gale passed away in 2008. Roth and Shapley were awarded Nobel Prize in Economics in 2012.

Structure of the presentation

- Problem Description: Evolution of classical Stable Marriage to Stable Marriage with Ties and Incomplete Lists
- The GS algorithm
- Constraint programming model by Pascal Van Hentenryck
 - What we did
- Constraint programming model by Ian Philip Gent
- A integer programming formulation by John Vande Vate

Problem Description: Classic Stable Marriage Problem

- Two finite disjoint set of men and women
- Number of men and women are identical
- Each man has strict preferences over all women
- Each woman has strict preferences over all men
- The goal is to marry men and women ⇔ find a matching
- Condition for a stable matching: There is no incentive for individuals to divorce and elope
 ⇔ there is no rogue pair

Rogue Pair in SM

Man m and woman w are not in the matching, but

- *m* prefers *w* to his current partner;
- w prefers m to her current partner;

Problem Description: Stable Marriage with Ties and Incomplete Lists

- Two finite disjoint set of men and women
- Number of men and women are not necessarily identical

add universally hated hateful fictitious men/women

- Each man has not necessarily strict preferences over a subset women
- Each woman has not necessarily strict preferences over a subset men
- The goal is to marry men and women ⇔ find a matching
- Condition for a weakly stable matching: There is no incentive for individuals to divorce and elope ⇔ there is no rogue pair

Rogue pair in SMTI

Man m and woman w are not paiblue in the matching, but

- m has included w in his preference list;
- w has included m in her preference list;
- m is single or prefers w to his current partner;
- w is single or prefers m to her current partner;

Gale Shapely Algorithm (Classic Stable Marriage) [4]

```
Data: Set of men and women and their preference lists
Result: A stable marriage, S
Assign each person to be free; /* not a member of a pair in the matching
while There is a free man m and has not proposed to every woman on his list do
    m proposes to w, the first woman on his list to whom he has not proposed yet;
    if w is free then
        add (m, w) to S;/* w and m get engaged
    else if w is already engaged to another man \mu, but likes m more then
        remove (\mu, w) from S;/* w calls off her engagement with \mu, setting
           \mu free
        add (m, w) to S;/* w and m get engaged
    else
        S remains unchanged; /* w rejects m
    end
end
```

Some key results about Classic Stable Marriage

- For every instance, there is at least one stable marriage
- GS algorithm runs in $O(n^2)$
- Number of stable matchings can be multiple, but GS algorithm produces the man-optimal one where
 - Each man gets his best possible wife
 - Each woman gets her worst possible husband
- For one instance all stable matchings have the same size

Gale Shapely Algorithm applied SMTI

- No modification needed, GS algorithm will still produce a man-optimal stable marriage!
- Ties are broken arbitrarily

Some key results about SMTI

- For every instance of Stable Marriage, there is at least one stable marriage
- For SMTI, GS algorithm runs in O(a), where a is the total length of all the preference lists
- Number of stable matchings can be multiple, but GS algorithm produces the man-optimal one where
 - Each man gets his best possible wife
 - Each woman gets her worst possible husband
- Different stable matchings can have different sizes

```
Data: Set of men \mathcal{M}, and women \mathcal{W} and their preference lists (\forall i \in \mathcal{M})\mathcal{P}_i and (\forall j \in \mathcal{W})\mathcal{P}_i /* \mathcal{P}_{i,2} is the rank of the
   second woman in man i's preference list and so on, and lower ranking denotes higher preference
Variables: \forall i \in \mathcal{M} Wife of man i: x_i and \forall j \in \text{husband of woman } j: y_i
Domain: D(x_i) = \{j : j \in W\}, D(y_i) = \{i : i \in M\}
Result: The completely determined vectors, x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{M}} = (x_1, ..., x_{|\mathcal{M}|}) and y = (y_i)_{i \in \mathcal{W}} = (y_1, ..., y_{|\mathcal{W}|})
/* x_3=4 means man 3 gets married to woman 4, y_5=6 means woman 5 gets married to man 5 etc.
Constraints begin
       for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
       end
       /* Monogamy Constraint: Husband of wife of i has to be i
       for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
       end
       /* Monogamy Constraint: Wife of husband of i has to be i
       for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
              for w \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
                      \mathcal{P}_{i,w} < \mathcal{P}_{i,x_i} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{w,y_w} < \mathcal{P}_{w,i} /* Stability Constraint: If man i prefers woman w to his
                          current partner x_i, then w must prefer her current partner y_w to man i
              end
       end
       for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
              for m \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
                     \mathcal{P}_{j,m} < \mathcal{P}_{j,y_i} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{m,x_m} < \mathcal{P}_{m,j} /* Stability Constraint: If woman j prefers man m to her
                         current partner y_i, then m must prefer his current partner x_m to woman j
       end
```

