

Question 1

Given Set-up

$$Y_i \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$$

$$\mu_i = f(x_i) = \alpha - \beta x_i^u$$

where

$$\alpha \sim N(0, \tau_{\alpha}^2) \quad \alpha \in (1, \infty)$$

$$\beta \sim N(0, \tau_{\beta}^2) \quad \beta \in (1, \infty)$$

$$\gamma \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1) \quad \gamma \in (0, 1)$$

$$\tau^2 \sim \text{IG}(a, b) \quad \tau^2 \in (0, \infty)$$

a) Characteristics

Since the hyperparameters α, β, τ^2

are from Normal Distribution, and
our statistical model is also Normal.

By Conjugate Properties, posterior of
 α, β, τ^2 must also be Normal

- As for γ , it can't follow
those properties of Conjugacy and
can't thus be represented in any of the
distribution of exponential family..

⑥ Corresponding Likelihood function

Since $Y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \tau^2)$

$$P(Y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(Y_1 - \mu_1)^2}{2\tau^2}\right)$$

$$P(Y_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(Y_n - \mu_n)^2}{2\tau^2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = P(Y_1) \times P(Y_2) \times \dots \times P(Y_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \times \dots \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2} (Y_1 - \mu_1)^2 + (Y_2 - \mu_2)^2 + \dots + (Y_n - \mu_n)^2\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}}\right)^n \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu_i)^2\right)$$

Then

$$L(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu, \tau^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\tau^2)^n}} \times \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - (\alpha + \beta Y_i + \mu))^2}{2\tau^2}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}}\right)^n \times \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - (\alpha + \beta Y_i + \mu))^2}{2\tau^2}\right)$$

Ans

⑥ Expression of joint Prior
distribution of parameters
and choose hyperparameters

$$P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2) = P(\alpha) P(\beta) P(\gamma) P(\tau^2)$$

But

$$P(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_a^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2\sigma_a^2}\right)$$

$$P(\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_b^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2\sigma_b^2}\right)$$

$$P(\gamma) = 1$$

$$P(\tau^2) = (\tau^2)^{-\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\tau^2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_a^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_b^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2\sigma_a^2} - \frac{\beta^2}{2\sigma_b^2} - \frac{b}{\tau^2}\right) (\tau^2)^{-\alpha-1}$$

Ans

The only concern for the choice of σ_a^2, σ_b^2 is that distribution should have enough variance in it so that

Sampling is fair and we have
a lot of options to choose from.

Furthermore we always have to

make sure

that

$$\lambda \in (1, \infty)$$

$$Y \in (0, 1)$$

$$\beta \in (1, \infty)$$

$$T \in (0, 1)$$

As for a, b in Inverse Gamma, a and b both should be positive...

Some options for distribution of

λ, β, Y, T^2 alongside their graphs
are given in the code ---

∴ See the code

(d)

See the code

Q2

a) Analytic Expression of acceptance Probability

\Rightarrow We have to simulate $\theta \sim \pi_\theta(\theta)$
where $\pi_\theta(\theta)$ satisfies this boundness condition

$$\pi_\theta(\theta) \leq K = K \pi_y(\theta)$$

For each $y \sim \pi_y(\cdot)$ we use an auxiliary experiment

$$y \rightarrow \begin{cases} y^A & \text{if } E=1 \\ y^R & \text{if } E=R \end{cases}$$

The distribution of this auxiliary experiment is Bernoulli such that

$$E = A = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad E = R = 0$$

so

$$P_Y(E=1 | Y=y) = \frac{\pi_\theta(y)}{K \pi_y(y)} = \frac{\pi_\theta(y)}{K} \in [0,1]$$

But the distribution is in fact, a conditional distribution

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_\theta(\theta) &= \Pr(\theta \leq \theta) = \Pr\{Y \leq \theta \mid Y = x^*\} \\ &= \Pr\{Y \leq \theta \mid E=1\}\end{aligned}$$

To get unconditional distribution

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\{E=1\} &= \int_{(0,1)} \Pr\{E=1 \mid Y=y\} \Pi_Y(y) dy \\ &= \int_{0,1} \frac{\Pi_\theta(y)}{K \Pi_Y(y)} \Pi_Y(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{K} \int_{0,1} \Pi_\theta(y) dy \\ &= \boxed{\frac{1}{K}} \quad \text{Ans}\end{aligned}$$

b) Prove

We have to make sure that our target distribution $\Pi_{\theta}(.) \theta \in \mathcal{Y}^A$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\theta}(\theta) &= \Pr \left\{ \theta \leq \theta \right\} \\ &= \Pr \left\{ Y \leq \theta \mid E=1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{\Pr \left\{ Y \leq \theta, E=1 \right\}}{\Pr \left\{ E=1 \right\}} \\ &= \frac{\Pr \left\{ Y \in [0, \theta], E=1 \right\}}{\Pr \left\{ E=1, Y \in [0, 1] \right\}} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^1 \Pi_{(0, \theta)}(y) \Pr \left\{ E=1 \mid Y=y \right\} \Pi_y(y) dy}{\int_0^1 \Pr \left\{ E=1 \mid Y=y \right\} \Pi_y(y) dy} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^1 \frac{\Pi_{\theta}(y)}{K \Pi_y(y)} \Pi_y(y) dy}{\int_0^1 \frac{\Pi_{\theta}(y)}{K \Pi_y(y)} \Pi_y(y) dy} = \frac{\frac{1}{K} \int_0^1 \Pi_{\theta}(y) dy}{\frac{1}{K} \int_0^1 \Pi_{\theta}(y) dy}\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^\theta \pi_\theta(y) dy$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta \sim \pi_\theta(\cdot)$$

