Max-Flow Applications

1 Edge-Disjoint Paths

Last time, we proved that we can decompose a flow f into edge disjoint paths if f is acyclic; now, we will prove that we can always find an acyclic f.

Lemma 1. If f is any (s,t) flow, then in polytime, we can find an acyclic flow f' with v(f') = v(f) and $f'_e \leq f_e \ \forall e$. If f is integral, we can make f' integral.

Proof. While $\{e|f_e>0\}$ has a cycle, we use BFS, DFS, or topological sort to find a cycle C. Then, let $\mathcal{E}:=\min_{e\in C}f_e$. Set $f'_e:=f_e-\mathcal{E}\ \forall e\in C$. Then, f' is still a flow because it satisfies conservation and capacity. Furthermore, v(f')=v(f) because s is never in a cycle by definition, so we never decrease $f^{out}(s)$, which is the definition of v(f). This algorithm finishes in $\leq m$ iterations.

Algorithm 1 Edge-Disjoint Paths Algorithm

- 1: Give each edge $e \in E$ capacity $c_e = 1$.
- 2: Find an integral max (s, t) flow f.
- 3: Find a path decomposition of f. Each path carries one unit of flow, so the edges must be disjoint because no edge has $c_e > 1$.
- 4: Return the paths.

Corollary 2. Manger's Theorem (Edges): The maximum number of edge-disjoint paths is the minimum number of edges whose removal disconnects s from t.

Corollary 3. Manger's Theorem (Vertices): The maximum number of vertex-disjoint paths is the minimum number of vertices whose removal disconnects s from t.

2 Bi-Segmentation

We can use min-cut to segment graphs into two segments A and B; more is **NP**-hard with this technique (but k-nearest neighbors can do it!).

Givens: A graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$. For each $v \in V$, scores $a_v, b_v \geq 0$ that describe the probability that v belongs to A or B (e.g., based on a text analysis, image analysis, or similar). For each $e = (u, v) \in E$ (e.g., representing copurchases, adjacent pixels, or friends), a separation penalty p_e if u and v are assigned to different segments.

Goal: Assign each $v \in V$ to exactly one of $\{A, B\}$ while maximizing:

$$Q(A, B) := \sum_{v \in A} a_v + \sum_{v \in B} b_v - \sum_{u \in A, v \in B, e = (u, v)} p(u, v)$$

Reduction to min-cut: We rewrite Q(A, B) as:

$$Q(A,B) := \sum_{v \in V} a_v + \sum_{v \in V} b_v - (\sum_{v \in B} a_v + \sum_{v \in A} b_v + \sum_{u \in A, v \in B, e = (u,v)} p(u,v))$$
$$Q(A,B) := C - Q'(A,B)$$

We notice that maximizing Q is equivalent to minimizing Q'. We add a new source s with edges to all v with capacity a_v and a new sink t with edges from all v with capacity b_v . Call this new graph \mathcal{G}' .

$$Q'(A,B) = c(A \cup \{s\}, B \cup \{t\})$$
 in \mathcal{G}'

Algorithm 2 Bi-Segmentation Algorithm

- 1: Build \mathcal{G}'
- 2: Find the minimum (s,t) cut (S,\bar{S}) .
- 3: Return $(S \setminus \{s\}, \bar{S} \setminus t\})$.

3 Project Selection

Given n projects with values $p_i \in \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., can be negative) and dependencies (i, j) which mean that in order to complete i, we must first complete j.

Goal: Select a feasible set S of projects maximizing $\sum_{i \in S} p_i$.

Let the projects be nodes in a graph \mathcal{G} and the dependencies (i,j) correspond to edges with capacity $c_e = \infty$ (i.e., they can't be cut). Then, observe:

$$\sum_{i \in S} p_i = \sum_i p_i - \sum_{i \in \bar{S}} p_i$$
$$= C - \sum_{i \in \bar{S}} p_i$$

Thus, we seek to minimize $\sum_{i \in \bar{S}p_i}$. Initially, we might do this with an edge of capacity p_i from s to each node i. However, this cannot handle negative capacities. Instead, we utilize t and say that if $p_i \geq 0$, create an edge from s to i of capacity p_i ; otherwise, create an edge from i to t of capacity $-p_i \geq 0$.

Consider the minimum (s,t) cut (A, \overline{A}) in \mathcal{G} . We know this does not cut any dependency edges because of their infinite capacity, so one either completes a dependency sequence or does not start it. Thus, $A \setminus \{s\}$ is a feasible set.

$$c(A, \bar{A}) = \sum_{i \in A, p_i < 0} (-p_i) + \sum_{i \in \bar{A}, p_i \ge 0} p_i$$

$$= \sum_{i \in A, p_i < 0} (-p_i) + \sum_{i \in \bar{A}} p_i - \sum_{i \in \bar{A}, p_i < 0} p_i$$

$$= \sum_{i, p_i < 0} (-p_i) + \sum_{i \in \bar{A}} p_i$$

$$= C + \sum_{i \in \bar{A}} p_i$$

Thus, the minimum cut in \mathcal{G} minimizes $\sum_{i \in \bar{A}} p_i$.

4 Sports Elimination

Given n sports teams, current numbers of wins w_i , and the number of remaining games $r_{i,j} \geq 0$ between teams i and j, is there a possible outcome of all remaining games such that the USC Trojans "win" (i.e., $w_{USC} \geq w_i \, \forall i \text{ with } r_{i,j} = 0 \, \forall i,j$)? We can assume without loss of generality that $r_{USC,j} = 0 \, \forall j$ because USC should without loss of generality win all of their remaining games.

For USC to win, each team i can win at most $x_i = w_{USC} - w_i$ of their remaining games. For each pair (i, j), $r_{i,j}$ games will be played, producing one winner each (no ties).

We generalize MBCM: Create a bipartite graph $\mathcal{G} = (A, B)$ with one node $u_{i,j}$ representing all games between i and j in A and one node v for each team in B. Let there be edges $\{(u_{i,j}, v_i), (u_{i,j}, v_j)\}$ with capacity $c_e = \infty$. Then, we create a source node s with edges $(s, u_{i,j})$ and $c_e = r_{i,j}$. Finally, we create a sink node t with edges (v_i, t) and $c_e = x_i$.

This problem is almost exactly like MBCM, but the edges out of s and into t do not necessarily have capacity one. The solution still works the same way, though.

Algorithm 3 Sports Elimination Algorithm

- 1: Build \mathcal{G} .
- 2: Find an integral max-flow f.
- 3: if $v(f) = \sum_{i,j} r_{i,j}$ (i.e., all games assigned a winner without violating residual win capacity) then
- 4: The USC Trojans can win.
- 5: **else**
- 6: The USC Trojans cannot possibly win.
- 7: end if