# **Logistic Regression**

In this exercise, you will implement logistic regression and apply it to two different datasets.

# **Outline**

- 1 Packages
- 2 Logistic Regression
  - 2.1 Problem Statement
  - 2.2 Loading and visualizing the data
  - 2.3 Sigmoid function
  - 2.4 Cost function for logistic regression
  - 2.5 Gradient for logistic regression
  - 2.6 Learning parameters using gradient descent
  - 2.7 Plotting the decision boundary
  - 2.8 Evaluating logistic regression
- 3 Regularized Logistic Regression
  - 3.1 Problem Statement
  - 3.2 Loading and visualizing the data
  - 3.3 Feature mapping
  - 3.4 Cost function for regularized logistic regression
  - 3.5 Gradient for regularized logistic regression
  - 3.6 Learning parameters using gradient descent
  - 3.7 Plotting the decision boundary
  - 3.8 Evaluating regularized logistic regression model

# 1 - Packages

First, let's run the cell below to import all the packages that you will need during this assignment.

- <u>numpy (www.numpy.org)</u> is the fundamental package for scientific computing with Python.
- <u>matplotlib (http://matplotlib.org)</u> is a famous library to plot graphs in Python.
- utils.py contains helper functions for this assignment. You do not need to modify code in this file.

```
In [1]: import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from utils import *
import copy
import math
%matplotlib inline
```

# 2 - Logistic Regression

In this part of the exercise, you will build a logistic regression model to predict whether a student gets admitted into a university.

### 2.1 Problem Statement

Suppose that you are the administrator of a university department and you want to determine each applicant's chance of admission based on their results on two exams.

- You have historical data from previous applicants that you can use as a training set for logistic regression.
- For each training example, you have the applicant's scores on two exams and the admissions decision.
- Your task is to build a classification model that estimates an applicant's probability of admission based on the scores from those two exams.

# 2.2 Loading and visualizing the data

You will start by loading the dataset for this task.

- The load\_dataset() function shown below loads the data into variables X\_train and y\_train
  - X train contains exam scores on two exams for a student
  - y\_train is the admission decision
    - y train = 1 if the student was admitted
    - y\_train = 0 if the student was not admitted
  - Both X\_train and y\_train are numpy arrays.

```
In [2]: # load dataset
X_train, y_train = load_data("data/ex2data1.txt")
```

#### View the variables

Let's get more familiar with your dataset.

A good place to start is to just print out each variable and see what it contains.

The code below prints the first five values of X\_train and the type of the variable.

```
In [3]: print("First five elements in X_train are:\n", X_train[:5])
print("Type of X_train:",type(X_train))

First five elements in X_train are:
    [[34.62365962 78.02469282]
    [30.28671077 43.89499752]
    [35.84740877 72.90219803]
    [60.18259939 86.3085521 ]
    [79.03273605 75.34437644]]
Type of X_train: <class 'numpy.ndarray'>
```

Now print the first five values of y\_train

```
In [4]: print("First five elements in y_train are:\n", y_train[:5])
print("Type of y_train:",type(y_train))

First five elements in y_train are:
    [0. 0. 0. 1. 1.]
    Type of y_train: <class 'numpy.ndarray'>
```

#### Check the dimensions of your variables

Another useful way to get familiar with your data is to view its dimensions. Let's print the shape of X\_train and y\_train and see how many training examples we have in our dataset.

```
In [5]: print ('The shape of X_train is: ' + str(X_train.shape))
print ('The shape of y_train is: ' + str(y_train.shape))
print ('We have m = %d training examples' % (len(y_train)))

The shape of X_train is: (100, 2)
The shape of y_train is: (100,)
We have m = 100 training examples
```

### Visualize your data

Before starting to implement any learning algorithm, it is always good to visualize the data if possible.

- The code below displays the data on a 2D plot (as shown below), where the axes are the two exam scores, and the positive and negative examples are shown with different markers.
- We use a helper function in the utils.py file to generate this plot.





Figure 1: Scatter plot of training data

```
In [6]: # Plot examples
plot_data(X_train, y_train[:], pos_label="Admitted", neg_label="Not

# Set the y-axis label
plt.ylabel('Exam 2 score')
# Set the x-axis label
plt.xlabel('Exam 1 score')
plt.legend(loc="upper right")
plt.show()
```



Your goal is to build a logistic regression model to fit this data.

• With this model, you can then predict if a new student will be admitted based on their scores on the two exams.

# 2.3 Sigmoid function

Recall that for logistic regression, the model is represented as

$$f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} + b)$$

where function g is the sigmoid function. The sigmoid function is defined as:

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

Let's implement the sigmoid function first, so it can be used by the rest of this assignment.

### **Exercise 1**

Please complete the sigmoid function to calculate

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

Note that

- z is not always a single number, but can also be an array of numbers.
- If the input is an array of numbers, we'd like to apply the sigmoid function to each value in the input array.

If you get stuck, you can check out the hints presented after the cell below to help you with the implementation.