Modification of the Model by Pascal Van Hentenryck (SMTI) I

```
Data: Set of men \mathcal{M}, and women \mathcal{W} and their preference lists (\forall i \in \mathcal{M})\mathcal{P}_i and (\forall j \in \mathcal{W})\mathcal{P}_i /* \mathcal{P}_{i,2} is the rank of the
    second woman in man i's preference list and so on, and lower ranking denotes higher preference
Variables: \forall i \in \mathcal{M} Wife of man i: x_i and \forall j \in \text{husband of woman } j: y_i
Preprocessing begin
       for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
               for w \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
                    woman w is unacceptable to man i \Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{i \text{ w}} \leftarrow M) / * M is a large enough number
                end
       end
       for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
               for m \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
                    man m is unacceptable to woman j \Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{i,m} \leftarrow M) / * M is a large enough number
                end
        end
Domain: D(x_i) = \{i : i \in W\}, D(y_i) = \{i : i \in M\}
Result: The completely determined vectors, x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{M}} = (x_1, ..., x_{|\mathcal{M}|}) and y = (y_i)_{i \in \mathcal{W}} = (y_1, ..., y_{|\mathcal{W}|})
 \forall i \in \mathcal{M} \; (\mathcal{A}_i \in \{0,1\}) \; / * \; x_3 = 4 \; \text{means man 3 gets married to woman 4, } y_5 = 6 \; \text{means woman 5 gets married to}
    man i gets a woman x_i as his wife where both of them are each others preference lists \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_i = 1 (else
    A_{i} = 0
```

```
Constraints begin
        for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
                y_x = i / * Monogamy Constraint: Husband of wife of i has to be i
        end
        for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
                x_{y_i} = j/* Monogamy Constraint: Wife of husband of j has to be j
        end
        for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
                (\mathcal{P}_{i,x_i} \neq M \land \mathcal{P}_{x_i,i} \neq M) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_i = 1/* Acceptability of a marriage
        end
        /* Stability constraint for men:
        for i \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
                for w \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
                         (\mathcal{P}_{i,w} \neq M \land \mathcal{P}_{w,i} \neq M) /* \text{man } i \text{ and woman } w \text{ are in each others preference lists}
                         \Rightarrow ((A_i = 0 /* man i is single))
                        \forall \mathcal{P}_{i,w} < \mathcal{P}_{i,x_i}) /* or man i prefers w to his current partner
                         \Rightarrow (A_{Vw} = 1 /* \text{woman } w \text{ is married})
                         (A \mathcal{P}_{w,y_w} \leq \mathcal{P}_{w,i})) /* and woman w likes her current partner y_w at least as much as m
                 end
        end
        /* Stability constraint for women:
        for j \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{W}| do
                for m \leftarrow 1 to |\mathcal{M}| do
                       (\mathcal{P}_{i,w} \neq M \land \mathcal{P}_{w,i} \neq M) \Rightarrow ((\mathcal{A}_{y_j} = 0 \lor \mathcal{P}_{j,m} < \mathcal{P}_{j,y_i}) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_m = 1 \land \mathcal{P}_{m,x_m} \leq \mathcal{P}_{m,j}))
                 end
        end
```

Gent's Model

- Formulated as a binary Constraint Satisfaction Problem
- Gent uses a Conflict Matrix based encoding which ensures that binary Arc Consistency (AC) is enough to obtain stable matching
- Arc Consistency: Given x_i and y_j and a value $w \in D(x_i)$, a value $m \in D(y_j)$ is a support of w if the partial assignment $\{x_i \leftarrow w, y_j \leftarrow m\}$ is consistent

Gent's Model [3]

```
Data: Set of men \mathcal{M}, and women \mathcal{W} and their preference lists (\forall i \in \mathcal{M})\mathcal{P}_i and (\forall j \in \mathcal{W})\mathcal{P}_j Variables: \forall i \in \mathcal{M} Wife of man i: x_i and \forall j \in \text{husband of woman } j: y_j Domain: D(x_i) = \{j: j \in \mathcal{P}_i\} \cup \{n+1\}, \ D(y_j) = \{i: i \in \mathcal{P}_j\} \cup \{n+1\} The index n+1 stands for a fictitious person who is least
```

Result: The completely determined vectors, $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{M}} = (x_1, ..., x_{|\mathcal{M}|})$ and $y = (y_j)_{j \in \mathcal{W}} = (y_1, ..., y_{|\mathcal{W}|})$

preferable by everyone in the opposite sex

Gent's Model continued

Constraints: $(\forall i \in \mathcal{M})(\forall j \in \mathcal{W})$ *Gent Stable Marriage constraint* is a set of *nogoods* represented by the Conflict Matrix C^{ij} with dimension $|\mathcal{P}_i| \times |\mathcal{P}_j|$

Nogood: In a Constraint Satisfaction Problem, any partial instantiation that does not appear in any solution is called a nogood.

