Natural Language Understanding

Lecture 5: Feedforward Language Models

Frank Keller

Week of 24 January 2022 (week 2)

School of Informatics University of Edinburgh keller@inf.ed.ac.uk

Based on slides by Adam Lopez.

Overview

Representing *n*-gram probabilities with neural networks

Input and output: one-hot vectors and the softmax

Logistic Regression

Learning and Objective Functions

Gradient Descent

Word Embeddings

Reading: Sections 4 and 5 Neubig (2017).

Lecture 3. Given finite vocabulary V, we want to define a probability distribution $P: V^* \to \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let w be a sequence of words in V^* . Let |w| be its length and let w_i be its ith word. So, $w = w_1 \dots w_{|w|}$. Using the chain rule and Markov assumptions, we get:

$$P(w_1 \dots w_{|w|}) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{|w|+1} P(w_i \mid w_{i-n+1}, \dots, w_{i-1})$$

Lecture 3. Probability theory requires $P(w_i \mid w_{i-n+1}, \dots, w_{i-1})$ to obey these constraints:

Probabilities are non-negative
$$P:V\to \mathcal{R}_+\\ \dots \text{ and sum to one } \sum_{w\in V}P\big(w\mid w_{i-n+1},\dots,w_{i-1}\big)=1$$

Any function P that satisfies these constraints is valid!

Lecture 3. Probability theory requires $P(w_i \mid w_{i-n+1}, \dots, w_{i-1})$ to obey these constraints:

Probabilities are non-negative
$$P:V\to \mathcal{R}_+\\ \dots \text{ and sum to one } \sum_{w\in V} P\big(w\mid w_{i-n+1},\dots,w_{i-1}\big)=1$$

Any function P that satisfies these constraints is valid!

Lecture 4. The perceptron is a non-linear model with a simple learning algorithm. The multilayer perceptron is a universal function approximator.

Lecture 3. Probability theory requires $P(w_i \mid w_{i-n+1}, \dots, w_{i-1})$ to obey these constraints:

Probabilities are non-negative
$$P:V\to \mathcal{R}_+\\ \dots \text{ and sum to one } \sum_{w\in V} P\big(w\mid w_{i-n+1},\dots,w_{i-1}\big)=1$$

Any function P that satisfies these constraints is valid!

Lecture 4. The perceptron is a non-linear model with a simple learning algorithm. The multilayer perceptron is a universal function approximator.

This lecture. How can we use perceptrons to learn $P(w_i \mid w_{i-n+1}, \dots, w_{i-1})$?

Representing *n*-gram probabilities with neural networks

Think carefully about the form of P

Our constraints again:


Probabilities are non-negative $P:V o \mathcal{R}_+ \ \dots$ and sum to one $\sum_{w\in V} P(w\mid w_{i-n+1},\dots,w_{i-1})=1$

This formulation says we have many different functions P, one for each $w_{i-n+1} \dots w_{i-1} \in V^{n-1}$. In an n-gram model, these functions are tables of parameters, and share nothing (unless we use smoothing or backoff).

Alternatively, we can view P as a function from n-gram histories to distributions over V. That is, $P: V^{n-1} \to (V \to \mathcal{R}_+)$.


Constraints still hold!

Let's work with $P: V^{n-1} \to (V \to \mathcal{R}_+)$. How do we implement this using a perceptron? What is the input \mathbf{x} and the output y?







Insight. Multilayer perceptrons aka *neural networks* have *exactly* one data type: vectors. The input **x** is a vector. Each hidden layer **h** is a vector. The output y is a vector, even if it is a single number



Insight. Multilayer perceptrons aka *neural networks* have *exactly* one data type: vectors. The input **x** is a vector. Each hidden layer **h** is a vector. The output y is a vector, even if it is a single number ... but it doesn't have to be!



Insight. Multilayer perceptrons aka *neural networks* have *exactly* one data type: vectors. The input **x** is a vector. Each hidden layer **h** is a vector. The output y is a vector, even if it is a single number ... but it doesn't have to be!



Consequence. If we can describe our input and output as vectors, a neural network can model the mapping between them.

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

Example. Suppose $V = \{is, cold, grey, hot, summer, winter\}$

```
Index i Symbol V_i
```

0 is
 1 cold
 2 grey
 3 hot
 4 summer

winter

5

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

Symbol V_i	One-hot encoding of
	summer
is	0
cold	0
grey	0
hot	0
summer	1
winter	0
	is cold grey hot summer

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

Index i	Symbol V_i	One-hot encoding of			
		summer	is		
0	is	0	1		
1	cold	0	0		
2	grey	0	0		
3	hot	0	0		
4	summer	1	0		
5	winter	0	0		

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

Index i	Symbol V_i	One-hot encoding of			
		summer	is	hot	
0	is	0	1	0	
1	cold	0	0	0	
2	grey	0	0	0	
3	hot	0	0	1	
4	summer	1	0	0	
5	winter	0	0	0	

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

ndex i	Symbol V_i	One-hot encoding of			
		summer	is	hot	winter
0	is	0	1	0	0
1	cold	0	0	0	0
2	grey	0	0	0	0
3	hot	0	0	1	0
4	summer	1	0	0	0
5	winter	0	0	0	1