So θ is from target distribution - - -

④ We need to understand two cases:

Case 1: We don't know normalizing factor of Posterior

Case 2: We know normalizing factor for Posterior

I'd be discussing Case 2 - -

so let

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta) &= \frac{f(y_1 \dots y_n | \theta) P(\theta)}{f(y_1 \dots y_n)} \\ &= K f(y_1 \dots y_n | \theta) g(\theta) \\ \Rightarrow & K \bar{f}(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{f}(\theta) = f(y_1 \dots y_n | \theta) g(\theta)$

Let ~~\bar{f}~~ C be a constant which satisfies the boundedness condition

$$\text{Posterior} \leq C * \text{Prior}$$

Now, Any RV drawn from
Unif $[0, 1]$ must be scaled to
the level $C * g(\theta)$

This RV would be accepted if
boundness condition is met

So
 $\Rightarrow u * C g(\theta) \leq \text{target}$

Then, we might have two cases

$u * C g(\theta) < K \hat{f}(\theta)$

when complete
Posterior is known

$u * C g(\theta) < K \hat{f}(\theta)$

when complete
Posterior isn't known

Case 1

Case 2

Case 1 will be discussed here

Let $X \sim g(\theta)$

\uparrow Prior
 \uparrow RV

$$P(Y \leq y) = P(X \leq y \mid U \geq c g(\theta) \leq K \bar{f}(\theta)).$$

$$= \frac{P(X \leq y, U \geq c g(\theta) \leq K \bar{f}(\theta))}{P(U \leq \frac{K \bar{f}(\theta)}{c g(\theta)})}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^y \int_0^{\frac{K \bar{f}(\theta)}{c g(\theta)}} 1 \, du \, g(\theta) \, d\theta}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\frac{K \bar{f}(\theta)}{c g(\theta)}} 1 \, du \, g(\theta) \, d\theta}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^y u \int_0^{\frac{K \bar{f}(\theta)}{c g(\theta)}} g(\theta) \, d\theta}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \int_0^{\frac{K \bar{f}(\theta)}{c g(\theta)}} g(\theta) \, d\theta}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^y \frac{K \bar{f}(\theta)}{c g(\theta)} g(\theta) \, d\theta}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K \bar{f}(\theta)}{c g(\theta)} g(\theta) \, d\theta}$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^y \frac{K}{c} \bar{f}(\theta) \, d\theta / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K}{c} \bar{f}(\theta) \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^y \bar{f}(\theta) \, d\theta$$

(K get cancelled so)

So we can say the generated rv
will have a distribution of $f(x)$

\therefore We don't actually need to
know the proportionality constant,
as shown in the derivation, it
gets cancelled....

(d)

Imagine the following likelihood and prior

$$\text{Prior } \pi(\theta) = \pi(\theta) \sim \text{dbeta}(a, b) \quad \{a \neq 0, b \neq 0\}$$

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Posterior } P(\theta | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{P(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta) \pi(\theta)}{P(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \text{dbeta}(\theta, a + \sum x_i, b + n - \sum x_i) \end{aligned}$$

∴ from Properties of Conjugate model

Difficulties:

- * It is not possible to draw from Prior predictive. The reason for that is

Sampling function doesn't exist
for beta density (analytically)...
Because the integral over density
of beta is not possible
analytically

* The tail of $g(\theta)$ has to
cover the tails of $f(\theta)$ which
is not always possible

(e)

∴ See the code

Question 3

Standard Cauchy

$$f(x; 0, 1) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$$

Standard Normal

$$f(x; 0, 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$\text{Acceptance-Prob} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\right)}{K_1 \left(\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)} \right)}$$

$$\text{Acceptance Prob} = P_x(E=1 | X=x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\right) \div \frac{K}{\frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}}$$

∴ Also, See the code
Finally

$$\Rightarrow \text{Acceptance-Prob} = \frac{1}{K}$$

for $K=2$

$$\text{Accept-Prob} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Question 4

(a) See the code for Simulation ...

(b) Empirical relative frequency achieved are :

State 1	State 2	State 3
---------	---------	---------

0.390	0.345	0.266
-------	-------	-------

(c) Relative frequency of final states

State 1	State 2	State 3
---------	---------	---------

0.374	0.352	0.274
-------	-------	-------

Since the overall distribution is stationary or time invariant, it does not matter which 't' are we considering ...

