```
#multiple regression of diamonds data
diamonds = read.csv("diamonds.csv", header = T)
head(diamonds)
#exploratory data analysis
plot(y = diamonds$Price, x = diamonds$Carats, xlab = "Carats", ylab = "Price")
boxplot(Price~Color, data = diamonds, ylab = "Price", xlab = "Color")
boxplot(Price~Clarity, data = diamonds, ylab = "Price", xlab = "Clarity")
boxplot(Price~Certification, data = diamonds, ylab = "Price", xlab = "Certification")
#suggest nonconstant variance - try log(price). quadratic relationship with carats: try
carats<sup>2</sup>
#these suggest some differences in prices across the categorical variables, but each
#all plots do not account for the effects of other variables (which is why we do multiple
regression)
#make log of price
diamonds$Logprice = log(diamonds$Price)
#it helps interpretation to substract the mean from numerical predictors
diamonds$CaratsCent = diamonds$Carats - mean(diamonds$Carats)
#make carats squared
diamonds$Carats2 = diamonds$CaratsCent^2
#let's continue with the EDA. lattice is a great graphics library.
#if you have not installed it, type install.packages("lattice")
#load it in to R each time you start R
library(lattice)
#you also can make these plots with ggplot -- I will post those commands later.
#let's look for interaction effects using trellis plots. Let's use LogPrice on Carats by
each color
xyplot(Logprice~Carats | Color, data = diamonds)
# slope in each plot is similar, so no strong evidence of interaction between Carats and
Color
#repeat for clarity and certification
xyplot(Logprice~Carats | Clarity, data = diamonds)
xyplot(Logprice~Carats | Certification, data = diamonds)
#same result: similar slopes in each panel, so no strong evidence of interactions with Carats
#we also can examine trellis plots for box plots to see if there are interactions among
categoical vars
bwplot(Logprice~Color | Clarity, data = diamonds)
#for any clarity category, the color box plots have a reasonably similar ordering.
#So, no strong evidence of interaction between Clarity and Color
#repeat for Color and Certification, and Clarity and Certification (we did not do this in
class)
#### fitting the multiple regression ####
#so, our candidate model based on EDA is Logprice ~ Carats + Carats^2 + Color + Clarity +
Certification + error
#we need to make dummy variables for all the categorical data
#sample size
```

```
9/18/2018
```

n = nrow(diamonds)

```
#create series of indicator variables for color
diamonds$colorD = rep(0, n)
diamonds$colorD[diamonds$Color == "D"] = 1
diamondscolorE = rep(0, n)
diamonds$colorE[diamonds$Color == "E"] = 1
diamonds$colorF = rep(0, n)
diamonds$colorF[diamonds$Color == "F"] = 1
diamonds$colorG = rep(0, n)
diamonds$colorG[diamonds$Color == "G"] = 1
diamonds$colorH = rep(0, n)
diamonds$colorH[diamonds$Color == "H"] = 1
diamondscolorI = rep(0, n)
diamonds$colorI[diamonds$Color == "I"] = 1
#create series of indicator variables for clarity
diamondsclarityIF = rep(0, n)
diamonds$clarityIF[diamonds$Clarity == "IF"] = 1
diamonds$clarityVS1 = rep(0, n)
diamonds$clarityVS1[diamonds$Clarity == "VS1"] = 1
diamonds$clarityVS2 = rep(0, n)
diamonds$clarityVS2[diamonds$Clarity == "VS2"] = 1
diamonds$clarityVVS1 = rep(0, n)
diamonds$clarityVVS1[diamonds$Clarity == "VVS1"] = 1
diamonds$clarityVVS2 = rep(0, n)
diamonds$clarityVVS2[diamonds$Clarity == "VVS2"] = 1
#create series of indicator variables for certification
diamonds certGIA = rep(0, n)
diamonds$certGIA[diamonds$Certification == "GIA"] = 1
diamonds certIGI = rep(0, n)
diamonds$certIGI[diamonds$Certification == "IGI"] = 1
diamonds$certHRD = rep(0, n)
diamonds$certHRD[diamonds$Certification == "HRD"] = 1
#Let's fit a multiple linear regression model without transformations, just to see the impact
regPonAll = lm(Price~CaratsCent + colorE + colorF + colorG + colorH + colorI + clarityVS1 +
clarityVS2 + clarityVVS1 + clarityVVS2 + certIGI + certHRD, data = diamonds)
#to view results, see
summary(regPonAll)
#what happens if we make a different color (color F in this case) the baseline?
regPonAllf = lm(Price~CaratsCent + colorD + colorE + colorG + colorH + colorI + clarityVS1 +
clarityVS2 + clarityVVS1 + clarityVVS2 + certIGI + certHRD, data = diamonds)
summary(regPonAllf)
#notice that the dummy variables for all the color categories changed, as did the intercept,
but nothing else changed.
### model diagnostics!
#to do checks of residuals versus each predictor, use
plot(regPonAll$resid, x=diamonds$CaratsCent, ylab = "Residuals")
```