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

Index i	Symbol V_i	One-hot encoding of				
		summer	is	hot	winter	is
0	is	0	1	0	0	1
1	cold	0	0	0	0	0
2	grey	0	0	0	0	0
3	hot	0	0	1	0	0
4	summer	1	0	0	0	0
5	winter	0	0	0	1	0

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

Index i	Symbol V_i	One-hot encoding of				
		summer	is	hot	winter	is
0	is	0	1	0	0	1
1	cold	0	0	0	0	0
2	grey	0	0	0	0	0
3	hot	0	0	1	0	0
4	summer	1	0	0	0	0
5	winter	0	0	0	1	0

If V is a finite set of (ordered) symbols, and w its ith element, then the *one-hot encoding* of w is a vector with |V| elements, in which all elements are 0 except for the ith element, which is 1.

Example. Suppose $V = \{is, cold, grey, hot, summer, winter\}$


Index i	Symbol V_i	One-hot encoding of				
		summer	is	hot	winter	is
0	is	0	1	0	0	1
1	cold	0	0	0	0	0
2	grey	0	0	0	0	0
3	hot	0	0	1	0	0
4	summer	1	0	0	0	0
5	winter	0	0	0	1	0


To get "winter is", concatenate: [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]

is	0.01
cold	0.60
grey	0.27
hot	0.10
summer	0.01
winter	0.01



is	0.01
cold	0.60
grey	0.27
hot	0.10
summer	0.01
winter	0.01





Softmax turns any vector into a probability distribution

More formally, here's how to convert a vector into a probability distribution using the *softmax* function:

Input. A vector \mathbf{v} of length $|\mathbf{v}|$.

Output. softmax(\mathbf{v}) is a distribution \mathbf{u} over $|\mathbf{v}|$, where:

$$u_i = \frac{\exp v_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{v}|} \exp v_j}$$

Softmax turns any vector into a probability distribution

More formally, here's how to convert a vector into a probability distribution using the *softmax* function:

Input. A vector \mathbf{v} of length $|\mathbf{v}|$.

Output. softmax(\mathbf{v}) is a distribution \mathbf{u} over $|\mathbf{v}|$, where:

$$u_i = \frac{\exp v_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{|\mathbf{v}|} \exp v_j}$$

Have you seen this function before?

The speech still use softmax, similar to logistic regression

Logistic regression is softmax over a linear layer

Now that we know how to represent input and output, we have our first neural *n*-gram model: *logistic regression!*

Input. To get \mathbf{x} , concatenate the one-hot encodings of the history words w_{i-n+1},\ldots,w_{i-1} , and binary variables for any other *features* we can think of, e.g. does the word end in "able"? Most words that do are adjectives, indicating a noun will follow. So, we can explicitly engineer this function as part of the input *representation*, and it will most likely improve the model.

Output. A distribution $P: V \to \mathbb{R}$.

$$y = softmax(Wx + b)$$

Interpreting logistic regression

$$y = softmax(Wx + b)$$

- Matrix **W** and vector **b** are *parameters* of the model.
- Input x and output y can have different dimension. These determine the dimension of W and b:
 - **W** must be a $|\mathbf{y}| \times |\mathbf{x}|$ matrix. Element W_{ij} of **W** relates the *j*th feature in **x** to the *i*th outcome in **y**.
 - b, the bias, must be a |y|-dimensional vector. The ith element bi of b allows the model to express an input-independent (dis)preference for the ith element of y.

bias independent learned

Learning and Objective Functions

There are multiple views of learning

Suppose we see the trigram "winter is cold" in our training data. What does learning look like? How do we update the model?

There are multiple views of learning

Suppose we see the trigram "winter is cold" in our training data. What does learning look like? How do we update the model?

View 1. Choose parameters to *maximize likelihood*, as when estimating an *n*-gram model.

There are multiple views of learning

Suppose we see the trigram "winter is cold" in our training data. What does learning look like? How do we update the model?

View 1. Choose parameters to *maximize likelihood*, as when estimating an *n*-gram model.

View 2. Update parameters to minimize error, as in the perceptron.

Index i	Symbol V_i	$x_1 \dots x_6$	$x_7 \dots x_{12}$	target t	output o
0	is	0	1	0	0.01
1	cold	0	0	1	0.60
2	grey	0	0	0	0.27
3	hot	0	0	0	0.10
4	summer	0	0	0	0.01
5	winter	1	0	0	0.01

Both views yield the same objective function!

The maximum likelihood view attempts aims put as much probability as possible on the target output.

The neural network view minimizes the *cross-entropy loss*, which penalizes the model for the proportion of the output probability mass that it does not assign to the target output.

These do the same thing!


You will be given fully worked details in coursework 1.

Minimize error by gradient descent

Interpret the error E just as a mathematical function depending on \mathbf{W} and \mathbf{b} and forget about its semantics. We are faced with a problem of mathematical optimization:


$$\underset{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{b}}{\mathsf{minimize}} \ E(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{b})$$


Conveniently, our functions are continuous and differentiable.