### **▼** Click for hints

numpy has a function called  $\underline{np.exp()}$  (https://numpy.org/doc/stable/reference/generated/numpy.exp.html), which offers a convinient way to calculate the exponential ( $e^z$ ) of all elements in the input array (z).

#### **▼ Click for more hints**

- You can translate  $e^{-z}$  into code as np.exp(-z)
- You can translate  $1/e^{-z}$  into code as 1/np.exp(-z)

If you're still stuck, you can check the hints presented below to figure out how to calculate q

```
▼ Hint to calculate g
g = 1 / (1 + np.exp(-z))
```

When you are finished, try testing a few values by calling sigmoid(x) in the cell below.

- For large positive values of x, the sigmoid should be close to 1, while for large negative values, the sigmoid should be close to 0.
- Evaluating sigmoid(0) should give you exactly 0.5.

```
In [13]: print ("sigmoid(0) = " + str(sigmoid(0)))

sigmoid(0) = 0.5
```

### **Expected Output:**

#### **sigmoid(0)** 0.5

• As mentioned before, your code should also work with vectors and matrices. For a matrix, your function should perform the sigmoid function on every element.

### **Expected Output:**

**sigmoid([-1, 0, 1, 2])** [0.26894142 0.5 0.73105858 0.88079708]

## 2.4 Cost function for logistic regression

In this section, you will implement the cost function for logistic regression.

### **Exercise 2**

Please complete the compute\_cost function using the equations below.

Recall that for logistic regression, the cost function is of the form

$$J(\mathbf{w}, b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[ loss(f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), y^{(i)}) \right]$$
(1)

where

- m is the number of training examples in the dataset
- $loss(f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), y^{(i)})$  is the cost for a single data point, which is -

$$loss(f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), y^{(i)}) = (-y^{(i)}\log(f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) - (1 - y^{(i)})\log(1 - f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

- $f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$  is the model's prediction, while  $y^{(i)}$ , which is the actual label
- $f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = g(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b)$  where function g is the sigmoid function.
  - It might be helpful to first calculate an intermediate variable  $z_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b = w_0 x_0^{(i)} + \ldots + w_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{(i)} + b \text{ where } n \text{ is the number of features, before calculating } f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = g(z_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$

#### Note:

- As you are doing this, remember that the variables  $X_{train}$  and  $y_{train}$  are not scalar values but matrices of shape (m, n) and (m, 1) respectively, where n is the number of features and m is the number of training examples.
- You can use the sigmoid function that you implemented above for this part.

If you get stuck, you can check out the hints presented after the cell below to help you with the implementation.

```
In [19]: # UNQ_C2
         # GRADED FUNCTION: compute_cost
         def compute_cost(X, y, w, b, lambda_= 1):
             Computes the cost over all examples
             Args:
               X : (ndarray Shape (m,n)) data, m examples by n features
               y : (array_like Shape (m,)) target value
               w : (array_like Shape (n,)) Values of parameters of the model
               b : scalar Values of bias parameter of the model
               lambda : unused placeholder
             Returns:
               total_cost: (scalar)
                                            cost
             m, n = X.shape
             ### START CODE HERE ###
             y_hat=sigmoid(np.dot(w,X.T)+b)
             total_cost = -1/m * np.sum(y*np.log(y_hat) + (1-y)*np.log(1 - y)
             ### END CODE HERE ###
             return total cost
```

### **▼ Click for hints**

• You can represent a summation operator eg:  $h = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 2i$  in code as follows:

```
h = 0
for i in range(m):
    h = h + 2*i
```

- In this case, you can iterate over all the examples in X using a for loop and add the loss from each iteration to a variable (loss\_sum) initialized outside the loop.
- Then, you can return the total\_cost as loss\_sum divided by m.
  - **▼** Click for more hints
    - Here's how you can structure the overall implementation for this function

```
def compute_cost(X, y, w, b, lambda = 1):
 m, n = X.shape
 ### START CODE HERE ###
 loss sum = 0
 # Loop over each training example
 for i in range(m):
     # First calculate z_wb = w[0]*X[i][0]+...+w[n-1]
]*X[i][n-1]+b
     z wb = 0
     # Loop over each feature
     for j in range(n):
         # Add the corresponding term to z_wb
         z_wb_ij = # Your code here to calculate w[j
1 * X[i][j]
         z_wb += z_wb_ij # equivalent to z_wb = z_wb
+ z_wb_ij
     # Add the bias term to z_wb
     z_wb += b \# equivalent to z_wb = z_wb + b
     f_wb = # Your code here to calculate prediction
f_wb for a training example
     loss = # Your code here to calculate loss for
a training example
     loss_sum += loss # equivalent to loss_sum = los
s sum + loss
 total_cost = (1 / m) * loss_sum
 ### END CODE HERE ###
 return total_cost
```

If you're still stuck, you can check the hints presented below to figure out how to calculate  $z_{wb_ij}$ ,  $f_{wb}$  and cost.

```
▼ Hint to calculate z_wb_ij
```

 $z_wb_i = w[j]*X[i][j]$ 

**▼** Hint to calculate f wb

 $f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) = g(z_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$  where g is the sigmoid function. You can simply call the 'sigmoid' function implemented above.

