

Implementation Process Documentation:

Challenges Encountered and Solutions:

Dataset Labelling Issue: The first challenge I experienced was selecting dataset, because the dataset I initially worked on I think that was ASVSpooof 2021 they by mistake in the TAR file didn't add the labels file, what they did add was a file that contains file names.

Second challenge: I was tasked with 3 models, I had to make sure all 3 models offer something different something unique, what models to use was not that big of a job

Third challenge: The third challenge was overfitting, in the pursuit of highly accurate first model, I made a deep model which I corrected afterwards after finding that the validation class is highly oversampled by real data, which I quickly overcame by using SMOTE and focal loss.

False Alarms: A tqdm progress bar glitch initially caused concern, showing 56% completion despite the model performing well. This emphasised the importance of not relying solely on progress indicators and thoroughly evaluating model performance.

Feature Extraction Research: So the research in feature extraction had many levels, according to my pursuit of 3 approaches should be unique the feature selection also had to be unique, I decided I will make 1st model super accurate, so the features I used was MFCC which is Mel coefficient which is widely used in audio but the second feature I used in collaboration with this was pitch, not many people use pitch but pitch was a really good idea as it gave me high accuracy.

Second feature: The second feature I used was for my model that I wanted to tweak intelligently from basic to super good and the feature I used for this is spectral centroid, because according to my research spectral centroid is very effective and easily distinguished.

Third set So the third feature I used had to be the fastest to process and according to my research that was ZCR.

Model Selection: I divided my model selection in 3 models,

1) Supposed to be super accurate: I used CONV2D with BiLSTM which is supposed to be super accurate and is.

2) Intelligent: The second model I used was supposed to be underdog for a task with best feature fed to it, so I used logistic regression as it is binary classification.

3) Lightening fast: The third model I used is supposed to be lite and fast so I used Lightweight sequential MLP and fed it the fastest processing feature ZCR.

Assumptions:

The assumptions I made were less but I made one which is that, my model is best and I won't be needing to use synthetic sampling, which I did use training models.

Analysis:

Model Selection Rationale:

Why I used Model 1: CONV2D with BiLSTM To capture Long range temporal dependencies, I could've used DTW too but I had to map it to some other model.

Why I used model 2: So for model 2 I decided that model should be the best for binary classification since the feature amazing here is best. So there is nothing best than logistic regression for binary classification. (I read a whole research paper where they used cooccurrent matrix with K means as intelligent tweaking but unfortunately this dataset was not showing good results for that.)

Why I used model 3: This model needed to be lite so I used a super simple sequential model

Technical Explanation:

1. CNN-BiLSTM Model (MFCC + Pitch)

Features Used:

MFCCs (Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients): Encodes timbral/textural characteristics (e.g., voice quality, instrument type).

Pitch: Captures fundamental frequency (melody/harmony information).

How It Works:

2D Convolutional Layers: Treat MFCCs and pitch as a "time-frequency image" (timesteps \times 1x1 channels). Kernels of shape (5,1) scan temporal patterns (e.g., pitch transitions, MFCC dynamics).

Bidirectional LSTM: Processes the CNN-extracted features in both forward/backward directions to model temporal context (e.g., rising pitch \rightarrow question intonation).

Why This Combo?

CNN detects local acoustic patterns, while BiLSTM understands longer-term dependencies (e.g., a pitch curve over time).

Use Case: Best for capturing voice characteristics and intonation patterns.

2. Logistic Regression (Spectral Centroid)

Feature Used: Spectral Centroid: Measures the "brightness" of sound (higher centroid = more high-frequency energy).

How It Works:

Simple Linear Classifier: Learns a decision boundary like if centroid > threshold \rightarrow class 1.

Interpretability:

Coefficients directly show how spectral centroid affects predictions (e.g., brighter sounds \rightarrow more likely class 1).

Why This Model?

Spectral centroid is a single-value feature per frame \rightarrow No need for complex models.

Provides a computationally cheap baseline to compare against neural networks.

Use Case: Detecting sound brightness differences (e.g., speech vs. cymbals).

3. MLP (Zero-Crossing Rate - ZCR)

Feature Used:

ZCR: Counts how often the audio waveform crosses zero (higher ZCR \approx more noise/percussive sounds).

How It Works:

Multilayer Perceptron (MLP):

A simple feedforward neural network with hidden layers.

Learns nonlinear relationships like if ZCR > X AND ZCR < Y \rightarrow class 0.

Advantage Over Logistic Regression:

Can capture complex thresholds (e.g., mid-range ZCR = class 1, extremes = class 0).

Why This Model?

ZCR is nonlinear in its discriminative power \rightarrow MLP handles this better than logistic regression.

Use Case:

Distinguishing voiced sounds (low ZCR) vs. unvoiced/noise (high ZCR).

Performance Results:

Model 1 : Accuracy 99.96%, Loss 0.0082 , Val_accuracy 99.88%

Model 2 : 70% (can be tuned further)

Model 3: 83% accuracy

Strengths and Weaknesses:

Strengths: Efficient processing suitable for devices with limited resources, potential for real-time analysis.

Weaknesses: this whole program may have very little weakness, but individual model may have some because each is created for a unique purpose

Future Improvements:

This is a dataset which we can easily be drag to 100% accuracy with right computational power.

Reflection:

Most Significant Challenges:

Overcoming the initial dataset labelling issue highlighted the critical importance of data integrity in machine learning projects.

Balancing model complexity with hardware constraints required careful consideration of architecture choices.

Real-World Performance Expectations:

The model has high accuracy and is curated for different unique purpose and I think the models serve their purpose, the fast one is fast, the super accurate is super accurate, the intelligent one is intelligent.

Additional Data or Resources for Improvement:

The more the merrier applies to additional data and resources ask. But specifically resources, higher computational power is required if one has to reach 100% accuracy without false positives and false negatives, and higher feature engineering, but this is possible with the help of LLMs but the ask for computational power remains

Production Deployment Approach:

Implement a containerised solution for easy deployment and scaling.

Develop a robust monitoring system to track model performance and detect concept drift.

Establish a pipeline for continuous model updating and retraining as new data becomes available.