Nonlinear System Theory Solution to Homework 5

1. (a) The linearized system is $\dot{x} = A(t)x$, where

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -3k_2x_1^2 & k_1\sin t \\ -k_1\sin t & -3k_2x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}_{(x_1=0,x_2=0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k_1\sin t \\ -k_1\sin t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ be a Lyapunov function candidate for the linearized system. Then

$$\dot{V} = x_1 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 = k_1 (\sin t) x_1 x_2 - k_1 (\sin t) x_1 x_2 = 0$$

This shows that solutions starting on the surface V(x) = c remain on that surface for all t. Hence x = 0 is not an exponentially stable equilibrium point of the linearized system.

Alternatively, we can solve the linearized system directly. The solution to $\dot{x} = A(t)x$ is

$$x(t) = \exp\left[\int_0^t A(\tau)d\tau\right]x(0)$$

and

$$\int_0^t A(\tau)d\tau = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \int_0^t k_1 \sin \tau d\tau \\ -\int_0^t k_1 \sin \tau d\tau & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k_1(1 - \cos t) \\ -k_1(1 - \cos t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It can be observed that $\exp[\int_0^t A(\tau)d\tau]$ consists of the term $e^{jk_1(1-\cos t)}$ which does not converge to zero as $t\to\infty$; hence x(t) does not converge to zero, implying that x=0 is not an exponentially stable equilibrium point.

(b) Let $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ be a Lyapunov function candidate. Note that V(x) is positive definite and decrescent. Then

$$\dot{V} = x_1 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 = k_1 (\sin t) x_1 x_2 - k_2 x_1^4 - k_1 (\sin t) x_1 x_2 - k_2 x_2^4 = -k_2 (x_1^4 + x_2^4)$$

Since $k_2(x_1^4 + x_2^4)$ is positive definite, \dot{V} is negative definite, which implies that x = 0 is a uniformly asymptotically stable equilibrium point.

2. (a) Let $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$ be a Lyapunov function candidate. Then

$$\dot{V} = x_1 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 = -2x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 - x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 - ax_2^4 = -2x_1^2 - x_2^2 (ax_2^2 - 1)$$

Let $\theta > 0$; we can rewrite the expression of V as

$$\dot{V} = -2x_1^2 - \theta x_2^2 - x_2^2 (ax_2^2 - (1+\theta))$$

Note that

$$\dot{V} \le -2x_1^2 - \theta x_2^2$$
, if $||x|| \ge |x_2| > \sqrt{\frac{1+\theta}{a}}$ for any $\theta > 0$

Hence x(t) is ultimately bounded.

- (b) There exist class K functions α_1 and α_2 such that $\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|)$. Since $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|_2^2$, we can choose $\alpha_1(\|x\|) = \alpha_2(\|x\|) = V(x)$. Let $\mu = \sqrt{\frac{1+\theta}{a}}$. Then the ultimate bound is $b = \alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\mu)) = \mu = \sqrt{\frac{1+\theta}{a}}$.
- 3. (a) The Jacobian matrix around x = 0 is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2x_2 \\ \delta(t) + x_2 & -2 + x_1 \end{bmatrix} \bigg|_{x=0} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ \delta(t) & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we show that x=0 is an exponentially stable equilibrium point of the linearized system $\dot{x}=A(t)x$. Let $V(x)=\frac{a}{2}x_1^2+\frac{1}{2}x_2^2$ be a Lyapunov function candidate for some a>0. Then

$$\dot{V} = -ax_1^2 + x_2 \left(\delta(t) x_1 - 2x_2 \right)
\leq -ax_1^2 + k|x_1||x_2| - 2x_2^2
= - \left[\begin{vmatrix} |x_1| \\ |x_2| \end{vmatrix} \right]^T \left[\begin{vmatrix} a & -\frac{k}{2} \\ -\frac{k}{2} & 2 \end{vmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{vmatrix} |x_1| \\ |x_2| \end{vmatrix} \right]$$

Choose $a>\frac{k^2}{8}$; then $Q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a&-\frac{k}{2}\\-\frac{k}{2}&2\end{array}\right]$ is positive definite and therefore

 \dot{V} is negative definite. This implies that x=0 is an exponentially stable equilibrium point of the linearized system.

(b) Consider the nonlinear system and let $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. Then

$$\dot{V} = -x_1^2 - x_1 x_2^2 + \delta(t) x_1 x_2 - 2x_2^2 + x_1 x_2^2 + x_2 \cos(t)
\leq -x_1^2 + |x_1||x_2| - 2x_2^2 + x_2 \cos(t)
= - \begin{bmatrix} |x_1| & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & |x_1| & |x_2| & |$$

where λ_{min} is the minimum eigenvalue of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, which is $\lambda_{min} = \frac{3-\sqrt{2}}{2} = 0.7929$. Hence $\dot{V} < 0$ for $||x||_2 > \frac{1}{\lambda_{min}} = \mu = 1.2612$. Therefore, the nonlinear system is uniformly ultimately bounded.

(c) Choose $\alpha_1(\|x\|) = \alpha_2(\|x\|) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 = V(x)$. $\alpha_1(\|x\|)$ and $\alpha_2(\|x\|)$ are class \mathcal{K} functions and $\alpha_1(\|x\|) \leq V(x) \leq \alpha_2(\|x\|)$. Then the ultimate bound is $\alpha_1^{-1}(\alpha_2(\mu)) = \mu = 1.2612$.