2025

PROTOCOL AUDIT REPORT





PasswordStore Contract

[H-1] Storing the Password on chain makes it Visable to Anyone, and no longer private

Description: all data stored on chain is visible to anyone and can be read directly from the blockchain. the

PasswordStore::s_password

variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through

passwordStore::getPassword

function which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

Impact: Anyone can read private Password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept: (Proof of Code):

1. First, run your local blockchain using Anvil.

anvil

- 2. Next, deploy the smart contract on the local blockchain and copy the deployment address.
- 3. Then, find the storage of the variable using cast storage.

cast storage 0x5FbDB2315678afecb367f032d93F642f64180aa3 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545

4. Finally, parse the storage value into a string.

this gives you the password:

myPassword

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off chain, and then store the encrypt password on chain. this would require the user to remember another password off chain to decrypt the password. however you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access controls, meaning a non-owner could change the password

PasswordStore Contract

Description: The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an `external` function, however the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that `this function allows only the owner to set a new password`

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    @> //@audit - no access controls
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the password of the contract severalty breaking the contract

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the passwordStore.sol test file

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
   vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
   vm.prank(randomAddress);
   string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
   passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);

   vm.prank(owner);
   string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
   assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: added access control condition to the setPassword function

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
  revert PasswordStore_NotOwner();
}
```

[I-1] The passwordStore::getPassword natspec indicates a parameter that doesn't exist causing the natspec to be incorrect

Description:

```
function getPassword()external view returns(string memory){}
```

The passwordStore::getPassword function signature is getPassword which the natspec say it should be getPassword(string)

Impact: the natspec is incorrect

PasswordStore Contract

Recommended Mitigation: remove the incorrect natspec line

PasswordStore Contract

3