1. OSS projects should explicitly encourage diversity with respect to (Select all answers that apply):

☐ Height and weight

☑ Sex and gender identity

> ✓ **Correct**
> People should feel they are valued members of the community

☐ Weather

☑ National origin and language

> ✓ **Correct**
> The more the merrier

☑ Race

> ✓ **Correct**
> Racism has no place and alienates people

2. The majority of OSS projects carry out their discussions in English. Therefore (Select all answers that apply):

☐ It is fine to often use unexplained idioms and cultural references

☐ Language misunderstandings are unlikely to occur

☐ Misunderstandings will only occur between native and non-native English speakers

☑ One should always speak as clearly as possible, and while not being dull, think about the audience and what they will be familiar with

> ✓ **Correct**
> You should always try to reach the largest audience with clarity as best you can.

3. With respect to criticism, an OSS contributor and reviewer should (Select all answers that apply):

☐ Be very careful to never say someone is wrong, as some cultures find that offensive

☑ Answer thoughtfully and point out where one agrees or disagrees, where changes are accepted or not.

> ✓ **Correct**
> Take a deep breath and keep an open mind, everyone will benefit

☐ Demand someone else review your submission and not answer specifics in any detail

☑ Not hold back, it is important to have open and forthright discussion

> ✓ **Correct**
> If you disagree say so; if you approve, say so also.

4. Some one on an OSS mailing list introduces a political aside and perhaps a preference during a technical discussion. Appropriate responses include (Select all correct answers):

☑ Ignore the point and just address the technical issues as needed.

> ✓ **Correct**
> Often this is the best path, just concentrate on technical aspects

☐ Make social media posts outside the community to alert them that someone has ignorant views

☑ Acknowledge the issue but briefly without putting down anyone with differing opinions. Avoid amplifying

> ✓ **Correct**
> You don't have to pretend nothing was said, just don't take the discussion off topic

☐ Respond immediately with your own view so the issue is not unchallenged if you disagree, or to reinforce the stance if you do

5. You propose a new way of doing something, or adding a new feature. Another contributor points out this idea was reject previously. You should (Select all answers that apply):

1 / 1 point

- ☑ Study the previous discussion and see whether it applies, including whether or not differences between your ideas and the old ones were not seen

  > ⊘ **Correct**
  > People sometimes make quick judgements because things seem a certain way with a cursory analysis

- ☑ Ask whether the need for this feature has grown; the earlier discussion may have been premature

  > ⊘ **Correct**
  > Things which seemed not worth the effort in the past may now be important

- ☑ Ask whether or not technical abilities have evolved to the point where something that was not feasible can be done today

  > ⊘ **Correct**
  > This is often the case as hardware, software and networking bandwidth improve

- ☐ Forget about it; no one will pay attention to you if you go forward if the other contributor has more history and trust than you do