▼ More hints to calculate f

You can compute  $f_wb$  as  $f_wb = sigmoid(z_wb)$ 

**▼** Hint to calculate loss

You can use the np.log

(https://numpy.org/doc/stable/reference/generated/numpy.log.html) function to calculate the log

More hints to calculate loss

Run the cells below to check your implementation of the <code>compute\_cost</code> function with two different initializations of the parameters  $\boldsymbol{w}$ 

```
In [20]: m, n = X_train.shape

# Compute and display cost with w initialized to zeroes
initial_w = np.zeros(n)
initial_b = 0.
cost = compute_cost(X_train, y_train, initial_w, initial_b)
print('Cost at initial w (zeros): {:.3f}'.format(cost))
Cost at initial w (zeros): 0.693
```

# Expected Output:

#### Cost at initial w (zeros) 0.693

```
In [21]: # Compute and display cost with non-zero w
    test_w = np.array([0.2, 0.2])
    test_b = -24.
    cost = compute_cost(X_train, y_train, test_w, test_b)

print('Cost at test w,b: {:.3f}'.format(cost))

# UNIT TESTS
    compute_cost_test(compute_cost)
```

Cost at test w,b: 0.218 All tests passed!

#### **Expected Output:**

Cost at test w,b 0.218

## 2.5 Gradient for logistic regression

In this section, you will implement the gradient for logistic regression.

Recall that the gradient descent algorithm is:

repeat until convergence: {
$$b := b - \alpha \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial b}$$

$$w_j := w_j - \alpha \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial w_j} \qquad \text{for } j := 0..n-1$$
}

where, parameters b,  $w_i$  are all updated simultaniously

### Exercise 3

Please complete the compute\_gradient function to compute  $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w},b)}{\partial w}$ ,  $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w},b)}{\partial b}$  from equations (2) and (3) below.

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$
 (2)

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial w_j} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$
(3)

- · m is the number of training examples in the dataset
- $f_{\mathbf{w},b}(x^{(i)})$  is the model's prediction, while  $y^{(i)}$  is the actual label
- Note: While this gradient looks identical to the linear regression gradient, the formula is actually different because linear and logistic regression have different definitions of  $f_{\mathbf{w},b}(x)$ .

As before, you can use the sigmoid function that you implemented above and if you get stuck, you can check out the hints presented after the cell below to help you with the implementation.

```
In [27]: # UNQ_C3
         # GRADED FUNCTION: compute gradient
         def compute_gradient(X, y, w, b, lambda_=None):
             Computes the gradient for logistic regression
             Args:
               X : (ndarray Shape (m,n)) variable such as house size
               y : (array_like Shape (m,1)) actual value
               w : (array like Shape (n,1)) values of parameters of the mode
               b : (scalar)
                                             value of parameter of the model
               lambda_: unused placeholder.
             Returns
               dj_dw: (array_like Shape (n,1)) The gradient of the cost w.r.
               di db: (scalar)
                                               The gradient of the cost w.r.t
             m, n = X.shape
             dj_dw = np.zeros(w.shape)
             dj_db = 0.
             ### START CODE HERE ###
             #for i in range(m):
                 \#z \ wb = None
                 #for j in range(n):
                      z_wb += None
                 #z wb += None
                 #f_wb = compute_cost(X, y, w, b, lambda_)
                 #di db i = None
                 \#di db += di db i
                 #for j in range(n):
                      dj_dw[j] = None
             y_hat=sigmoid(np.dot(w,X.T)+b)
             dj_db = 1/m * np_sum(y_hat-y)
             dj_dw = 1/m * np_dot((y_hat-y),X)
             ### END CODE HERE ###
             return dj_db, dj_dw
```

### **▼** Click for hints

Here's how you can structure the overall implementation for this function

```
def compute_gradient(X, y, w, b, lambda_=None):
          m, n = X.shape
          dj_dw = np.zeros(w.shape)
          dj_db = 0.
          ### START CODE HERE ###
          for i in range(m):
              # Calculate f_wb (exactly as you did in th
e compute_cost function above)
              f_wb =
              # Calculate the gradient for b from this
example
              dj_db_i = # Your code here to calculate th
e error
              # add that to dj_db
              dj_db += dj_db_i
              # get dj_dw for each attribute
              for j in range(n):
                  # You code here to calculate the gradi
ent from the i-th example for j-th attribute
                  dj_dw_ij =
                  dj_dw[j] += dj_dw_ij
          # divide dj_db and dj_dw by total number of ex
amples
          dj_dw = dj_dw / m
          dj_db = dj_db / m
          ### END CODE HERE ###
          return dj db, dj dw
```

If you're still stuck, you can check the hints presented below to figure out how to calculate f\_wb, dj\_db\_i and dj\_dw\_ij

