

Algorithm Design and Analysis

CSE
565

LECTURE 2

- Analysis of Stable Matching
- Asymptotic Notation

Adam Smith

Stable Matching Problem

- **Goal:** Given n men and n women, find a "suitable" matching.
 - Participants rate members of opposite sex.
 - Each man lists women in order of preference from best to worst.
 - Each woman lists men in order of preference from best to worst.

	favorite ↓ 1 st		least favorite ↓ 3 rd
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Xavier	Amy	Bertha	Clare
Yancey	Bertha	Amy	Clare
Zeus	Amy	Bertha	Clare

Men's Preference Profile

	favorite ↓ 1 st		least favorite ↓ 3 rd
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Amy	Yancey	Xavier	Zeus
Bertha	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus
Clare	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus

Women's Preference Profile

Stable Matching Problem

- **Unstable pair:** man m and woman w are **unstable** if
 - m prefers w to his assigned match, and
 - w prefers m to her assigned match
- **Stable assignment:** no unstable pairs.

	favorite ↓ 1 st		least favorite ↓ 3 rd
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Xavier	Amy	Bertha	Clare
Yancey	Bertha	Amy	Clare
Zeus	Amy	Bertha	Clare

Men's Preference Profile

	favorite ↓ 1 st		least favorite ↓ 3 rd
	1 st	2 nd	3 rd
Amy	Yancey	Xavier	Zeus
Bertha	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus
Clare	Xavier	Yancey	Zeus

Women's Preference Profile

Review Questions

- In terms of n , what is the length of the input to the Stable Matching problem, i.e., the number of entries in the tables?

(Answer: $2n^2$ list entries, or $2n^2 \log n$ bits)

Review Question

- **Brute force algorithm:** an algorithm that checks every possible solution.
 - In terms of n , what is the running time for the brute force algorithm for Stable Matching Problem? (Assume your algorithm goes over all possible perfect matchings.)
- (Answer: $n! \times (\text{time to check if a matching is stable}) = \Theta(n! n^2)$)

Propose-and-Reject Algorithm

- Propose-and-reject algorithm. [Gale-Shapley 1962]

```
Initialize each person to be free.  
while (some man is free and hasn't proposed to every woman) {  
    Choose such a man m  
    w = 1st woman on m's list to whom m has not yet proposed  
    if (w is free)  
        assign m and w to be engaged  
    else if (w prefers m to her fiancé m')  
        assign m and w to be engaged, and m' to be free  
    else  
        w rejects m  
}
```

Proof of Correctness: Termination

- **Claim.** Algorithm terminates after at most n^2 iterations of while loop.
- **Pf.** Each time through the loop a man proposes to a new woman. There are only n^2 possible proposals. ▀

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
Victor	A	B	C	D	E
Wyatt	B	C	D	A	E
Xavier	C	D	A	B	E
Yancey	D	A	B	C	E
Zeus	A	B	C	D	E

	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th
Amy	W	X	Y	Z	V
Bertha	X	Y	Z	V	W
Clare	Y	Z	V	W	X
Diane	Z	V	W	X	Y
Erika	V	W	X	Y	Z

An instance where $n(n-1) + 1$ proposals required

Propose-and-Reject Algorithm

- **Observation 1.** Men propose to women in decreasing order of preference.
- **Observation 2.** Once a woman is matched, she never becomes unmatched; she only "trades up."

Proof of Correctness: Perfection

- **Claim.** All men and women get matched.
- **Proof:** (by contradiction)
 - Suppose, for sake of contradiction, some guy, say Zeus, is not matched upon termination of algorithm.
 - Then some woman, say Amy, is not matched upon termination.
 - By Observation 2, Amy was never proposed to.
 - But Zeus proposes to everyone, since he ends up unmatched. ■

Proof of Correctness: Stability

- **Claim.** No unstable pairs.
- **Proof:** (by contradiction)
 - Suppose A-Z is an unstable pair: they prefer each other to their partners in Gale-Shapley matching S^* .
 - *Case 1:* Z never proposed to A.
 - \Rightarrow Z prefers his GS partner to A. \leftarrow men propose in decreasing order of preference
 - \Rightarrow A-Z is stable.
 - *Case 2:* Z proposed to A.
 - \Rightarrow A rejected Z (right away or later)
 - \Rightarrow A prefers her GS partner to Z. \leftarrow women only trade up
 - \Rightarrow A-Z is stable.
 - In either case A-Z is stable, a contradiction. ■

Efficient Implementation

- We describe $O(n^2)$ time implementation.
- Assume men have IDs $1, \dots, n$, and so do women.
- Engagements data structures:
 - a list of free men, e.g., a queue.
 - two arrays `wife[m]`, and `husband[w]`.
 - set entry to 0 if unmatched
 - if m matched to w then `wife[m] = w` and `husband[w] = m`
- Men proposing data structures:
 - an array `men-pref[m, i] = ith women on mth list`
 - an array `count[m] = how many proposals m made.`

Efficient Implementation

- Women rejecting/accepting data structures
 - Does woman w prefer man m to man m' ?
 - For each woman, create **inverse** of preference list of men.
 - Constant time queries after **$O(n)$** preprocessing per woman.

Amy	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	5 th	6 th	7 th	8 th
Pref	8	3	7	1	4	5	6	2

Amy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Inverse	4 th	8 th	2 nd	5 th	6 th	7 th	3 rd	1 st

```
for i = 1 to n
  inverse[pref[i]] = i
```

Amy prefers man 3 to 6
 since $\text{inverse}[3] < \text{inverse}[6]$
 2 7

- **Stable matching problem.** Given n men and n women, and their preferences, find a stable matching if one exists.
- **Gale-Shapley algorithm.** Guarantees to find a stable matching for **every** problem instance.
 - (Also proves that stable matching always exists)
- **Time and space complexity:**
 $O(n^2)$, linear in the input size.

- Reminders
 - Worst-case analysis
 - Asymptotic notation
 - Basic Data Structures
- Design Paradigms
 - Greedy algorithms, Divide and conquer, Dynamic programming, Network flow and linear programming
- Analyzing algorithms in other models
 - Parallel algorithms, Memory hierarchies (?)
- P, NP and NP-completeness

Measuring Running Time

- Focus on **scalability**: parameterize the running time by some measure of “size”
 - (e.g. n = number of men and women)
- Kinds of analysis
 - Worst-case
 - Average-case (requires knowing the distribution)
 - Best-case (how meaningful?)
- Exact times depend on computer; instead measure **asymptotic growth**