

Midterm Submission

1. (a) Now, given that $P(A) = 0.5$ & $P(B) = 0.6$
& $P(A \cup B) = 0.9$

Now we know that,

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$

So for A & B to be independent,
 $P(A \cap B) = 0$.

$$\text{Now, } 0.9 = 0.6 + 0.5 - P(A \cap B)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0.9 = 1.1 - P(A \cap B)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A \cap B) = 0.2$$

But $0.2 \neq 0$, \therefore A & B cannot be independent.

- (b) Now, we know that, $P(B|A) = \frac{P(B \cap A)}{P(A)}$

$$\text{So, } P(B|A) = \frac{0.2}{0.5} = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4$$

But $P(B) = 0.6$. So, $P(B|A) \neq P(B)$

\therefore We can say that A is not favorable & unfavorable to B.

2. (a) The 1st yes & no question that I would ask is, that would have the maximum possible entropy, would be asking if the card is red & blue in color.

6) By Shannon's information theory, we can say that, $H = \log_2(x)$, where H is the ^{no.} of steps needed to arrive at the correct identity of the card & x is the no. of cards in a pack.

$$\therefore H = \log_2(32) = 5.7 \sim 6$$

\therefore 6 questions would be needed to arrive at the identity of the card in the most optimal manner.

3) By Shannon's source coding theorem, we can say that the ~~more~~ minimum possible expected length for a uniquely ~~expected~~ ~~length~~ decodable symbol code is equal to its alphabet entropy.

Now, we also know that,

$$\text{Alphabet Entropy} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \times \log_2(1/p_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore, \text{for Alphabet 1, Alphabet Entropy} \\ = 0.5 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.5}\right) + 0.15 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.15}\right) + 0.2 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.2}\right) \\ + 0.1 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.1}\right) + 0.15 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.15}\right) + 0.15 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.15}\right) \\ + 0.1 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.1}\right) = 2.86 \text{ (approx.)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, for Alphabet 2,

P.T.O

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Alphabet Entropy} &= 0.05 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.05}\right) \\
 &+ 0.01 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.01}\right) + 0.85 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.85}\right) \\
 &+ 0.03 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.03}\right) + 0.02 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.02}\right) \\
 &+ 0.02 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.02}\right) + 0.02 \times \log_2\left(\frac{1}{0.02}\right) \\
 &= 0.9722 \text{ (approx.)}
 \end{aligned}$$

\therefore since alphabet 2's entropy is less than alphabet 1's entropy, hence, alphabet 2 has shorter average code length compared to alphabet 1.

4. Given, that, the newspaper report states -

"Among children, involved in bicycle accidents, the majority are boys" ... (i)

& the newspaper headline states -

"Boys more at risk on bicycle" ... (ii)

The error arises from the fact that since boys drive bicycles, hence, they - since more boys drive bicycles, then the majority of accidents involve the bicycles involves boys. Using the

concept of conditional probabilities,
the statement (i) ~~is~~ makes a
statement about the following conditional
probability — $P(\text{Boys} | \text{children accident})$

whereas, the statement (ii), makes a
statement about the following the
conditional probability —

$$P(\text{accident} | \text{Boy}).$$

So, the misinterpretation of conditional
probabilities causes the error.

5. Given ~~A = Isaac says~~ that Isaac says
a statement and Isabella responds to the
query of ~~the~~ whether it is true with
a yes. Also, people on the island
lie $\frac{2}{3}$ rd of the time & say the
truth $\frac{1}{3}$ rd of the time.

Now, ~~the probab~~ $A = \text{Isaac's statement is}$
true, $B = \text{Isabella's statement is}$ ~~is~~ yes.

So, $P(A|B)$ is the probability we need to
find.
$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

$P(A \cap B)$ is the probability that Isaac's statement
is true & Isabella's statement of yes is also
true. So, $P(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{9}$

$P(B)$ is the probability that Isabella would say yes. Now Isabella would lie $\frac{2}{3}$ time & say truth $\frac{1}{3}$ time

So, If she is lying, then if Isaac's statement is false, her answer would be yes. Also, if she is saying the truth, she would say yes & Isaac's statement is also true.

$$P(B) = \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{4}{9} + \frac{1}{9} = \frac{5}{9}$$

$$\therefore P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{5}{9}} = \frac{1}{5} \text{ (Ans.)}$$

6. By Bayes Theorem,

$$P(A_i | B) = \frac{P(B|A_i) \cdot P(A_i)}{\sum_i P(B|A_i) \cdot P(A_i)}$$

$$= \frac{P(B|A_i) \cdot P(A_i)}{\sum_i P(B|A_i) \cdot P(A_i)}$$

Now, A_i = the person has used opioids &
 B = the test is positive.

So, $P(A_i | B)$ would be the probability value that is needed to be found in this situation. Hence, the situations that should be discovered are:

$$P(B|A_i) = \overset{\times P(A_i)}{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has used opioids})}$$

$$P(B|\bar{A}_i) = \overset{\times P(\bar{A}_i)}{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has not used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has not used opioids})}$$

So, By Bayes theorem,

$$P(\text{the person has used opioids} | \text{the test is positive})$$

$$= \frac{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has used opioids})}{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has used opioids}) + P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has not used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has not used opioids})}$$

$$= \frac{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has used opioids})}{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has used opioids}) + P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has not used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has not used opioids})}$$

$$= \frac{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has used opioids})}{P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has used opioids}) + P(\text{the test is positive} | \text{the person has not used opioids}) \times P(\text{the person has not used opioids})}$$

used opioids) $\times P(\text{the person has not used opioids})$

(14.4)