


Lidar Measurements of Wind and Turbulence for Wind-Energy Applications

- Petra Klein¹,
- Jennifer Newman¹, Tim Bonin¹ and Sonia Wharton²
 - ¹School of Meteorology, University of Oklahoma
 - ² Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory


The complexity of the boundary layer currently challenges wind industry – more observations are needed!

- Turbines are generally in the surface layer but taller turbines may encounter the turbulent mixed layer or nocturnal low-level jets.
- Ideally, we want measurements of temperature, wind speed, wind direction and turbulence across the entire rotor disk plus some heights above.
- Turbulence, wind shear and directional shear impact turbine power performance... hub-height wind speed isn't the only factor!



Meteorological towers only tell half of the story....

1. Hub-height wind speed may not represent the true rotor-disk wind speed
2. High turbulence and high wind shear can lead to high fatigue loads and/or power losses



Remote sensing to the rescue!

Recent BAMS article by Banta et al. (2013) discusses how Lidar measurements can provide the needed high resolution wind information.

PBL observations relevant to wind energy: Atmospheric LIDAR remote-sensing platforms


LIDAR (Laser Detection and Ranging) uses high frequency infrared wavelengths to measure wind speed in 3 directions (u, v, w) and wind direction at a 1 s rate. Turbulence can be calculated from the fluctuations from the mean wind speed.

Wind Cube LIDAR range: 10-300 m, 10-12 height gauges.

Advantage: A lightweight, mobile system which can run off of solar power.



Disadvantage: No temperature profile for stability, highest frequency turbulence not sampled, limited user-adjustable configurations

Wind Cube v2 LIDAR from
Leosphere/NRG




Complex terrain site: Site 300 and Buena Vista wind farm

High-resolution measurements from LIDAR show wind flow normal to ridges (e.g., gravity flows) results in higher wind speed nearer to ground than aloft. **This illustrates the need for advanced measurement-modeling studies in complex terrain.**




Flows normal to the ridge line (green) are common at Site 300 and have complex flow features which are not captured by conventional methods.

Observed inflow conditions at the Altamont Pass Wind Farm



Include times of strong positive and negative wind shear, strong directional shear and high turbulence...all of which affect power generation



These examples show that commercially available Lidars provide useful information about mean wind characteristics.

How well can we measure turbulence characteristics?

TABLE I and II Study Area



- A- OU Halo Lidar
- B- Leosphere Lidar
- C- Metek Sodar
- 1- ARM Halo Lidar
- 2- Radar wind profiler
- 3- Raman lidar
- 4- 60-m tower (sonics at 4,25,60 m)
- 5- 2-m Eddy Correlation and flux
- 6- AERI




Streamline Halo Doppler Lidar

- Pulsed heterodyne lidar
- Minimum range ≈ 100 m
- Eye-safe $1.5 \mu\text{m}$ wavelength
- Capable of VAD, DBS, RHI, stare, and custom scans
- Range resolution: 18 m (OU)
 - 30 m (ARM)
- Maximum unambiguous velocity: 19.4 m s^{-1}
- Maximum rated range: 9.6 km



LLJs Observed During TABLE I


- 20 LLJs with peak winds of 10 m s^{-1}
 - 17 southerly, 3 northerly
- Average LLJ core height $\approx 500 \text{ m AGL}$
 - Varied between 250-1000 m AGL
- Typical onset around 0-2Z (shortly after sunset)
 - 30% of LLJs began after 6Z



Instrument Comparison LABE I


10/17/2012

5:30 UTC



a)

11:30 UTC




LABLE II

Dates	Scanning Strategy	WindCube Heights	Galion Heights	OU Halo Heights
June 12-19, 2013	ARM Halo: Vertical stare OU Halo, Galion: Horizontal stare 105 m above ARM Halo	40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 105, 116, 124, 135, 165, 195	105 m - 4.6 km (increments of 30 m)	105 m - 4.6 km (increments of 30 m)
June 19-28, 2013	ARM Halo: Vertical stare OU Halo: Six-beam DBS Galion: Five-beam DBS (similar to WindCube)	40, 50, 60, 74, 95, 105, 117, 138, 159, 165, 180, 200	74, 95, 117, 138, 159, 180, 202...	74, 95, 117, 138, 159, 180, 202...
June 28-July 3, 2013	ARM Halo, OU Halo, Galion: Virtual tower over WindCube	40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180, 200	25, 60, 100, 200, 350, 500	25, 60, 100, 200, 350, 500 (ARM Halo: same)
July 3-8, 2013	Various	40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 120, 140, 160, 180, 200		



Dual-Doppler wind profile retrieval



$$\begin{aligned} L_{OU} \bullet U &= Vr_{OU} \\ L_{GAL} \bullet U &= Vr_{GAL} \end{aligned}$$




TABLE II Instrument Comparison

Wind Speed and direction from OU Halo and Gillion Dual Doppler analysis





TABLE II Instrument Comparison

Dual Doppler analysis



VAD Scans of ARM HALO








TABLE II 06/13/2013


0500 UTC




0600 UTC




0700 UTC




0800 UTC








0900 UTC








1000 UTC









10-min averages of the 3 wind speed components, horizontal wind speed, and wind direction





1s measurements of the 3 wind speed components, horizontal wind speed, and wind direction

10-min averages of turbulence parameters



Comparison of Turbulence Parameters



Conclusions

- Lidars measurements provide useful mean wind and turbulence data
 - Not only at hub height but also across the entire rotor disk
- Continuous monitoring with Lidars can provide detailed information about important flow features such as LLJ and drainage flow
- Different scanning strategies are being tested to improve quality of Lidar turbulence measurements