Optimization workshop

Sicheng He, Daning Huang

MTech, Umich Aero

February 22, 2017

Why optimization?

For Aerospace and Mechanical industry...

- Optimize aircraft to give good fuel burn saving MDOlab work
- Optimize the automobile to give stiff structure
- ...

Introduction

Method:

Gradient based optimization

Contents:

- Basic:
 - Unconstrained optimization
 - Constrained optimization
- Advanced:
 - KS aggregation
 - Fortran wrapper

Basic: Unconstrained optimization

Solve for the optimality condition with a quadratic approximation

Problem setup:

$$\min f(x)$$

$$s t x \in X$$

3.1. X C /

$$\nabla f(x) = 0$$

The optimality condition for the unconstrained optimization problem is:

To solve it, we construct a quadratic approximation \bar{f} of the function of f and optimize the g,

$$\bar{f}(x) := f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)(x - x_k) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_k)^T H(x_k)(x - x_k)$$

Then the optimality condition can be written as,

$$\nabla f(x) = 0 \approx \nabla \bar{f}(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x_k) = H(x_k)(x - x_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta x = H^{-1}(x_k) \nabla f(x_k), x_{k+1} = \alpha_k \Delta x + x_k$$
(1)

What info you need to give for an optimizer

Recall (1):

$$\nabla f(x) = 0 \approx \nabla \bar{f}(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x_k) = H(x_k)(x - x_k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta x = H^{-1}(x_k)\nabla f(x_k), x_{k+1} = \alpha_k \Delta x + x_k$$

To solve an optimization problem we generally need to give it:

- initial point: x₀
- original function: f(x) (helps to determine the step size)
- function helps to calculate the gradient $\nabla f(x_k)$
- function helps to calculate the Hessian? (too expensive, we will use low order updates instead – Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) method)

More thorough treatment: Prof.Epelman's note

Basic: Constrained optimization

Optimality condition for constrained optimization

For the constrained optimization, we use the SLSQP (Sequential Least Square Quadratic Programming) method. The optimization problem is,

min
$$f(x)$$

s.t. $c(x) = 0$
 $g(x) \le 0$

The optimal condition (necessary not sufficient) is enforced through the Karush–Kuhn–Tucker (KKT) conditions:

$$\nabla_{x}L(x^{*},\lambda^{*},\nu^{*}) = 0$$

$$c(x^{*}) = 0$$

$$g(x^{*}) \leq 0$$

$$\lambda^{*T}g(x^{*}) = 0$$

$$\lambda^{*} \geq 0$$

where $L(x, \lambda, \nu) := f(x^*) + \nu^{*T} c(x^*) + \lambda^{*T} g(x^*)$ is the Lagrangian of the problem.

Solving for KKT condition with Newton method 1

Linearize KKT condition, we have,

$$\nabla^{2}L(x_{k}, \lambda_{k}, \nu_{k})\Delta x + \nabla c(x_{k})\Delta \nu + \nabla g(x_{k})\Delta \lambda = -\nabla L(x_{k}, \lambda_{k}, \nu_{k})$$

$$\nabla c(x_{k})^{T}\Delta x = -c(x_{k})$$

$$\nabla g(x_{k})^{T}\Delta x \leq -g(x_{k})$$

$$g(x_{k})^{T}\Delta \lambda + \lambda_{k}^{T}\nabla g(x_{k})\Delta x = -\lambda_{k}g(x_{k})$$

$$\Delta \lambda \geq -\lambda_{k}$$

Rewrite it in matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla^2 L(x_k, \lambda_k, \nu_k) & \nabla c(x_k) & \nabla g(x_k) \\ \nabla c(x_k)^T & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda_k^T \nabla g(x_k) & g(x_k)^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta \lambda \\ \Delta \nu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla L(x_k, \lambda_k, \nu_k) \\ -c(x_k) \\ -\lambda_k g(x_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

Solving for KKT condition with Newton method 2

Solving the following problem will give a d^* equals Δx in the previous problem. And the following problem is actually what is solved in a optimizer.

min
$$f(x_k) + \nabla f(x_k)^T d + \frac{1}{2} d^T \nabla^2 L(x_k, \lambda_k, \nu_k) d$$

s.t. $c(x_k) + \nabla c(x_k)^T d = 0$
 $g(x_k) + \nabla g(x_k)^T d \le 0$

Advanced: KS aggregation

KS aggregation

Sometimes we will have so many constraints, it will make optimization slow. There is one clever trick to deal with it:

For optimization problem with the following constraints,

$$g_j \leq 0, j \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$$

It can be approximated as:

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^N e^{\rho g_i}\right) \leq 0, \rho \to \infty$$

Why? As $\rho \to \infty$, the part in the bracket in the LHS goes to $e^{\rho g_j(x)}$ where $j = argmax_i g_i(x)$. So we have,

$$rac{1}{
ho} \log(e^{
ho g_j}) pprox g_j \leq 0, j = argmax_i g_i(x),
ho
ightarrow \infty$$

KS aggregation: Implementation suggestion

It is better to scale the constraint in case of large number overflow,

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\rho g_i} \right) = \frac{1}{\rho} \log \left(e^{\rho g_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\rho(g_i - g_j)} \right) \right)$$

$$= g_j + \frac{1}{\rho} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\rho(g_i - g_j)} \right), j = \operatorname{argmax}_i g_i(x)$$

So finally we use:

$$g_j + \frac{1}{\rho} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^N e^{\rho(g_i - g_j)} \right), j = argmax_i g_i(x)$$

to substitute the large number of variables.

Advanced: f2py

f2py: Calling Fortran through Python

Motivation

Python is easy to use, but slow. Fortran is fast but harder to use. So how about combining them?!

Procedures

Write a Fortran code; compile it with f2py to a module which can be imported by python; use python call it!