# **Data Wrangling Report**

## 1. Gathering Data

## About the Dataset(s)

The dataset I'll be wrangling is the tweet archive of Twitter user @dog\_rates (https://twitter.com/dog\_rates), also known as WeRateDogs. This archive/dataset consists of 2356 basic tweet data from November, 2015 to August, 2017. WeRateDogs is a Twitter account that rates people's dogs with a humorous comment about the dog.

Based on the images in the above dataset (i.e. WeRateDogs Twitter archive), another dataset is created which consists of image predictions (the top three only) alongside each tweet ID, image URL, and the image number that corresponded to the most confident prediction (numbered 1 to 4 since tweets can have up to four images). Though no wrangling will be done directly on this image predictions dataset, it will definitely provide some additional data for our main tweet archive dataset.

#### Gather Twitter archive CSV file

Using the link provided by Udacity, I downloaded the WeRateDogs Twitter archive manually as twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv

(https://d17h27t6h515a5.cloudfront.net/topher/2017/August/59a4e958\_twitter-archive-enhanced/twitter-archive-enhanced.csv) file and imported this file into a dataframe (df\_twit).

#### Gather tweet image predictions

I downloaded the tweet image predictions file hosted on Udacity's servers programmatically using Python's Requests library and saved it locally to image\_predictions.tsv file. Then, I imported this file into a Python Pandas dataframe (df\_img).

#### Gather data from Twitter API

Using the tweet IDs in the Twitter archive, I accessed the entire data for every tweet from Twitter API and stored every tweet's entire set of JSON data in a file called tweet\_json.txt file. Created a dataframe status\_df from this JSON including only tweet\_id, retweet\_count, favorite\_count and display\_text\_range data.

## 2. Assessing Data

First of all, I was able to identify 2 quality issues just by going through the Key Points in the Project:

Motivation page.

Visual Assessment

I opened the twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv and image\_predictions.tsv in Excel and scrolled through them, looking for quality and tidiness issues. I was able to spot the following 2 quality and 2 tidiness issues:

<u>Quality</u>: unnecessary html tags in source column of twitter archive in place of utility name e.g. <a href=""http://twitter.com/download/iphone"" rel=""nofollow"">Twitter for iPhone</a>

Quality: text column of twitter archive contains untruncated text instead of displayable text.

<u>Tidiness</u>: doggo, floofer, pupper and puppo columns in arc\_df table should be merged into one column named "stage".

<u>Tidiness</u>: Twitter archive data without any duplicates (i.e. retweets) will have empty retweeted\_status\_id, retweeted\_status\_user\_id and retweeted\_status\_timestamp columns, which can be dropped.

#### **Programmatic Assessment**

I used pandas' info method on df\_twit to spot erroneous datatypes and other quality issues, if any. Then I used value\_counts method on rating\_numerator, rating\_denominator and name columns to look up the range of their values and its distribution. Also to verify 1 tidiness issue that I found during the visual assessment, I queried the archive dataframe to see if any of its tweets has more than one dog-stage mentioned. This entire activity helped me to identify the following 7 quality issues.

contains retweets and therefore, duplicates

many tweet\_id(s) of arc\_df table are missing in img\_df (image predictions) table erroneous datatypes (in\_reply\_to\_status\_id, in\_reply\_to\_user\_id and timestamp columns) rating\_numerator column has values less than 10 as well as some very large numbers (e.g. 1176)

rating denominator column has values other than 10

erroneous dog names starting with lowercase characters (e.g. a, an, actually, by) some records have more than one dog stage

The info method on the other 2 dataframes (img\_df and status\_df) didn't reveal any quality issues.

However, after taking a look at the sample of each of these dataframes, I was able to identify the following 2 tidiness issues:

"breed" column should be added in df\_twit table; its values based on p1\_conf and p1\_dog columns of img\_df (image predictions) table

retweet\_count and favorite\_count columns from status\_df (tweet status) table should be joined with df\_twit table3 which is made into a table of data frame called df.

## Cleaning Data

As all the quality and tidiness issues were related to df\_twit table, For each quality/tidiness issue, I performed the programmatic data cleaning process in 3 stages - Define, Code & Test. During the cleaning process, I converted the datatypes of source and newly created stage columns of archive\_clean to category datatype.

#### Storing Data

After the completion of the cleaning process, I stored the archive\_clean DataFrame in twitter\_archive\_master.csv file.