INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
SUSTAINABLE Building Technology and Urban Development

Critical views on AI (Artificial Intelligence) in building design

Choulwoong Kwon[1] and Yonghan Ahn[2*]

[1]Researcher, Innovative Durable Building and Infrastructure Research Center, Hanyang University ERICA, 55 Hanyangdaehak-ro, Sangrok-gu, Ansan, South Korea
[2]Professor, Department of Architectural Engineering, Hanyang University ERICA, Ansan, South Korea

*Corresponding author: yonghan77@gmail.com

A B S T R A C T

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in architecture and building design heralds a transformative era characterized by significant enhancements in efficiency, cost savings, and data-driven decision-making. However, this technological shift presents critical challenges that threaten to undermine the core principles of architectural practice. This paper critically examines the impact of AI on the architectural profession, focusing on three major concerns: the deprivation of human thinking, the loss of personal character in design, and the reduction of humans to simplistic beings. First, the paper explores how AI's reliance on pre-existing data and algorithms may stifle innovation, turning architects into mere operators who lack the deep understanding and creative problem-solving skills essential to their craft. Second, it addresses the potential loss of personal expression and artistic vision in architecture, as AI-generated designs tend to be homogenized and devoid of the unique perspectives that individual architects bring to their work. Lastly, the paper delves into the broader implications of overreliance on AI, warning that it could lead to a reduction in human cognitive abilities, reducing architects to simplistic beings driven by technology rather than creativity and intellect. The paper concludes by advocating for a balanced approach that leverages AI as a tool to enhance human creativity and decision-making without replacing it. By maintaining a human-centric approach to design, architects can ensure that AI enriches the built environment rather than diminishing the cultural and intellectual richness that defines human civilization. This balanced integration of AI in building design is crucial for preserving the essence of human intelligence and artistic expression in architecture.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI); architecture; building design; human creativity; innovation

Introduction

The advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in architecture and building design marks a significant shift in how structures are conceptualized and constructed. AI offers numerous potential benefits, including enhanced efficiency, cost savings, and the ability to analyze vast amounts of data quickly and accurately. These advancements make AI a highly attractive tool for modern architects and builders who are continually looking for ways to optimize their processes and create more sustainable, innovative, and effective designs [1]. However, this technological advancement is not without its significant drawbacks. The overreliance on AI in design threatens to deprive architects of critical thinking skills, personal expression, and reduce humans to mere automatons. This

essay explores these critical views, emphasizing the importance of maintaining a balance between technology and human creativity in architecture.

AI in architecture involves using algorithms and machine learning to automate and optimize various aspects of the design and construction process. From generating design alternatives to predicting structural performance and managing construction schedules, AI has the potential to revolutionize the way buildings are designed and built. One of the most significant advantages of AI is its ability to process and analyze large datasets, which can help architects make more informed decisions about materials, energy use, and spatial configurations. For instance, AI can quickly generate multiple design iterations based on specific parameters, allowing architects to explore a wide range of possibilities in a fraction of the time it would take manually.

The potential benefits of AI in architecture are substantial. By automating routine tasks and providing data-driven insights, AI can significantly enhance efficiency and productivity. This efficiency can translate into cost savings, as projects can be completed more quickly and with fewer errors. AI can also improve the sustainability of buildings by optimizing energy use and material selection, contributing to greener construction practices. Furthermore, AI can enhance safety on construction sites by predicting and mitigating risks, leading to fewer accidents and improved overall project outcomes.

However, while these benefits are compelling, they come with significant caveats. The integration of AI into the architectural process raises important questions about the role of human creativity and judgment. As AI systems become more sophisticated, there is a growing concern that they could overshadow the human elements of design, leading to a loss of personal expression and reducing architects to mere operators of technology.

The Deprivation of Human Thinking

Architecture is inherently a field that demands complex problem-solving and creative thinking. The process of designing buildings involves more than just technical knowledge; it necessitates a deep understanding of spatial relationships, aesthetics, and human needs. AI, however, tends to rely heavily on pre-existing data and algorithms to generate designs. This approach can limit true innovation, as AI systems typically operate within the confines of the data they have been trained on, thus failing to account for novel ideas and unconventional solutions.

