

Graph-Based Analysis of Gene Activity in Lung Cancer based on Protein-Interaction Networks

Simone Bergmann, 3957624
`simone.bergmann@uni-bielefeld.de`

October 7, 2024

Task: Creation of a data model and integration of genes and proteins with interactions in an appropriate form within a graph database, which is to be used for the identification of relevant genes for distinguishing between healthy tissue and lung cancer.

Key facts: * cancerous mean tpm from Cell Model Passport
* healthy mean tpm from Genotype-Tissue Expression
* delta tpm as difference between healthy and cancerous tpm
* genes are connected with proteins and proteins are connected with each other (STRING database)
* neo4J as graph database
* pagerank as graph algorithm to identify relevant genes

Abstract

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Lung cancer is a major public health problem worldwide, caused by various factors, including smoking, air pollution, and also genetic factors. It is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide[2]. Despite advances in medical care, the survival rate for patients remains relatively low, with only 30.2% of women and 22.1% of men (2016) surviving beyond five years after diagnosis [9], often due to late-stage detection. Early detection is therefore crucial to initiate timely treatment and ultimately reduce the mortality rate.

We focus on analyzing gene expression data to identify potential biomarkers for lung cancer. We use graph databases for this purpose, as they offer ways to represent complex relationships between large sets of genes and proteins and analyze them efficiently using algorithms. These data provide valuable insights into the underlying molecular mechanisms of lung cancer and thus help to improve the early diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer.

1.2 Problem Statement and Goals

1.3 Structure

The chapter 2 provides a detailed overview of the biological and computational background of the project, including facts about lung cancer, the importance of gene expression analysis and the use of graph databases in cancer research.

In the third chapter 3, we will describe the setup, including the data sources and the creation of the graph database.

The fourth chapter 4 presents the results of the graph analysis and the identified relevant genes.

The fifth chapter 5 discusses the results and compares them with other studies.

The final chapter 6 summarizes the findings and provides an outlook on future research.

1.4 Limitations

Our Analysis has the following limitations:

- The data used in this project is limited on human genes.
- We focussed on the analysis of lung cancer.
- The gene expression data used in this project is limited to TPM values from the GTEx and Cell Model Passport datasets.

2 Background

In this chapter we will take a closer look at the biological and computational background of our project. Also, we will discuss related work in the field cancer research with graph databases.

2.1 Biological background

Cancer is a group of diseases characterized by uncontrolled cell growth and tissue destruction. According to research, widespread metastases are the primary cause of death from cancer[6]. There are over 100 different types of cancer[5]. The five most common forms of cancer worldwide in 2022 were lung cancer (> 2.4 million), breast cancer (> 2.2 million), colorectal cancer (> 1.9 million), prostate cancer (> 1.4 million) and stomach cancer (> 0.9 million). In 2022, cancer was one of the leading causes of death globally, with approximately 10 million fatalities[2].

Cancer can be caused by a combination of genetic and environmental factors, such as diet, radiation, age, exposure to certain chemicals or viruses[4]. Early detection and the right treatment can significantly improve the chances of curing many types of cancer.

Lung cancer is a type of cancer that affects the lung organ, characterized by uncontrolled cell proliferation and tissue destruction. There are two main subtypes: non-small cell carcinoma (NSCLC) and small cell carcinoma (SCLC)[3]. The primary risk factor for developing lung cancer is smoking, but passive smoking and environmental pollution also significantly increase the risk.

Unfortunately, symptoms of lung cancer often resemble those of common colds or other minor illnesses, such as coughing and fatigue. This makes it difficult to diagnose until the disease has progressed to an advanced stage[7]. Early detection of lung cancer is essential for improving treatment success rates, emphasizing the need for prompt diagnosis and intervention.

Gene expression is a crucial factor in the investigation of cancer. It describes the process by which genes are read and utilized within a cell to synthesize proteins that regulate cellular growth and other essential processes. Alterations in gene expression can contribute to the uncontrolled multiplication and abnormal behavior of cancer cells, which ultimately leads to the development and progression of cancer.

Transcripts per Million (TPM) is a measure of gene expression in a cell. A higher TPM value signifies that the corresponding gene is actively expressed and, consequently, produces more protein. Through this method, it is possible to determine precisely which genes are activated within a cell and their involvement in cancer development.

2.2 Computational background

Graph databases are an effective way to model and analyze complex data structures. In the thesis, we use graph databases to put the collected cancer data into a meaningful context. A graph database consists of two basic components: Nodes and edges. Nodes are the units that store the basic information of a certain type of object. In our case, it is genes and proteins that are represented as nodes in the database. Each gene is therefore a node with its own properties, such as a gene ID (Ensembl ID), a name or a TPM value for its activity.

