

Iqb assignment -2

Submitted by- Shyama Goel

2021492

1) The resulting output is as follows->

```
*HHHHHHHHHHSSSSSSSSSSSSSHHHHHHHHHSSSSHHHHHHHHHHH**HHHHHH  
HHHHSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS****HSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS**SS  
SSSS SSSS***HHHHHH*****
```

A well commented code is submitted it in .py file already.

[illegible]

2)

1) Prediction approach -> Category I methods, such as Chou and Fasman and GOR, use amino acid sequence information for secondary structure prediction, while Category II methods, such

as DSSP, P-curve, and Stride, rely on 3D structural data. The former relies on empirical rules and statistical analysis of amino acid sequence, while the latter uses geometric and hydrogen-bonding features of protein structure.

2)Performance: Category II methods are slower and more computationally intensive, making them better suited for predicting secondary structure for specific protein structures, while Category I methods are relatively quick and can predict secondary structure for huge datasets.

3)Accuracy: When predicting secondary structure, Category II approaches are typically more accurate than Category I methods. This is due to the fact that Category II approaches account for the polypeptide backbone's true three-dimensional configuration.

4)Input data:Category II methods need the three-dimensional structure of the protein, which can be obtained by X-ray crystallography or NMR spectroscopy, while Category I methods just need the amino acid sequence of the protein as input data.

5)Format of output: Category I methods usually offer a score for the likelihood of each secondary structure type at each place in the protein sequence, but Category II methods offer a thorough output that includes the precise secondary structure assignments for each residue.

| <u>Chou and Fasman</u> | <u>GOR method</u> |
|---|--|
| 1 The Chou-Fasman approach determines the possibility that a specific amino acid will be found in an alpha-helix, beta-sheet, or random coil structure by statistically analysing known protein structures. | 1) It is an information theory-based method for the prediction of secondary structures in proteins. |
| 2)To create predictions using the Chou-Fasman approach, at least 20 amino acids are needed. | 2) GOR method can make predictions with as few as seven amino acids. |
| 3) The Chou-Fasman method was developed using a small dataset of 12 protein structures. | 3)Using a bigger dataset of 426 protein structures, the GOR technique was created. Because of the larger training sample, the GOR technique may be more generalizable. |
| 4)The Chou-Fasman method is more specific for predicting alpha-helices | 4)GOR method is more specific for predicting beta-Sheets. |

| | |
|--|--|
| 5)It is less accurate as compared to gor | 5)It is more accurate as compared to the chou fasman method. |
|--|--|

b)

| DSSP | P-curve | Stride |
|--|---|--|
| 1)DSSP employs a set of principles based on the hydrogen-bonding patterns and backbone dihedral angles of protein structures | 1) P-curve predicts secondary structure from primary sequence data using a machine learning method. | 1)Stride predicts the secondary structure elements by combining geometry, hydrogen-bonding patterns, and amino acid sequence data. |
| 2)DSSP is standalone software packages that can be downloaded and installed on local machines. | 2)P-curve is a web-based server that offers online prediction services | 2)Stride is standalone software packages that can be downloaded and installed on local machines. |
| 3)DSSP can also calculate other parameters such as solvent accessibility, hydrogen bond patterns, and amino acid burial. | 3)It is based on distribution of p values in dataset. | 3)It is also used in the calculation of other parameters such as solvent accessibility and hydrogen bonding patterns. |
| 4)It is used for studying protein structures at atomic level | 4)It is used for analyzing large datasets. | 4)It is also useful for studying proteins structures at atomic level which can have further applications. |