Gradient and Derivatives: The Idea

- Gradient descent can be used for minimizing functions.
- The derivative is a measure of the rate of change of a function, as its input changes;
- For function y = f(x), the derivative $\frac{dy}{dx}$ indicates how much y changes in response to changes in x.
- If x and y are real numbers, and if the graph of y is plotted against x, the derivative measures the slope or gradient of the line at each point, i.e., it describes the steepness or incline.





Gradient and Derivatives: The Idea

- So, we know how to use derivatives to adjust one input value.
- But we have several weights to adjust!
- We need to use partial derivatives.
- A partial derivative of a function of several variables is its derivative with respect to one of those variables, with the others held constant.


Example


All the partial derivatives called gradient

If $y = f(x_1, x_2)$, then we can have $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1}$ and $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_2}$.


Given partial derivatives, update the weights:

$$w_{ij}' = w_{ij} + \Delta w_{ij}$$
 where $\Delta w_{ij} = -\eta rac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}}$







Small η leads to convergence.



Very small η , convergence may take very long.



Case of medium size η , also converges.



Gradient descent is the baseline for many learning algorithms


- Pure gradient descent is a nice theoretical framework but of limited power in practice.
- \bullet Finding the right η is annoying. Approaching the minimum is time consuming.
- Heuristics to overcome problems of gradient descent:
 - gradient descent with momentum
 - individual learning rates for each dimension
 - adaptive learning rates

decoupling step length from partial derivates

more parameters for learning step

In logistic regression, it conveniently finds the minimum, because error surface is convex. However . . .

n-grams with a multilayer neural network




$$P(w_i \mid w_{i-3}, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \operatorname{softmax}(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{h}_2 + \mathbf{b}_2)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_2 = \operatorname{tanh}(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}_1 + \mathbf{b}_1)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_1 = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w}_{i-3}; \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w}_{i-2}; \mathbf{C}\mathbf{w}_{i-1}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_i = \operatorname{onehot}(w_i)$$

Interpreting the feedforward *n*-gram model



W: contextual feature

- Each hidden layer is a <u>representation</u> of its input.
- Multiplying one-hot by C selects a row of C (a vector).
- We call *i*th row of **C** the <u>embedding</u> of *i*th word in *V*.
- <u>Learned word embeddings</u> replace hand-engineered features of logistic regression.
- Output dimension of C (embedding size) can be small (dense), unlike the large (sparse) representations of logistic regression.
- Output of **W** represents the full *n*-gram history.

Learning in feedforward neural networks

General Idea: same as in a simple perceptron

- 1. Send an input pattern, x, from the **training set.**
- 2. Get the output y.
- 3. Compare y with the "right answer", or target t, to get the **error quantity.**
- 4. Use the error quantity to modify the weights, so next time *y* will be closer to *t*.
- 5. Repeat with another x from the training set.

Learning in feedforward neural networks

General Idea: same as in a simple perceptron

- 1. Send an input pattern, x, from the **training set.**
- 2. Get the output y.
- 3. Compare y with the "right answer", or target t, to get the **error quantity.**
- 4. Use the error quantity to modify the weights, so next time *y* will be closer to *t*.
- 5. Repeat with another x from the training set.

When updating weights after seeing x, the network doesn't just change the way it deals with x, but other inputs too ...

Inputs it has not seen yet! broadcast

Stochastic (i.e. Online) Gradient Descent

```
    Initialize all weights to small random values.
    repeat
    for each training example do
    Compute error gradients to yield update
        Δw<sub>ij</sub> for all weights w<sub>ij</sub>.
    Update the weights using Δw<sub>ij</sub>.
    end for
    until stopping criteria reached.
```

- Common variant: update weights for minibatches of examples.
- Automatic differentiation is a subroutine of SGD.

Summary: neural networks, SGD, and learning

This class is about NLP, not ML, so lectures focus on intuition. Details are in the **required** reading, and there are many alternative tutorials—find one that works for you. Take time to understand learning, but course will mostly focus on model design, assuming learning is automated.

Ideas that generalize to many models:

- Learning requires gradients, but you rarely need to compute them by hand (you will in coursework 1). Deep learning toolkits compute them for you with *automatic differentation*.
- SGD has a very large number of variants, also in toolkits.
- In general: can simply specify model, let toolkits deal with gradient computation and learning. Very powerful!

Summary

- We can represent n-gram probabilities with a neural network, using one-hot vectors for input words and the softmax function to guarantee that output is a probability distribution.
- We can learn the model using stochastic gradient descent to minimize cross-entropy loss, equivalent to maximum likelihood for this model.
 minimize the uncertainty
- Hidden layers of the model learn representations of the input words: word embeddings.
- Any conditional probability distribution over discrete variables can be parameterized using a similar strategy.

Next lecture. We will use neural networks to remove Markov independence assumptions of language models! https://medium.com/konvergen/cross-entropy-and-maximum-likelihood-estimation-58942b52517a

References

Neubig, Graham. 2017. Neural machine translation and sequence-to-sequence models: A tutorial. ArXiv:1703.01619.