Gent's Model continued: Structure of the Conflict matrix C^{ij} for man i and woman i

```
k is controlled by man i, l is controlled by woman j C_{kl}^{ij} = \begin{cases} A, & \text{when } k = j \text{ and } l = i & \text{\Allowed} \\ I, & \text{when } (k = j \text{ but } l \neq i) \text{ or } (k \neq j \text{ but } l = i) & \text{\Illegal} \\ B, & \text{when } \mathcal{P}_{i,j} < \mathcal{P}_{i,k} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{j,i} < \mathcal{P}_{j,l} \text{ \( \( i,j ) \)} \text{ will be a rogue pair } \\ & \text{\\} \text{for couples } (i,k) \text{ and } (j,l) \\ S, & \textit{else} \end{cases}
```

Gent's Model continued: Propagation of Arc Consistency on *Gent Stable Marriage* constraint

- Enforcement of AC on Gent Stable Marriage constraint corresponding the Conflict Matrix C^{ij} deletes rows and column consisting of only I and/or B
- After AC propagation, every row or column of the conflict matrix has at least one A or S
- Finding man-optimal stable matching: After AC propagation, assign each x_i the most preferable woman in $D(x_i)$
 - No search needed
 - Shown to be equivalent to the output of Gale-Shapely algorithm

A integer programming approach by Vande Vate: Notations [7]

ullet Set of men ${\mathcal M}$, set of women ${\mathcal W}$

defined previously

- Decision vector, $\xi \in \{0,1\}^{|\mathcal{M}| \times |\mathcal{W}|}$, where $(x_i = j \land y_j = i) \Leftrightarrow \xi(i,j) = 1$
- $\xi(i>\square,j)=\sum_{k\in\{\chi:\mathcal{P}_{j,i}<\mathcal{P}_{j,\chi}\}}\xi(k,j)$ Significance: $\xi(i>\square,j)=1$ means woman j is married to someone who she finds less desirable than man i
- $\xi(i > \square > i', j) = \sum_{k \in \{\chi: \mathcal{P}_{j,i} < \mathcal{P}_{j,\chi} < \mathcal{P}_{j,i'}\}} \xi(k, j)$

Significance: $\xi(i>\square>i',j)=1$ means woman j is married to someone who she finds less desirable than man i and more desirable than i'

- $\xi(\mathcal{M},j) = \sum_{k \in \{\chi: \chi \in \mathcal{M}\}} \xi(k,j)$ Significance: $\xi(\mathcal{M},j)$ =1, since woman j is married to some man
- $\xi(i, \square \ge j) = \sum_{w \in \{\lambda: \mathcal{P}_{i,\lambda} \le \mathcal{P}_{i,w}\}} \xi(i, w)$ Significance: $\xi(i, \square \ge j) = 1$ means man i is married to someone who he finds at least as desirable as woman j

A integer programming approach by Vande Vate: Formulations [7]

```
minimize 0 subject to  \forall j \in \mathcal{W} \quad \xi(\mathcal{M},j) = 1 : \texttt{Monogamy constraint for every woman} \\ \forall i \in \mathcal{M} \quad \xi(i,\mathcal{W}) = 1 : \texttt{Monogamy constraint for every man} \\ \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{W} \quad \xi(i,j) \geq 0 : \texttt{Ensures integrality} \\ \forall (i,j) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{W} \quad \xi(i>\square,j) - \xi(i,\square \geq j) \leq 0 : \texttt{If woman } j \text{ marries some man less desirable than man } i, \text{ then man } i \text{ must marry some woman at least as desirable as } j
```

References I

- [1] Wikipedia article on Alvin E. Roth.
- [2] David Gale and Lloyd S Shapley. College admissions and the stability of marriage. The American Mathematical Monthly, 69(1):9–15, 1962.
- [3] Ian P Gent, Robert W Irving, David F Manlove, Patrick Prosser, and Barbara M Smith.
 - A constraint programming approach to the stable marriage problem. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming—CP 2001*, pages 225–239. Springer, 2001.
- [4] Ming-Yang Kao.

 Encyclopedia of algorithms.

 Springer, 2008.

References II

[5] Alvin E Roth.

The evolution of the labor market for medical interns and residents: a case study in game theory.

The Journal of Political Economy, pages 991-1016, 1984.

- [6] Pascal Van Hentenryck. The OPL optimization programming language. Mit Press, 1999.
- [7] John H Vande Vate.
 Linear programming brings marital bliss.

 Operations Research Letters, 8(3):147–153, 1989.