#### **▼** Hint to calculate f wb

Recall that you calculated f\_wb in compute\_cost above — for detailed hints on how to calculate each intermediate term, check out the hints section below that exercise

#### More hints to calculate f wb

You can calculate f\_wb as

```
for i in range(m):
                     # Calculate f_wb (exactly how you did i
   t in the compute cost function above)
                     z_wb = 0
                     # Loop over each feature
                     for j in range(n):
                         # Add the corresponding term to z_w
   b
                         z_{wb_ij} = X[i, j] * w[j]
                         z_wb += z_wb_ij
                    # Add bias term
                    z wb += b
                    # Calculate the prediction from the
       model
                    f_wb = sigmoid(z_wb)
▼ Hint to calculate dj db i
```

You can calculate di db i as di db i = f wb - y[i]

**▼** Hint to calculate dj\_dw\_ij

You can calculate di dw ii as di dw ii = (f wb - y[i]) \* X[i][i]

Run the cells below to check your implementation of the compute\_gradient function with two different initializations of the parameters w

```
In [28]: # Compute and display gradient with w initialized to zeroes
         initial_w = np.zeros(n)
         initial b = 0.
         dj_db, dj_dw = compute_gradient(X_train, y_train, initial_w, initial
         print(f'dj db at initial w (zeros):{dj db}' )
         print(f'dj_dw at initial w (zeros):{dj_dw.tolist()}' )
         dj_db at initial w (zeros):-0.1
         dj_dw at initial w (zeros):[-12.009216589291153, -11.2628422055135
         93]
```

### **Expected Output:**

```
dj_db at initial w (zeros)
                                                                     -0.1
ddj_dw at initial w (zeros): [-12.00921658929115, -11.262842205513591]
```

```
In [29]: # Compute and display cost and gradient with non-zero w
test_w = np.array([ 0.2, -0.5])
test_b = -24
dj_db, dj_dw = compute_gradient(X_train, y_train, test_w, test_b)

print('dj_db at test_w:', dj_db)
print('dj_dw at test_w:', dj_dw.tolist())

# UNIT TESTS
compute_gradient_test(compute_gradient)
```

```
dj_db at test_w: -0.599999999991071
dj_dw at test_w: [-44.83135361787378, -44.37384124953979]
All tests passed!
```

#### **Expected Output:**

```
dj_db at initial w (zeros) -0.599999999991071 ddj_dw at initial w (zeros): [-44.8313536178737957, -44.37384124953978]
```

## 2.6 Learning parameters using gradient descent

Similar to the previous assignment, you will now find the optimal parameters of a logistic regression model by using gradient descent.

- You don't need to implement anything for this part. Simply run the cells below.
- A good way to verify that gradient descent is working correctly is to look at the value of  $J(\mathbf{w}, b)$  and check that it is decreasing with each step.
- Assuming you have implemented the gradient and computed the cost correctly, your value of  $J(\mathbf{w}, b)$  should never increase, and should converge to a steady value by the end of the algorithm.

```
In [30]: def gradient_descent(X, y, w_in, b_in, cost_function, gradient_func
             Performs batch gradient descent to learn theta. Updates theta b
             num_iters gradient steps with learning rate alpha
             Args:
                      (array_like Shape (m, n)
               X :
                     (array_like Shape (m,))
               y :
               w_in : (array_like Shape (n,)) Initial values of parameters
               b in : (scalar)
                                               Initial value of parameter of
               cost function:
                                               function to compute cost
               alpha : (float)
                                               Learning rate
               num_iters : (int)
                                               number of iterations to run g
               lambda_ (scalar, float)
                                               regularization constant
             Returns:
               w : (array_like Shape (n,)) Updated values of parameters of t
                   running gradient descent
               b : (scalar)
                                           Updated value of parameter of the
                   running gradient descent
             # number of training examples
             m = len(X)
             # An array to store cost J and w's at each iteration primarily
             J_history = []
             w_history = []
             for i in range(num iters):
                 # Calculate the gradient and update the parameters
                 dj_db, dj_dw = gradient_function(X, y, w_in, b_in, lambda_)
                 # Update Parameters using w, b, alpha and gradient
                 w_in = w_in - alpha * dj_dw
                 b_in = b_in - alpha * dj_db
                 # Save cost J at each iteration
                 if i<100000:
                                   # prevent resource exhaustion
                     cost = cost_function(X, y, w_in, b_in, lambda_)
                     J_history.append(cost)
                 # Print cost every at intervals 10 times or as many iterati
                 if i% math.ceil(num_iters/10) == 0 or i == (num_iters-1):
                     w_history.append(w_in)
                     print(f"Iteration {i:4}: Cost {float(J_history[-1]):8.2
             return w_in, b_in, J_history, w_history #return w and J,w histo
```

Now let's run the gradient descent algorithm above to learn the parameters for our dataset.