The Nature of Architectural Problem-Solving

Architectural problem-solving is a multifaceted endeavor that requires a combination of technical proficiency, artistic vision, and practical knowledge. Architects must consider a wide range of factors, including structural integrity, functionality, aesthetics, and user experience. This complexity necessitates a deep understanding of both theoretical principles and practical applications. Architects often draw on their personal experiences, cultural backgrounds, and creative instincts to develop innovative solutions to design challenges.

In contrast, AI systems are inherently limited by their reliance on existing data and predefined algorithms. While they can generate designs based on specific parameters, they lack the intuitive understanding and creative flexibility that human architects possess. This limitation can result in designs that are technically proficient but lack the originality and innovation that come from human creativity.

AI's Reliance on Pre-existing Data

AI systems are trained on vast amounts of data, which they use to generate design solutions. While this

data-driven approach can be highly efficient, it also has significant limitations. AI systems can only work with the data they have been trained on, which means they are inherently constrained by the scope and quality of that data [2]. This reliance on pre-existing data can stifle innovation, as AI systems are more likely to reproduce existing design trends rather than develop new and original concepts.

Moreover, AI's reliance on data can lead to a homogenization of design. Since AI systems are trained on similar datasets, they are likely to generate similar design solutions [2]. This can result in a lack of diversity and creativity in architectural design, as AI-generated designs tend to conform to established patterns and norms. This homogenization can be particularly problematic in the field of architecture, where innovation and uniqueness are highly valued.

The Role of Architects as Operators

As AI systems become more prevalent in architecture, there is a growing concern that architects may become mere operators of technology, rather than active participants in the design process. This shift could lead to a decline in the critical thinking and problem-solving skills that are essential to the profession. Architects who rely heavily on AI-generated recommendations may lose their ability to think creatively and develop innovative solutions independently [3].

Furthermore, the use of AI in architecture could lead to a deskilling of the profession. As AI systems take over more aspects of the design process, architects may become less proficient in the technical and creative skills that are essential to their work. This deskilling could have long-term implications for the profession, as architects become increasingly dependent on technology and less capable of performing their roles independently.

The Loss of Personal Character

Architecture has always been a medium for personal expression and artistic vision. Each architect brings their unique perspective and life experiences to their work, infusing their designs with individuality and cultural significance. AI, on the other hand, lacks the ability to capture these nuances. It can generate designs that are technically sound and aesthetically pleasing, but they often lack the personal touch that distinguishes one architect's work from another's.

Architecture as Personal Expression

Architecture is not just about constructing buildings; it is about creating spaces that reflect the values, beliefs, and experiences of the people who design them. Each architect has a unique approach to design, influenced by their personal background, education, and cultural context. This individuality is what makes architecture a rich and diverse field, where each building tells a unique story [4].

For example, renowned architects such as Frank Lloyd Wright, Zaha Hadid, and Le Corbusier are celebrated not just for their technical proficiency, but for their distinct artistic visions and innovative approaches to design. Their works are imbued with personal expression and creativity, reflecting their unique perspectives and contributions to the field of architecture. AI, with its reliance on algorithms and data, lacks the ability to replicate this level of personal expression and artistic vision.

The Homogenization of Design

One of the significant risks of integrating AI into the architectural process is the potential for homogenization of design. Since AI systems are trained on existing data, they are more likely to reproduce established design trends and patterns rather than

develop new and original concepts. This can lead to a lack of diversity and creativity in architectural design, as AI-generated designs tend to conform to existing norms and standards.

The homogenization of design can have significant implications for the built environment. Buildings that are designed using AI may lack the unique characteristics and cultural significance that come from human creativity and personal expression [5]. This can result in a built environment that is monotonous and lacking in diversity, failing to reflect the rich tapestry of human experiences and cultural backgrounds.

The Importance of Cultural Richness

Architecture is a reflection of human civilization and cultural richness. Each building tells a story about the people who designed and built it, as well as the cultural context in which it was created. This cultural richness is an essential aspect of architecture, contributing to the diversity and vibrancy of the built environment.

