

Definition 1. Given a topological space X , define an equivalence relation on it via the rule $x \sim y$ iff there is a connected subspace of X containing both of those points. The equivalence classes of \sim are the **connected components** (or just ‘components’) of X .

Proof. A quick check shows that \sim does indeed form an equivalence relation.

$x \sim x$ trivially, as does $x \sim y \Rightarrow y \sim x$.

The more interesting one is transitivity.

If $x \sim y$, $y \sim z$, then there exist connected subspaces A, B of X such that $x, y \in A$, $y, z \in B$. Then the union of A and B gives us a connected component since y is a common point. \square

Example 1. If X is connected, then X is its only connected component.

If $X = \mathbb{Q}$, then the connected components are just the points.

Lemma 1. *The connected components of X are connected disjoint subspaces of X whose union is X such that each nonempty connected subspace of X intersects only one of them.*

Proof. Since the connected components of X are equivalence classes, it is clear that they are disjoint with union X . Let’s show that a connected component C of X truly is connected.

Let x_0 be a point of C . If $x \in C$ is any point, then by definition that $x_0 \sim x$, so there exists a connected subspace A_x of X such that $x_0, x \in A_x$. Then C is the union of the A_x ’s and the A_x ’s have the point x_0 in common. Therefore C is connected.

Finally, let’s show that a nonempty connected subspace $A \subseteq X$ intersects exactly one connected component of X .

Suppose that C_1, C_2 are two connected components intersecting A , in respective points x_1 and x_2 . Then by definition, $x_1 \sim x_2$, since both belong to A . But then if $x_1 \sim x_2$, then $C_1 = C_2$. \square

Importantly, connected components are always closed, but not necessarily open. However, if there are finitely many connected components, then they are clopen.

We won’t prove this fact explicitly, but intuitively, if you take a separation of the space, then the connected component must lie in one portion of the separation. Then taking the intersection of all such separations containing our component, we get that our component is the intersection of (potentially infinitely many) closed sets, and is thus closed.

Definition 2. Let X be a topological space. Recall that a collection of open subsets $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X is said to be an **open cover** of X if $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$. A subcollection of $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ is said to be a subcover if it is still a cover. It is said to be a finite subcover if there are only finitely many sets in the subcover.

More formally, a finite subcover is determined by indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i} = X$$

.

Definition 3. X is said to be **compact** if every open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Example 2. Take $X = [0, 1]$. Consider the open cover:

$$U_0 = \left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right] \\ U_n = \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{e^n}\right) \text{ for each } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then $U_{100} \supset U_0$ is a finite subcover.

Fact. $[0, 1]$ is compact. This will be proven later.

Example 3. Take $X = [0, 1)$, and consider the open cover

$$U_n = \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{e^n}\right) \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

This is an open cover, but it has no finite subcover. If it did, then there would be a max N included, and we'd have $\bigcup U_\alpha = \left[0, 1 - \frac{1}{e^N}\right) \subsetneq [0, 1)$, obviously a contradiction. So $[0, 1)$ is not compact.

Example 4. \mathbb{R} . Then $U_n = (n, n + 2)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ form an open cover, which obviously has no finite subcover. So \mathbb{R} is not compact.

Fact. \mathbb{R}^n with the Zariski topology is compact. Thank God.

Definition 4. If Y is a subspace of X , we say a collection of subsets of X is a cover of Y if its union contains Y .

Lemma 2. Let Y be a subspace of X . Then Y is compact if and only if every open cover of Y by open subsets of X has a finite subcover also containing Y .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose Y is compact, and let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of open subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \supseteq Y$.

Then $\{V_i\}$, where $V_i = U_i \cap Y$ is an open cover of Y in the subspace topology:

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} V_i = \bigcup_{i \in I} (U_i \cap Y) = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i\right) \cap Y = Y.$$

Then since Y is compact, there is a finite list of indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{\alpha_j} = Y$. Then

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{\alpha_j} = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n U_{\alpha_j} \right) \cap Y = Y,$$

so $\bigcup_{j=1}^n U_{\alpha_j} \supseteq Y$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that every open cover of Y by open subsets of X has a finite subcover. We want to show that Y is compact. Let $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an open cover of Y .

By definition of the subspace topology, for each $i \in I$, there is an open subset U_i of X such that $U_i \cap Y = V_i$. Then $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i = \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \right) \cap Y$, so $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \supseteq Y$. Then $\{U_i\}$ is a cover of Y by open subsets of X , so there exists $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^n U_{\alpha_j} \supseteq Y$. Then $\bigcup_{j=1}^n V_{\alpha_j} = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n U_{\alpha_j} \right) \cap Y = Y$.

□