So the distribution that we are approximating is our target distribution or stationary distribution π

as

$x_1, x_2, x_3 \sim \pi \Rightarrow x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, x_{t+3} \sim \pi$
 so final-state 1 \sim final-state 2 \sim final-state 3 $\sim \pi$

②

We have a transition
Probability Matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & P_{23} \\ P_{31} & P_{32} & P_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$\pi = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3\}^T$ and $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ be state space 'S'
The stationary distribution π must satisfy the equations

$$\pi_1 P_{11} + \pi_2 P_{21} + \pi_3 P_{31} = \pi_1$$

$$\pi_1 P_{12} + \pi_2 P_{22} + \pi_3 P_{32} = \pi_2$$

$$\pi_1 P_{13} + \pi_2 P_{23} + \pi_3 P_{33} = \pi_3$$

which is equiv to

$$P^T \pi = \pi$$

$$\Rightarrow (P^T - \lambda I) \pi = 0$$

corresponding to $\lambda = 1$, So π must be in
eigenspace corresponding to eigenvalue

$$\boxed{\lambda = 1}$$

\therefore See the code for actual implementation / calculation

② Yes, π is well approximated frequencies in part b and c.

∴ See the code

⑤ The starting position does not matter if we choose $x_0=2$

If we repeat part a, b, c, e this time by $x_0=2$, we again get the same results as before.

It is quite intuitive following the properties of finite State Markov chain

∴ See the code

Q5...

$$y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \tau^2)$$

$$L_y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\tau^2)^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_i)^2}{2\tau^2}\right)$$

$$\text{Prior}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2) = \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^2}{2\tau_{\alpha}^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\beta^2}{2\tau_{\beta}^2}\right) (\tau^2)^{-\alpha-1}$$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{b}{\tau^2}\right)$$

$$\text{Joint} = L_y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2, \mu_i) \times \text{Prior}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2)$$

a conditional for α

$$\pi(\alpha | \beta, \gamma, \tau^2, y_i) = \frac{\pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2 | y_i)}{\pi(\gamma, \beta, \tau^2 | y_i)}$$

$$= \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_i)^2}{2\tau^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\tau_{\alpha}^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{(\alpha^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma_i)^2 - 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma_i))}{2\tau^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\tau_{\alpha}^2}\right)$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{-[\tau_a^2 \alpha^2 \tau_a^2 - 2\alpha \tau_a^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma^{u_i}) + \tau_a^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma^{u_i})^2]}{2\tau_a^2 \tau_a^2} \right)$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{-[\tau_a^2 (\tau_a^2 n + \tau^2) - 2\alpha \tau_a^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma^{u_i}) + \tau_a^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma^{u_i})^2]}{2\tau^2 \tau_a^2} \right)$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{-[\left(d - \left(\tau_a^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma^{u_i}) \right) \right)^2]}{\frac{2\tau^2 \tau_a^2}{\tau_a^2 n + \tau^2}} \right)$$

$$\sim N \left(\frac{\tau_a^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \beta \gamma^{u_i})}{\tau^2 + n \tau_a^2}, \frac{\tau^2 \tau_a^2}{\tau_a^2 n + \tau^2} \right)$$

(b) Conditional for β

$$\Pi(\beta | \alpha, \gamma, \tau^2, y_i) = L_\alpha(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau^2) \times \text{prior}(\beta)$$

$$= \exp \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i)^2}{2\tau^2} - \frac{\beta^2}{2\sigma_B^2} \right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i)^2 = \beta^2 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^{2m_i}} + 2\beta \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i) \gamma_i$$

put the values of $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i)^2$

$$= \exp \left(- \left[\beta^2 (\sigma_B^2 + \tau^2) + 2\beta \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^{2m_i}} \right] \right)$$

$$= \exp \left(- \left[\beta - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - y_i) \gamma_i^{2m_i}}{\tau^2 + \sigma_B^2} \right]^2 \right)$$

$$\sim N \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - y_i) \gamma_i^{2m_i}}{\sigma_B^2 + \tau^2}, \frac{\tau^2 \sigma_B^2}{\tau^2 + \sigma_B^2} \right)$$

\subseteq Conditional of γ

$$\Pi(\gamma | \alpha, B, \tau^2) = L_\gamma(\alpha, B, \gamma, \tau^2) \cdot p(\gamma)$$

$$= \frac{\exp \left(- \left[\beta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^{2u_i} + 2\beta \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^{u_i} (y_i - \alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha)^2 \right] \right)}{2\tau^2}$$

$$= \frac{\exp \left(- \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma^{u_i})^2 \beta^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^{u_i} (y_i - \alpha) \beta + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha)^2 \right] \right)}{2\tau^2}$$

\therefore We can't complete the square here. So we can't write it in Normal form, or any other exponential distribution.

d - Condition of τ^2

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\tau^2 | \alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= L_y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \gamma) \cdot P(\tau^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\tau^2)^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\gamma_i - \mu_i)^2}{\tau^2}\right) \times (\tau^2)^{-a-1} \\ &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{b}{\tau^2}\right) \\ &= (\tau^2)^{-a-n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \mu_i)^2 + 2b}{2\tau^2}\right) \\ &= (\tau^2)^{-a-n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \mu_i)^2 / 2}{2\tau^2}\right) \\ &\approx \text{IG}\left(a+n, \frac{2b + \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i - \mu_i)^2}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$