Relationships between the nodes are represented by edges, which can represent different types of connections. In our graph database, for example, there are “interactions” as connections between

proteins. Edges can represent both one-to-many and many-to-many relationships, which allows us to model complex relationships between nodes. Edges can have weights or properties or a direction. We do not use these properties in our database, but they could be used to model more complex relationships between nodes.

Graph databases provide several benefits when working with complex networked data. Notably, they enable efficient querying and visualization of complex relationships, facilitating a deeper understanding of these networks.

In biological data analysis, graph databases are often used to analyze protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks. These networks model the interaction between proteins to control cellular processes. By using a graph database, we can visualize and query these networks more efficiently, allowing us to gain important insights into cellular processes. [PAPER XY]

In our project, we take advantage of graph databases to analyze the connection between genes. We want to better understand the complex relationships between genes, and by using a graph database we can represent and query these relationships more efficiently. Part of our work also involves creating and using a PPI. For our project, we used Neo4J, one of the best-known and most powerful graph databases. It offers a high degree of flexibility and enables us to manage our data efficiently.

Graph database algorithms can be broadly categorized into three primary groups. These categories facilitate the efficient analysis of complex networks by providing different perspectives on graph structure.

Traversal and Pathfinding Algorithms enable the identification of shortest or most optimal paths between nodes in the graph, thereby facilitating the exploration of network topology. Examples include Depth-First-Search (DFS), Breadth-First-Search (BFS), Shortest Path, and Max-Flow-Min-Cut (MWST).

Centrality Algorithms are instrumental in understanding which nodes within a graph hold significant importance. By evaluating centrality measures such as degree centrality, closeness centrality, betweenness centrality, and PageRank, valuable insights into the underlying network structure is gained.

Community Detection Algorithms, also known as clustering or partitioning algorithms, are essential for identifying groups of nodes within a graph that share similar characteristics or exhibit extensive connectivity. Examples include Label Propagation, Louvain Modularity, and Strongly Connected Components. [1]

In our analysis of gene activity networks, we utilize the **PageRank algorithm** to identify influential genes based on their connectivity and centrality within the graph. The PageRank score per gene is calculated using the following formula:

$$PR(A) = (1 - d) + d \left(\frac{PR(T_1)}{C(T_1)} + \dots + \frac{PR(T_n)}{C(T_n)} \right)$$

where $PR(A)$ is the PageRank score of node A , T_1 to T_n are nodes with edges to A , d is the damping factor, and $C(T_1)$ represents the number of edges to node T_1 .

The PageRank algorithm was originally a method for evaluating and prioritizing websites on the internet. It was developed in 1988 at Stanford University by Larry Page and Sergey Brin [8], the founders of Google. The idea behind the algorithm is that the more links pointing to a website, the more important it is.

The PageRank algorithm assigns a higher score to genes that are connected to many other important genes, indicating their central role in the network. This allows us to identify key regulatory genes. By leveraging Neo4J's graph database capabilities, we can efficiently compute PageRank scores for our gene activity network, providing valuable insights into the complex relationships between genes.

2.3 Related work

* Similar projects that use graph databases for biological data analysis * Advantages and disadvantages of using graph databases for biological data analysis * How this project differs from other projects that use graph databases for biological data analysis

Overall, in the course of this background section, we have gained a comprehensive overview of the biological background of lung cancer and the importance of gene expression analysis. In particular, we have looked at TPM values, which are an important measure of gene activity.

We have established that the use of graph databases is an effective way to analyze complex networks, and we have explained corresponding algorithms, especially the PageRank algorithm.

Finally, we have reviewed related work in the field of graph databases in the field of cancer research.

3 Experimental Setup

3.1 Data

preparation of the Datasets for the graph db * for every dataset we need the format genes as rows and at least the ENS ID and a mean tpm for every gene row * GTEx (Genotype-Tissue Expression) - healthy tissues * downloaded bulk-tissue-expression file (... more about the data) * format was: Gene / ENS ID as rows and tissues as columns - tpm value for every gene in every tissue * melted down to genes as rows and tissue and tpm value as columns * grouped by tissues to get a single tpm value for every gene (eigentlich sum and count to get mean) → 56.156 human genes with mean values for healthy tpm

