

Nordea

## Recruitment case: Data Analyst Jan 2023


Candidate: Siarhei Thor



Q1. Read and profile the data. Explain your understanding about the data and provide insights.

# Data evaluation

## Data model



## Tables Info

Customer  
Data columns (total 12 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Customer_ID	6763 non-null	int64
1	Local_Customer_ID	6763 non-null	object
2	Customer_Name	5367 non-null	object
3	NACE_Code_L1	6544 non-null	object
4	NACE_Name_L1	6524 non-null	object
5	NACE_Code	6089 non-null	float64
6	Bankrupcy_Flag	6308 non-null	float64
7	Rating_Score	5454 non-null	object
8	Country	6308 non-null	object
9	Customer_Responsibile_Unit	6293 non-null	float64
10	Sector_Code	6292 non-null	float64
11	Sector_Name	6292 non-null	object

Instrument  
Data columns (total 13 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Agreement_ID	23441 non-null	int64
1	Account_Number	23441 non-null	int64
2	Account_Number_Old	23441 non-null	int64

3	Performing_Non_Performing	23441 non-null	object
4	Effective_Date	23440 non-null	datetime64[ns]
5	Closing_Date	22967 non-null	datetime64[ns]
6	Maturity_Date	23159 non-null	datetime64[ns]
7	Registration_Date	19853 non-null	datetime64[ns]
8	Country	23441 non-null	object
9	Basel_FT_ID	23007 non-null	object
10	Last_Repricing_Date	14814 non-null	datetime64[ns]
11	Agreement_Purpose	23164 non-null	object
12	Amortization_Method	17210 non-null	object

Financial  
Data columns (total 5 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Agreement_ID	39356 non-null	int64
1	GL_Account_ID	39356 non-null	int64
2	Source	39356 non-null	object
3	Customer_ID	39356 non-null	int64
4	Amount	39356 non-null	float64

GL  
Data columns (total 6 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	GL_Account_ID	17 non-null	int64
1	GL_Account	17 non-null	int64
2	GL_Name	17 non-null	object
3	Group_GL_Account_ID	17 non-null	int64
4	GL_Account_Type	17 non-null	int64
5	GL_Account_Name	17 non-null	object

Group\_GL  
Data columns (total 3 columns):

#	Column	Non-Null Count	Dtype
0	Group_GL_Account_ID	5 non-null	int64
1	Group_GL_Account	5 non-null	object
2	Group_GL_Name	5 non-null	object

# Answer

Data model explains how different tables relate to one another.

Given extract of the following tables:

Customer  
Data regarding customer information.

Instrument  
Data regarding financial instrument information.

Financial  
Data containing all transactions. This table can be used for aggregations.


GL  
Data regarding account type according to general ledger.

Group\_GL  
Data regarding account group according to general ledger.

Q2. Explain the assets and off balances for each customer sector category.

## Total amounts per sector

### Distribution of amount per sector and per assets/off-balance-sheet items



## Answer

Most assets:  
- Non financial corporation sector 77.01%


Most off-balance-sheet amounts:  
- Credit institutions 69.27%  
- Non financial corporation 24.95%

Worth noting that assets and off-balance-sheet items with missing information (No\_Data) account for resp. 6.51% and 3.21%

Q3. Explain the total amount for each sector category before and after adjustment.

## Adjustments per Sector

Distribution of adjustments per sector



Percent (%) describe relation to total amounts for assets.

## Answer

- Adjustment are found in Assets category.
- These adjustments are very small amounts in relation to the total amounts.
- Most adjustments made in NON FINANCIAL CORPORATIONS sector.

## Other observations and insights

In the category NON FINANCIAL CORPORATIONS:

- Most amount for positive adjustment (146 650) attributed to ‘accrued interest income’ GL account.
- Most amount for negative adjustment (-229 817) attributed to ‘EIR correction for loans non-demand’ GL account.

Q4a. Explain the amounts aggregated on countries.

# Assets per customers country


## Top Countries

1	Norway	15643.92 M
2	Sweden	9410.55 M
3	Finland	6397.21 M
4	Denmark	5150.31 M
5	Poland	3905.86 M
6	No_Data	3139.53 M
7	Bermuda	1200.23 M
8	United Kingdom	968.10 M
9	Jersey	936.39 M
10	Liberia	773.13 M
11	Cyprus	736.66 M
12	Netherlands	560.27 M
13	Russia	498.62 M
14	Marshall Islands	394.48 M
15	Belgium	376.23 M
16	Luxembourg	330.31 M
17	Cayman Islands	329.73 M
18	Switzerland	238.71 M
19	Singapore	205.27 M
20	United States	181.22 M

## Insights

- Most assets consentrated around scandinavia with Norway as a top country
- There is a reamrkable large unassigned amount (No\_Data)

Total for not assigned assests: 3,139,526,526.83




link for interactive map on github: [https://htmlpreview.github.io/?https://raw.githubusercontent.com/SiarheiThor/example\\_projects/main/choropleth\\_map.html](https://htmlpreview.github.io/?https://raw.githubusercontent.com/SiarheiThor/example_projects/main/choropleth_map.html)




Q4b. Show which country has maximum assets per NACE code and sector category.

## Amounts per NACE name

Distribution of total amount for Assets by Customer Country grouped by NACE name



Distribution of total amount for Assets by Customer Country grouped by Sector category



## Answer

Maximum assets per NACE code:  
Norway, REAL ESTATE ACTIVITIES: 7 055 171 578.3


Maximum assets per Sector category:  
Norway, Non financial corporations: 14 970 574 545.25

Q5. What is the total assets for missing Customer Responsible Unit? Can you find any trend from customer or instrument perspective?

## Customer Responsible Unit (CRU)


Total Amounts (Assets)

3 480 878 046




Total Amounts (Off-balance)

2 874 168 375



Customer unit assigned  
Customer unit not assigned

Number transactions for customers without (CRU)



## Answer

Total assets for missing Customer Responsible Unit:  
3 480 878 046.


### Possible trends

- Majority of clients without data on (CRU) are assigned to 'WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE'
- All client without data on (CRU) are missing the Sector Name.
- Most transaction were made for FINANCING Agreement\_Purpose
- Transactions for customers without (CRU) started to appear around 2005 with a substantial increase around 2011.
- Most of the customers transactions appeared between 2014 and 2016.
- There is some data discrepancy within effective date column, 14 data point have dates around 1900


Q6. Considering the rating/scores <.> Explain identified anomalies with performance of instruments.

## Rating Scores

Amount distribution for for ‘PC’-labels




Amount distribution for for ‘PP’-labels



## Answer

1. There are two statistical outliers (anomalies) within rating PCU and PPB from the off-balance-sheet items GL group.
2. All non-performing instrument are within Assets GL group.
3. Only 14% of bad rated (PC0, PC0+, PC0-) customers accounted as non performing.
4. Most of the assets with low ranking (86%) seem still to perform according to data.

Distribution of performing and Non-performing assets by ranking



## Other observations and insights

Ratings across the data have two types of coding: ‘PC[0-6,S,U(+)]’ and ‘PP[0,A-F,U(+)]’.



PC ratings a more common with the most amounts distributed between PC3 and PC6

There is a relatively large amount of assets without ranking in PP category - (PPU rating)



Q7. Explain any other observation about the data.

# Amounts per NACE name



## Other observations

Maximum off-balance-sheet per NACE code:  
Finland, No Data: 60 007 105 838.35

In relation to other NACE categories within both assets and off-balance this amount is far beyond average values.

## Insights

Before analysing previous tasks, I would first investigate and deal with the statistical outlier as it creates skewed or disproportional results.

**Thank you!**