#### Note

The code block below takes a couple of minutes to run, especially with a non-vectorized version. You can reduce the iterations to test your implementation and iterate faster. If you have time, try running 100,000 iterations for better results.

```
In [31]:
         np.random.seed(1)
         intial_w = 0.01 * (np.random.rand(2).reshape(-1,1) - 0.5)
         initial b = -8
         # Some gradient descent settings
         iterations = 10000
         alpha = 0.001
         w,b, J_history,_ = gradient_descent(X_train ,y_train, initial_w, in
                                             compute_cost, compute_gradient,
         Iteration
                       0: Cost
                                   1.01
         Iteration 1000: Cost
                                   0.31
         Iteration 2000: Cost
                                   0.30
         Iteration 3000: Cost
                                   0.30
                                   0.30
         Iteration 4000: Cost
         Iteration 5000: Cost
                                   0.30
         Iteration 6000: Cost
                                   0.30
         Iteration 7000: Cost
                                   0.30
                                   0.30
         Iteration 8000: Cost
         Iteration 9000: Cost
                                   0.30
         Iteration 9999: Cost
                                   0.30
```

### **▼** Expected Output: Cost 0.30, (Click to see details):

```
# With the following settings
np.random.seed(1)
intial_w = 0.01 * (np.random.rand(2).reshape(-1,1) - 0.5)
initial_b = -8
iterations = 10000
alpha = 0.001
#
                         1.01
Iteration
             0: Cost
                         0.31
Iteration 1000: Cost
Iteration 2000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 3000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 4000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 5000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 6000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 7000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 8000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 9000: Cost
                         0.30
Iteration 9999: Cost
                         0.30
```

# 2.7 Plotting the decision boundary

We will now use the final parameters from gradient descent to plot the linear fit. If you implemented the previous parts correctly, you should see the following plot:






Figure 2: Training data with decision boundary

We will use a helper function in the utils.py file to create this plot.





# 2.8 Evaluating logistic regression

We can evaluate the quality of the parameters we have found by seeing how well the learned model predicts on our training set.

You will implement the predict function below to do this.

### **Exercise 4**

Please complete the predict function to produce 1 or 0 predictions given a dataset and a learned parameter vector w and b.

- First you need to compute the prediction from the model  $f(x^{(i)}) = g(w \cdot x^{(i)})$  for every example
  - You've implemented this before in the parts above
- We interpret the output of the model  $(f(x^{(i)}))$  as the probability that  $y^{(i)} = 1$  given  $x^{(i)}$  and parameterized by w.
- Therefore, to get a final prediction  $(y^{(i)} = 0 \text{ or } y^{(i)} = 1)$  from the logistic regression model, you can use the following heuristic -

if 
$$f(x^{(i)}) >= 0.5$$
, predict  $y^{(i)} = 1$   
if  $f(x^{(i)}) < 0.5$ , predict  $y^{(i)} = 0$ 

If you get stuck, you can check out the hints presented after the cell below to help you with the implementation.

```
In [34]: # UNQ_C4
         # GRADED FUNCTION: predict
         def predict(X, w, b):
             Predict whether the label is 0 or 1 using learned logistic
             regression parameters w
             Args:
             X: (ndarray Shape (m, n))
             w : (array_like Shape (n,)) Parameters of the model
             b: (scalar, float)
                                              Parameter of the model
             Returns:
             p: (ndarray (m,1))
                 The predictions for X using a threshold at 0.5
             # number of training examples
             m, n = X.shape
             p = np.zeros(m)
             y_hat=sigmoid(np.dot(w,X.T)+b)
             for i in range(m):
                 if (y_hat[i]<0.5):</pre>
                     p[i]=0
                 else:
                     p[i]=1
             ### START CODE HERE ###
             # Loop over each example
             #for i in range(m):
                  z wb = None
             #
                  # Loop over each feature
                  for j in range(n):
                      # Add the corresponding term to z_wb
             #
             #
                      z_wb += None
                 # Add bias term
             #
                  z_wb += None
                  # Calculate the prediction for this example
                  f wb = None
                 # Apply the threshold
                  p[i] = None
             ### END CODE HERE ###
             return p
```

### **▶** Click for hints

Once you have completed the function <code>predict</code>, let's run the code below to report the training accuracy of your classifier by computing the percentage of examples it got correct.

```
In [35]: # Test your predict code
    np.random.seed(1)
    tmp_w = np.random.randn(2)
    tmp_b = 0.3
    tmp_X = np.random.randn(4, 2) - 0.5

tmp_p = predict(tmp_X, tmp_w, tmp_b)
    print(f'Output of predict: shape {tmp_p.shape}, value {tmp_p}')

# UNIT TESTS
    predict_test(predict)
```

```
Output of predict: shape (4,), value [0. 1. 1. 1.] All tests passed!
```

### **Expected output**

Output of predict: shape (4,),value [0. 1. 1. 1.]