* CMP (Cell Model Passport) - cancerous tissues * downloaded all RNA-Seq processed data - which contain data from Sanger Institute and from Broad Institute * format was: genes with CMP IDs as rows and tpms, tissues (called model), ... per column * separate file loaded with model annotation to get a list of all models (tissues) that have lung cancer as cancer type * filtered the data to get only the lung cancer models * grouped all models (tissues) to get a mean tpm value for every gene * needed to add ENS ID to the genes for further processing * separate ensemble file downloaded from biomart (<https://www.ensembl.org/biomart/martview/>) * contains Gene stable ID and gene symbol (name) * idea is to add the Gene stable ID by merging both tables by the gene name * daher gehen wir davon aus, dass jeder gen name eindeutig ist * war es nicht - 10.605/48.311 rows in the ensemble file had a not unique gene name * rows with names that are not unique were removed * merging the ensemble file with the CMP data to get the ENS ID for every gene * 3.760 / 37.262 genes had no ENS ID → missing IDs could be added by synonymes maybe because of duplicate removal * these genes are removed → 33.502 human genes with mean values tpm for lung cancer

3.2 Methodology

Datasets to nodes and edges * genes as nodes * eigenschaft: name, gene stable id, norm healthy tpm, norm cancerous tpm, delta tpm, z score, delta tpm relevant * merging the GTEx (healthy) and CMP (cancerous) data to get a list of all genes that are in both datasets * filtering for genes that have a gene-protein connection * normalizing the TPM Values with log scaling * calculate a delta TPM value for every gene as the difference between the mean tpm value in the healthy and the cancerous dataset * NOT THE ABSOLUTE * to find out which genes have a relevant change in the healthy and cancerous tpm value we calculate the z score for the delta tpm values for every gene * z score is calculated as the difference between the delta tpm value and the mean delta tpm value divided by the standard deviation of the delta tpm values * z score is a measure of how many standard deviations an element is from the mean * XXX genes with a z score of 1,96 or higher are considered as delta tpm relevant (p Wert damit 0,05 and Konfidenzniveau 95 → 17.626 gene Nodes

* protein-gene connection as edges * eigenschaft: gene stable id, protein stable id * proteins are needed as a second node type to get a connection between the genes. * downloaded protein file from biomart with gene stable ID and protein stable ID * filtered for those gene stable IDs that are in the gene node list. * we only have those proteins that have a connection (was sagt die tabelle genau aus?) → 101.731 protein gene edges (means: every protein is connected to exactly one gene, but every gene can have multiple proteins)

* proteins as nodes * eigenschaft: protein stable id * use the protein gene table as base * only use the column with the protein stable ID → 101.731 protein Nodes

* protein protein connection as edges * eigenschaft: protein stable id 1, protein stable id 2 * downloaded protein protein interaction file from STRING database * filtered for those protein stable IDs that are in the protein node list → 11.247.242 protein protein edges (not every protein is connected to another one → but since every gene could have multiple proteins, the connected gene may have a connection to another protein) TODO: are those genes that are not connected to another gene relevant for the analysis?

3.3 Graph Database

Graph database * Neo4J * gene nodes query: * protein nodes query: * gene protein edges query: * protein protein edges query:

4 Results

5 Discussion

5.1 Analysis

5.2 What went well

5.3 Future Work

6 Conclusion

End

References

- [1] Graph algorithms in neo4j: 15 different graph algorithms and what they do. <https://neo4j.com/blog/graph-algorithms-neo4j-15-different-graph-algorithms-and-what-they-do/>. Accessed: 23.09.2024.
- [2] J Ferlay, M Ervik, F Lam, M Laversanne, M Colombet, L Mery, M Piñeros, A Znaor, I Soerjomataram, and F Bray. Global cancer observatory: Cancer today. <https://gco.iarc.who.int/today>. Accessed: 27 September 2024.
- [3] National Cancer Institute. Lung cancer—patient version. <https://www.cancer.gov/types/lung>. Accessed: 27.09.2024.
- [4] National Cancer Institute. Risk factors for cancer. <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk>. Accessed: 27.09.2024.
- [5] National Cancer Institute. What is cancer? <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/what-is-cancer>, 2021. Accessed: 27.09.2024.
- [6] World Health Organization. Cancer. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer>. Accessed: 27.09.2024.
- [7] World Health Organization. Lung cancer. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/lung-cancer>. Accessed: 27.09.2024.
- [8] Lawrence Page, Sergey Brin, Rajeev Motwani, and Terry Winograd. The pagerank citation ranking: Bringing order to the web. Technical Report 1999-66, Stanford InfoLab, November 1999. Previous number = SIDL-WP-1999-0120.
- [9] National Cancer Institute Surveillance Research Program. SEER*Explorer: An interactive website for SEER cancer statistics. <https://seer.cancer.gov/statistics-network/explorer/>. [Internet]. Updated: 2024 Jun 27; Cited: 2024 Sep 29. Available from: <https://seer.cancer.gov/statistics-network/explorer/>. Data source(s): SEER Incidence Data, November 2023 Submission (1975-2021), SEER 22 registries (excluding Illinois and Massachusetts). Expected Survival Life Tables by Socio-Economic Standards Accessed: 29.09.2024.