



Proof without Words: Area under a Cycloid Cusp

Author(s): Richard M. Beekman

Source: Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 66, No. 1 (Feb., 1993), p. 39

Published by: Taylor & Francis, Ltd. on behalf of the Mathematical Association of America

Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2690472

Accessed: 18-09-2024 22:44 UTC

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms



Taylor & Francis, Ltd., Mathematical Association of America are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Mathematics Magazine

This algebraic restatement of the problem is easy to prove. In fact, it holds for any finite abelian group G, not only for cyclic ones. Let f be a fixed permutation of G and let b be a fixed element of G other than e. This element b exists since the order of G is greater than two. Clearly for all a in G, $a \neq ab$ and so $f(a) \neq f(ab)$. When the element a runs over the elements of G, then the element $f(ab)(f(a))^{-1}$ only runs over the nonidentity elements of G. By the pigeon-hole principle there are distinct elements c and d in G for which

$$f(cb)(f(c))^{-1} = f(db)(f(d))^{-1},$$

or equivalently for which

$$f(cb)f(d) = f(db)f(c).$$

Setting

$$cb = x$$
, $d = y$, $db = u$, $c = v$,

we have the conditions in (1) satisfied as desired.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank the referees for their contributions, significantly improving the quality of exposition.

REFERENCES

- F. Kárteszi, Su una proprietà combinatoria dei poligoni regolari, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5) 13A (1976) no. 1, 139–141.
- 2. L. J. Paige, A note on finite abelian groups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 53 (1947), 590-593.
- 3. S. Szabó, A remark on regular polygons, Mat. Lapok 28 (1980), 199-202 (in Hungarian).