Now let's use this to compute the accuracy on the training set

```
In [36]: #Compute accuracy on our training set
p = predict(X_train, w,b)
print('Train Accuracy: %f'%(np.mean(p == y_train) * 100))
```

Train Accuracy: 92.000000

Train Accuracy (approx): 92.00

# 3 - Regularized Logistic Regression

In this part of the exercise, you will implement regularized logistic regression to predict whether microchips from a fabrication plant passes quality assurance (QA). During QA, each microchip goes through various tests to ensure it is functioning correctly.

#### 3.1 Problem Statement

Suppose you are the product manager of the factory and you have the test results for some microchips on two different tests.

- From these two tests, you would like to determine whether the microchips should be accepted or rejected.
- To help you make the decision, you have a dataset of test results on past microchips, from which you can build a logistic regression model.

## 3.2 Loading and visualizing the data

Similar to previous parts of this exercise, let's start by loading the dataset for this task and visualizing it.

- The load\_dataset() function shown below loads the data into variables
   X\_train and y\_train
  - X\_train contains the test results for the microchips from two tests
  - y\_train contains the results of the QA
    - y\_train = 1 if the microchip was accepted
    - y train = 0 if the microchip was rejected
  - Both X\_train and y\_train are numpy arrays.

```
In [37]: # load dataset
X_train, y_train = load_data("data/ex2data2.txt")
```

#### View the variables

The code below prints the first five values of X\_train and y\_train and the type of the variables.

```
In [38]: |# print X_train
         print("X_train:", X_train[:5])
         print("Type of X_train:",type(X_train))
         # print y_train
         print("y_train:", y_train[:5])
         print("Type of y_train:",type(y_train))
         X_train: [[ 0.051267  0.69956 ]
          [-0.092742 \quad 0.68494]
          [-0.21371
                      0.69225 1
          [-0.375
                      0.50219
          [-0.51325
                      0.46564 ]]
         Type of X_train: <class 'numpy.ndarray'>
         y_train: [1. 1. 1. 1. 1.]
         Type of y_train: <class 'numpy.ndarray'>
```

### Check the dimensions of your variables

Another useful way to get familiar with your data is to view its dimensions. Let's print the shape of X\_train and y\_train and see how many training examples we have in our dataset.

```
In [39]: print ('The shape of X_train is: ' + str(X_train.shape))
print ('The shape of y_train is: ' + str(y_train.shape))
print ('We have m = %d training examples' % (len(y_train)))

The shape of X_train is: (118, 2)
The shape of y_train is: (118,)
We have m = 118 training examples
```

### Visualize your data

The helper function  $plot_data$  (from  $utils_py$ ) is used to generate a figure like Figure 3, where the axes are the two test scores, and the positive (y = 1, accepted) and negative (y = 0, rejected) examples are shown with different markers.




Figure 3: Plot of training data

```
In [40]: # Plot examples
plot_data(X_train, y_train[:], pos_label="Accepted", neg_label="Rej

# Set the y-axis label
plt.ylabel('Microchip Test 2')
# Set the x-axis label
plt.xlabel('Microchip Test 1')
plt.legend(loc="upper right")
plt.show()
```




Figure 3 shows that our dataset cannot be separated into positive and negative examples by a straight-line through the plot. Therefore, a straight forward application of logistic regression will not perform well on this dataset since logistic regression will only be able to find a linear decision boundary.

## 3.3 Feature mapping

One way to fit the data better is to create more features from each data point. In the provided function  $map\_feature$ , we will map the features into all polynomial terms of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  up to the sixth power.

$$map\_feature(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \\ x_2^2 \\ x_1^3 \\ \vdots \\ x_1 x_2^5 \\ x_1^6 \end{bmatrix}$$

As a result of this mapping, our vector of two features (the scores on two QA tests) has been transformed into a 27-dimensional vector.

- A logistic regression classifier trained on this higher-dimension feature vector will have a more complex decision boundary and will be nonlinear when drawn in our 2dimensional plot.
- We have provided the map\_feature function for you in utils.py.

```
In [41]: print("Original shape of data:", X_train.shape)

mapped_X = map_feature(X_train[:, 0], X_train[:, 1])
print("Shape after feature mapping:", mapped_X.shape)
```

Original shape of data: (118, 2) Shape after feature mapping: (118, 27)

Let's also print the first elements of  $X_train$  and mapped\_X to see the tranformation.

```
In [42]: print("X_train[0]:", X_train[0])
print("mapped X_train[0]:", mapped_X[0])
```

X\_train[0]: [0.051267 0.69956 ]
mapped X\_train[0]: [5.12670000e-02 6.99560000e-01 2.62830529e-03 3
.58643425e-02
4.89384194e-01 1.34745327e-04 1.83865725e-03 2.50892595e-02
3.42353606e-01 6.90798869e-06 9.42624411e-05 1.28625106e-03
1.75514423e-02 2.39496889e-01 3.54151856e-07 4.83255257e-06
6.59422333e-05 8.99809795e-04 1.22782870e-02 1.67542444e-01
1.81563032e-08 2.47750473e-07 3.38066048e-06 4.61305487e-05
6.29470940e-04 8.58939846e-03 1.17205992e-01]

While the feature mapping allows us to build a more expressive classifier, it is also more susceptible to overfitting. In the next parts of the exercise, you will implement regularized logistic regression to fit the data and also see for yourself how regularization can help combat the overfitting problem.