AI, with its reliance on algorithms and data, lacks the ability to capture the cultural nuances and personal experiences that make each architectural design unique. This limitation can result in a loss of cultural richness and diversity in the built environment, as AI-generated designs tend to be more standardized and less reflective of the unique characteristics of different cultures and communities.

The Reduction of Humans to Simplistic Beings

Architecture is more than just the construction of buildings; it is a reflection of human civilization and intellectual progress. The overreliance on AI poses the risk of humans abandoning their critical thinking and problem-solving skills. As AI takes over more aspects

of the design process, humans may become overly dependent on technology, losing their ability to engage in complex cognitive tasks.

Architecture as a Reflection of Human Civilization

Architecture has always been a reflection of human civilization and intellectual progress. From the grandeur of ancient Egyptian pyramids to the innovative designs of modern skyscrapers, architecture tells the story of human achievement and creativity. Each architectural style reflects the cultural, technological, and intellectual context of its time, showcasing the ingenuity and progress of human society.

The use of AI in architecture has the potential to change this dynamic. As AI systems take over more aspects of the design process, there is a risk that architecture may become more standardized and less reflective of the unique characteristics of different cultures and historical periods. This standardization could result in a built environment that lacks the diversity and richness that comes from human creativity and intellectual progress.

The Risk of Over-Reliance on AI

The overreliance on AI in architecture could lead to a decline in critical thinking and problem-solving skills among architects. As AI systems become more sophisticated and capable of generating complex designs, there is a risk that architects may become overly dependent on technology, losing their ability to think creatively and develop innovative solutions independently.

This dependency could have significant implications for the profession. Architects who rely heavily on AI-generated recommendations may become less proficient in the technical and creative skills that are essential to their work. This deskilling could lead to a

decline in the overall quality of architectural design, as architects become less capable of performing their roles independently [6].

The Reduction of Humans to Simplistic Beings

As humans become more reliant on AI, there is a risk that they may lose their ability to engage in complex cognitive tasks and critical thinking. This reduction in intellectual engagement and creativity could lead to a society where humans are driven solely by basic instincts and devoid of higher cognitive abilities. In such a scenario, architects and designers might operate like automatons, executing tasks based on AI-generated instructions without fully understanding the rationale behind them.

This reduction in intellectual engagement could have significant implications for the built environment. Buildings designed by AI may lack the depth and complexity that come from human creativity and critical thinking. This could result in a built environment that is functional but devoid of the cultural richness and intellectual depth that characterize human civilization.

Striking a Balance

To mitigate these risks, it is crucial to strike a balance between embracing technology and preserving human agency and creativity. AI should be utilized as a tool to enhance, rather than replace, the work of human architects. By integrating AI into the design process thoughtfully, architects can leverage its capabilities to handle repetitive tasks and data analysis, freeing up more time for creative and strategic thinking.

Embracing Technology While Preserving Human Agency

The key to successfully integrating AI into architecture is to use it as a tool that enhances human creativity and decision-making, rather than replacing it. AI can be highly effective in handling routine tasks and analyzing large datasets, allowing architects to focus on the more creative and strategic aspects of design. By leveraging AI in this way, architects can enhance their efficiency and productivity without sacrificing their creative and intellectual skills.

For example, AI can be used to generate multiple design iterations based on specific parameters, allowing architects to explore a wide range of possibilities quickly and efficiently. This can help architects identify innovative solutions and make more informed decisions about materials, spatial configurations, and energy use. However, it is essential that architects remain actively involved in the design process, using their creativity and judgment to evaluate and refine AI-generated designs.

Maintaining a Human-Centric Approach to Design

A human-centric approach to design is essential for preserving the cultural richness and diversity of the built environment. This approach involves using AI as a tool to enhance human creativity and decision-making, rather than replacing it. By maintaining a human-centric approach, architects can ensure that their designs reflect the unique characteristics and cultural significance of the communities they serve.

This approach also involves actively engaging with AI-generated recommendations and infusing them with personal creativity and cultural context. By doing so, architects can create designs that are both innovative and reflective of the unique characteristics of different cultures and communities. This balance is essential for fostering an architecture that reflects the full spectrum of human experience and creativity.

Potential Solutions for Integrating AI in Architecture

There are several potential solutions for integrating AI into architecture in a way that preserves human creativity and agency. One approach is to use AI as a collaborative tool, where architects work alongside AI systems to develop and refine design concepts. This collaborative approach allows architects to leverage the strengths of AI while maintaining control over the creative and decision-making aspects of the design process.

Another approach is to develop AI systems that are designed to enhance, rather than replace, human creativity. These systems can be programmed to generate design alternatives based on specific parameters, while allowing architects to evaluate and refine these alternatives based on their creative and cultural context. By developing AI systems that support and enhance human creativity, architects can ensure that their designs reflect the unique characteristics and cultural significance of the communities they serve.

The Importance of Education and Training

Education and training are essential for preparing architects to work effectively with AI. As AI systems become more prevalent in architecture, it is essential that architects are trained to understand and work with these technologies. This training should include not only technical skills but also an understanding of the ethical and cultural implications of AI in architecture.

By providing architects with the education and training they need to work effectively with AI, we can ensure that they are equipped to leverage the strengths of these technologies while maintaining their creative and intellectual skills. This training can help architects develop the skills they need to critically evaluate AI-generated recommendations and infuse them with personal creativity and cultural context.

Conclusion

In summary, while AI offers significant benefits in building design, it is essential to critically evaluate its impact on the intellectual and creative dimensions of architecture. The deprivation of human thinking skills, the loss of personal character in design, and the reduction of humans to simplistic beings are significant risks associated with an overreliance on AI. Preserving the essence of human intelligence and expression in architecture is necessary to maintain the richness and diversity of our built environment. A responsible and thoughtful integration of AI in building design can help ensure that technology enhances, rather than diminishes, the human contribution to architecture.

To preserve human creativity and intelligence in architecture, it is essential to strike a balance between embracing technology and maintaining human agency and creativity. This balance involves using AI as a tool to enhance, rather than replace, the work of human architects. By leveraging AI in a way that supports and enhances human creativity, architects can ensure that their designs reflect the unique characteristics and cultural significance of the communities they serve.

The responsible integration of AI in architecture requires a thoughtful and deliberate approach. This approach involves developing AI systems that support and enhance human creativity, providing architects with the education and training they need to work effectively with these technologies, and maintaining a human-centric approach to design. By taking these steps, we can ensure that AI enhances, rather than diminishes, the human contribution to architecture. Also, the future of architecture in the age of AI holds great promise, but it also requires careful consideration of the ethical and cultural implications of these technologies. By embracing AI in a way that preserves human creativity and intelligence, architects can create

designs that are innovative, sustainable, and reflective of the diverse cultural and intellectual heritage of our society. This balance is essential for fostering an architecture that reflects the full spectrum of human experience and creativity, ensuring that the built environment remains a vibrant and diverse reflection of human civilization.

References

[1] E. Zeytin, K.Ö. Kösenciğ, and D. Öner, *The Role of AI Design Assistance on the Architectural Design Process: An Empirical Research with Novice Designers*. Journal of Computational Design. 5(1) (2024), pp. 1-30.

[2] M. Yin, S. Jiang, and X. Niu, *Can AI really help? The double-edged sword effect of AI assistant on employees' innovation behavior*. Computers in Human Behavior. 150 (2024), 107987.

[3] N. Anantrasirichai and D. Bull, *Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: a review*. Artificial Intelligence Review, 55(1) (2022), pp. 589-656.

[4] C. Mirarchi, A. Pavan, B. Di Martino, and A. Esposito, *Impact of Industry 4.0 in Architecture and Cultural Heritage: Artificial Intelligence and Semantic Web Technologies to Empower Interoperability and Data Usage*. In Research Anthology on Cross-Industry Challenges of Industry 4.0 (pp. 1397-1421). IGI Global. (2021).

[5] A.K. Noor, *AI and the Future of the Machine Design*. Mechanical Engineering, 139(10) (2017), pp. 38-43.

[6] C.E. Shalley and L.L. Gilson, *Creativity and the management of technology: Balancing creativity and standardization*. Production and Operations Management. 26(4) (2017), pp. 605-616.