

10/12 Saturday

- Fork BigFoot and LittleFoot. Everyone has their own branches.
- TinyFoot repo has feature-based branches instead. GitHub Issues represent features and bugs. Commit changes to **feat-#** branches or **bugfix-#**, raise a PR to merge it to **dev**
- Get BF and LF up and running
 - run through code
 - make changes within respective branches in BF and LF
 - make a list of problems in BF and LF
- Before class on Tuesday, meet again and finalize the .md docs in /admin/reviews/ within the shared TF repo.

TASK 1

- Setup BigFoot.js
- Make a change
- List problems with the tool
- Code Review
- bigfoot-review.md < 5 pages
 - Architecture design
 - Code organization
 - Pattern, language
 - Repo org
 - Tool quality
 - Adv, disadv

TASK 2

- Setup LittleFoot.js
- Make a change
- List problems with the tool
- Code Review
- littlefoot-review.md < 5 pages
 - Architecture quality
 - Include differences between little and bigfoot
 - Use? If not, why?
 - How to improve?

10/14 Monday 10pm-11pm

1. Prep Team Introductions for Tuesday 10/15 class

- Shyam to introduce team and team name
- Go one by one to introduce name, year, hometown, special questions (ex. If I wasn't a software engineer, I would be create music)

2. Went around to say our findings and reviews on both bigfoot and tinyfoot.

3.Reviews on Bigfoot

Pros:

- Easier to navigate because there are less files
- Clear separation of source and distribution files
- Includes both minified and non minified versions of the JS file

Cons:

- No clear structure for tests or examples of testing
- Lack of documentation
- The Readme file lacks technical instructions on dev setup.
- All functionality is in a single file which makes it difficult to ensure reliability across changes
- JavaScript generated with CoffeeScript different than the original source
- Requires JQuery, which may not be desirable in projects aiming for minimal dependencies or those using modern frameworks

4.Reviews on TinyFoot

Pros:

- There are testing suite for CI
- TypeScript for improved type safety and developer experience
- CSS custom properties for easy theming
- Clear and modular code structure

Cons:

- More files which can be overwhelming for us starting

5. Votes for TinyFoot

Result: 7-1-0 Little Foot

Reasons:

- Little foot seems easier to start with because there are testing in place already to help guide us for future implements.

6. Next Steps

- Mitch and Shouhardik PR on their implementations
- Shyam does merging
- Meet with Dylan Tuesday 10/15 3pm-3:25pm
- Get ready for Team Introductions
- Meet after Tuesday 10/15 class briefly to discuss implementing tinyfoot