## 3.4 Cost function for regularized logistic regression

In this part, you will implement the cost function for regularized logistic regression.

Recall that for regularized logistic regression, the cost function is of the form

$$J(\mathbf{w}, b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( 1 - f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( 1 - f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( 1 - f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( 1 - f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( 1 - f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( 1 - f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( 1 - f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ -y^{(i)} \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) - \left( 1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left( f_{\mathbf{w}, b} \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right] \right]$$

Compare this to the cost function without regularization (which you implemented above), which is of the form

$$J(\mathbf{w}. b) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left[ (-y^{(i)} \log(f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) - (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - f_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) \right]$$

The difference is the regularization term, which is

$$\frac{\lambda}{2m} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} w_j^2$$

Note that the *b* parameter is not regularized.

### **Exercise 5**

Please complete the <code>compute\_cost\_reg</code> function below to calculate the following term for each element in  $\boldsymbol{w}$ 

$$\frac{\lambda}{2m}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}w_j^2$$

The starter code then adds this to the cost without regularization (which you computed above in compute\_cost) to calculate the cost with regulatization.

If you get stuck, you can check out the hints presented after the cell below to help you with the implementation.

```
In [43]: # UNQ_C5
        def compute_cost_reg(X, y, w, b, lambda_ = 1):
            Computes the cost over all examples
            Args:
              X : (array_like Shape (m,n)) data, m examples by n features
              y : (array_like Shape (m,)) target value
              w : (array_like Shape (n,)) Values of parameters of the model
              b : (array_like Shape (n,)) Values of bias parameter of the m
              Returns:
              total_cost: (scalar)
                                         cost
            m, n = X.shape
            # Calls the compute cost function that you implemented above
            cost_without_reg = compute_cost(X, y, w, b)
            # You need to calculate this value
            req cost = 0.
            ### START CODE HERE ###
            reg_cost=np.dot(w,w)
            ### END CODE HERE ###
            # Add the regularization cost to get the total cost
            total_cost = cost_without_reg + (lambda_/(2 * m)) * reg_cost
            return total cost
```

### **▼** Click for hints

• Here's how you can structure the overall implementation for this function

```
def compute cost reg(X, y, w, b, lambda = 1):
         m, n = X.shape
          # Calls the compute_cost function that you imp
lemented above
          cost_without_reg = compute_cost(X, y, w, b)
          # You need to calculate this value
          reg cost = 0.
          ### START CODE HERE ###
          for j in range(n):
              reg_cost_j = # Your code here to calculate
the cost from w[i]
              reg_cost = reg_cost + reg_cost_j
          ### END CODE HERE ###
          # Add the regularization cost to get the total
cost
          total_cost = cost_without_reg + (lambda_/(2 *
m)) * reg_cost
      return total_cost
```

If you're still stuck, you can check the hints presented below to figure out how to calculate reg\_cost\_j

```
▼ Hint to calculate reg_cost_j
```

You can use calculate reg\_cost\_j as reg\_cost\_j = w[j]\*\*2

Run the cell below to check your implementation of the compute cost reg function.

Regularized cost: 0.6618252552483951 All tests passed!

### **Expected Output:**

**Regularized cost:** 0.6618252552483948

## 3.5 Gradient for regularized logistic regression

In this section, you will implement the gradient for regularized logistic regression.

The gradient of the regularized cost function has two components. The first,  $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w},b)}{\partial b}$  is a scalar, the other is a vector with the same shape as the parameters  $\mathbf{w}$ , where the  $j^{\text{th}}$  element is defined as follows:

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial w_j} = \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{m} w_j \quad \text{for } j = 0...(n-1)$$

Compare this to the gradient of the cost function without regularization (which you implemented above), which is of the form

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial b} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$
 (2)

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w}, b)}{\partial w_j} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_{\mathbf{w}, b}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$
(3)

As you can see,  $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w},b)}{\partial b}$  is the same, the difference is the following term in  $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w},b)}{\partial w}$ , which is

$$\frac{\lambda}{m}w_j \quad \text{for } j = 0...(n-1)$$

### **Exercise 6**

Please complete the compute\_gradient\_reg function below to modify the code below to calculate the following term

$$\frac{\lambda}{m}w_j \quad \text{for } j = 0...(n-1)$$

The starter code will add this term to the  $\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w},b)}{\partial w}$  returned from compute\_gradient above to get the gradient for the regularized cost function.