#we don't have strong evidence to rule out the possibility that it does not.

The organization that certified the diamond

anova(reglogPonAll, reglogPonAllNocert)

#p value is 0.088. This is borderline evidence.

may well have an effect on the price, although

9/18/2018

#use lattice plots to search for possible interaction effects -- see commands at the beginning of script.

#after fitting regression, look for interaction effects in residual plots.
#if you see different patterns in residuals vs. some predictor based on values of another
predictor, try an interaction effect in the regression model

#let's look for interactions in the diamonds regression.

xyplot(reglogPonAll\$residual~ diamonds\$CaratsCent | diamonds\$Color)
xyplot(reglogPonAll\$residual~ diamonds\$CaratsCent | diamonds\$Clarity)
xyplot(reglogPonAll\$residual~ diamonds\$CaratsCent | diamonds\$Certification)

#not much. maybe we can try the interaction of Carats with Clarity.

##fitting interaction effects: two ways
#the quick way is to add a \* between the two variables that you want to interact

reglogPonAllInt = lm(Logprice ~ CaratsCent\*as.factor(Clarity) + Carats2\*as.factor(Clarity) +
as.factor(Color) + as.factor(Certification), data = diamonds)

#a more concise way to write this is: reglogPonAllInt = lm(Logprice ~ (CaratsCent +
Carats2)\*as.factor(Clarity) + as.factor(Color) + as.factor(Certification), data = diamonds)

#the long way to include an interaction is to create a new variable equal to the product of the two variables you want to interact. then include it in the regression.
#when interacting with a categorical variable, create interaction variables for all levels of the categorical variables (except the baseline)

#let's look at the results
summary(reglogPonAll2)

#see the R script called "interactions.txt" for interpretations of these results.

#let's do a nested F test to see if the entire set of interactions is useful (this test is
also on the interactions.txt script)

anova(reglogPonAll, reglogPonAllInt)

#Set of interactions appears to be a useful predictor. However, we note that the interaction coefficients only are

#significant for VS2, which confirms what we saw in the xyplot for the residuals. I don't know of any reason why

#the relationship of carats should differ for VS2 diamonds but not others. So, I am skeptical whether or not this

#set of interactions is "too specific" to these particular diamonds. That is, it is helping with the fit of these particular prices

#but might not be significant if we had another set of data. We'd want some expert advice to
decide whether or not to believe this interaction effect
#is practically meaningful.

#### plot for visualizing relationship of carats with logprice
#make several values of carat deviations from the average
caratchanges = seq(from = -.5, to = .5, by = .05)

#compute the value of B1\*caratchanges + B2\*caratchanges^2

#list the coefficients
coef(reglogPonAll)

#we take the second and third values of the coefficient vector to get B1 and B2
avglogp = coef(reglogPonAll)[2]\*caratchanges + coef(reglogPonAll)[3]\*caratchanges^2

#look at newdata to make sure it is what you actually wanted!

newdata

```
#now the prediction interval for the logwage
predlogprice = predict(reglogPonAll, newdata, interval = "prediction")
predlogprice
      fit
               lwr
                       upr
#1 9.221311 9.102371 9.34025
#let's exponentiate to get on a scale for predicting the price
exp(predlogprice)
       fit
                lwr
#1 10110.31 8976.555 11387.25
```