If you get stuck, you can check out the hints presented after the cell below to help you with the implementation.

```
In [47]: # UNQ_C6
         def compute_gradient_reg(X, y, w, b, lambda_ = 1):
             Computes the gradient for linear regression
             Args:
               X : (ndarray Shape (m,n))
                                             variable such as house size
               y: (ndarray Shape (m,))
w: (ndarray Shape (n,))
                                             actual value
                                             values of parameters of the model
                b : (scalar)
                                             value of parameter of the model
                lambda_ : (scalar,float)
                                             regularization constant
             Returns
               dj_db: (scalar)
                                             The gradient of the cost w.r.t. t
                dj_dw: (ndarray Shape (n,)) The gradient of the cost w.r.t. t
             m, n = X.shape
             dj_db, dj_dw = compute_gradient(X, y, w, b)
             ### START CODE HERE ###
             di dw+=(lambda /m)∗w
             ### END CODE HERE ###
             return dj_db, dj_dw
```

#### **▼ Click for hints**

Here's how you can structure the overall implementation for this function

```
def compute_gradient_reg(X, y, w, b, lambda_ = 1):
    m, n = X.shape

    dj_db, dj_dw = compute_gradient(X, y, w, b)

### START CODE HERE ###

# Loop over the elements of w
for j in range(n):

    dj_dw_j_reg = # Your code here to calculate the
e regularization term for dj_dw[j]

    # Add the regularization term to the corresponding element of dj_dw
    dj_dw[j] = dj_dw[j] + dj_dw_j_reg

### END CODE HERE ###

return dj_db, dj_dw
```

If you're still stuck, you can check the hints presented below to figure out how to calculate dj\_dw\_j\_reg

```
▼ Hint to calculate dj_dw_j_reg
```

You can use calculate dj\_dw\_j\_reg as dj\_dw\_j\_reg = (lambda\_ / m) \* w[j]

Run the cell below to check your implementation of the compute\_gradient\_reg function.

```
dj_db: 0.07138288792343654
First few elements of regularized dj_dw:
  [-0.010386028450548698, 0.011409852883280117, 0.0536273463274574,
0.003140278267313467]
All tests passed!
```

### **Expected Output:**

dj\_db:0.07138288792343656

First few elements of regularized di dw:

[[-0.010386028450548701], [0.01140985288328012], [0.0536273463274574], [0.003140278267313462]]

# 3.6 Learning parameters using gradient descent

Similar to the previous parts, you will use your gradient descent function implemented above to learn the optimal parameters w,b.

- If you have completed the cost and gradient for regularized logistic regression correctly, you should be able to step through the next cell to learn the parameters w.
- After training our parameters, we will use it to plot the decision boundary.

#### Note

The code block below takes quite a while to run, especially with a non-vectorized version. You can reduce the iterations to test your implementation and iterate faster. If you have time, run for 100,000 iterations to see better results.

# 

```
0.72
Iteration
             0: Cost
Iteration 10000: Cost
                          0.45
                          0.40
Iteration 20000: Cost
Iteration 30000: Cost
                          0.38
Iteration 40000: Cost
                          0.37
Iteration 50000: Cost
                          0.36
                          0.36
Iteration 60000: Cost
Iteration 70000: Cost
                          0.35
Iteration 80000: Cost
                          0.35
Iteration 90000: Cost
                          0.35
Iteration 99999: Cost
                          0.35
```

### **▼** Expected Output: Cost < 0.5 (Click for details)

```
# Using the following settings
#np.random.seed(1)
#initial_w = np.random.rand(X_mapped.shape[1])-0.5
\#initial_b = 1.
\#lambda = 0.01;
#iterations = 10000
\#alpha = 0.01
                          0.72
Iteration
             0: Cost
Iteration 1000: Cost
                          0.59
Iteration 2000: Cost
                          0.56
Iteration 3000: Cost
                          0.53
Iteration 4000: Cost
                          0.51
Iteration 5000: Cost
                          0.50
Iteration 6000: Cost
                          0.48
Iteration 7000: Cost
                          0.47
Iteration 8000: Cost
                          0.46
Iteration 9000: Cost
                          0.45
Iteration 9999: Cost
                          0.45
```

# 3.7 Plotting the decision boundary

To help you visualize the model learned by this classifier, we will use our plot\_decision\_boundary function which plots the (non-linear) decision boundary that separates the positive and negative examples.

- In the function, we plotted the non-linear decision boundary by computing the classifier's predictions on an evenly spaced grid and then drew a contour plot of where the predictions change from y = 0 to y = 1.
- After learning the parameters w,b, the next step is to plot a decision boundary similar to Figure 4.





Figure 4: Training data with decision boundary ( $\lambda = 1$ )

# In [53]: plot\_decision\_boundary(w, b, X\_mapped, y\_train)



# 3.8 Evaluating regularized logistic regression model

You will use the predict function that you implemented above to calculate the accuracy of the regulaized logistic regression model on the training set

```
In [54]: #Compute accuracy on the training set
p = predict(X_mapped, w, b)
print('Train Accuracy: %f'%(np.mean(p == y_train) * 100))
```

Train Accuracy: 83.898305

### **Expected Output:**

Train Accuracy:~ 80%

In [ ]: