WQD7011 Numerical Optimization

Optimizing Human Resource Allocation in Organization



Group 1
Members:
Yew Siew Chen WQD180004
Bong Sze Ting WQD180033
Lee Mei Tee WQD180046
Yong Wai Shin WQD180063

Introduction

In every organization, one of the key objectives is to achieve maximum output with minimum resources.

Linear programming has been applied extensively in various fields to optimize the use of resources.

In our study, we discussed about human resource allocation using linear programming to help in achieving optimal performance and reducing manpower wastage with a given budget.

Dataset









THE DETAILS OF 1470 EMPLOYEES INCLUDING GENDER, EDUCATION FIELD, MONTHLY SALARY, JOB ROLE, PERFORMANCE RATING, MARITAL STATUS, TOTAL WORKING YEARS AND OTHER

Framing a linear programming model

The variables for our problem, x_i will be defined as 1 if employee is selected and 0 otherwise

 x_i = Variable for employee i

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if employee i is selected to form a team} \\ 0 & \text{if employee i is not selected to form a team} \end{cases}$$

Objective Function:

Maximize the performance rating by forming a team of 30 employees.

$$Max \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i x_i$$

 r_i = Performance rating for employee i

Constraints:

A total of 9 constraints has been identified.

i. Salary constraint: By calculating the mean salary for each job role, we had limited our total monthly salary to \$200,000. This means that we should form a team of 30 employees with the total salary less than or equal to \$200,000.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i x_i \le 200000$$

 s_i = Monthly salary for employee i

ii. Position constraint: A team is formed with only 5 human resources, 5 sales executive, 6 sales representative, 4 research scientist, 5 laboratory technician and 5 managers.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{1,i} x_{i} = 5$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{2,i} x_{i} = 5$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{3,i} x_{i} = 6$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{4,i} x_{i} = 4$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{5,i} x_{i} = 5$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{6,i} x_{i} = 5$$

set $a_{1,i}$ to 1 if employee i is human resource, and 0 otherwise set $a_{2,i}$ to 1 if employee i is sales executive, and 0 otherwise set $a_{3,i}$ to 1 if employee i is sales representative, and 0 otherwise set $a_{4,i}$ to 1 if employee i is research scientist, and 0 otherwise set $a_{5,i}$ to 1 if employee i is laboratory technician, and 0 otherwise set $a_{6,i}$ to 1 if employee i is manager, and 0 otherwise

iii. Job satisfaction constraint: Select employees with enough passionate towards their jobs to form this team. Thus, employees with job satisfaction scoring more than or equal to 3 will only be chosen.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i = 30$$

set b_i to 1 if employee i score \geq 3 for job satisfaction, and 0 otherwise

iv. Working experience of manager constraint: Select all the managers with at least 10 years of working experiences.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i = 5$$

set c_i to 1 if employee i is manager and has \geq 10 years working experience, and 0 otherwise

Finding & Justification

- 30 employees are selected with the total salary is \$155,555 which is less than \$200,000.
- The total number of candidates for each job role as predefined.
- Working experience of all the managers are more than 10 years.
- The job satisfaction rating for each candidate is more than or equal to 3.
- Thus, the optimal value of the objective function (performance rating) found in the feasible region is 119.

| | EmployeeNumber | Johnolo | TotalWorkingVears | MonthlyIncome | PerformanceRating | Condor | lobSatisfaction | include |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|
| 1 | 205 | Sales Representative | 13 | 2306 | rei i oi illancekacing | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 1 2 | 484 | Sales Representative | 3 | 2610 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 3 | 1541 | Sales Representative | 12 | 2308 | 4 | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 1554 | Sales Representative | 6 | 2430 | 4 | Female | 3 | 1 |
| 5 | 1556 | Sales Representative | 7 | 2644 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 6 | 1702 | Sales Representative | 3 | 2275 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 7 | 60 | Sales Executive | 10 | 4568 | 4 | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 8 | 62 | Sales Executive | 14 | 5772 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 9 | 120 | Sales Executive | 11 | 5441 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 10 | | Sales Executive | 4 | 4999 | 4 | Female | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | | Sales Executive | 8 | 4028 | 4 | Female | 4 | 1 |
| 17 | | Research Scientist | 5 | 2070 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | | Research Scientist | i | 1223 | 4 | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 14 | | Research Scientist | 5 | 2029 | 4 | Female | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | | Research Scientist | 1 | 2061 | | Female | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | | Manager | 22 | 16064 | 4 | Female | 4 | 1 |
| 17 | | Manager | 15 | 12504 | 4 | Female | 3 | 1 |
| 18 | | Manager | 26 | 16032 | 4 | Female | 4 | 1 |
| 19 | 1550 | Manager | 21 | 16437 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 20 | | Manager | 23 | 15202 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 2: | 525 | Laboratory Technician | 8 | 2258 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 22 | | Laboratory Technician | 16 | 2519 | 4 | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | | Laboratory Technician | 1 | 2377 | 4 | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 24 | | Laboratory Technician | 2 | 2625 | 4 | Female | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | | Laboratory Technician | 7 | 2570 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |
| 26 | | Human Resources | 8 | 2942 | 4 | Female | 3 | 1 |
| 27 | | Human Resources | 6 | 2064 | 4 | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 28 | | Human Resources | 1 | 1555 | 3 | Male | 3 | 1 |
| 29 | | Human Resources | 9 | 9756 | 4 | Female | 3 | 1 |
| 30 | 1890 | Human Resources | 10 | 3886 | 4 | Male | 4 | 1 |

Conclusion

A simple linear programming model that could help in optimal human resource allocation based on job position, salary, performance rating, job satisfaction score and total years of working experiences.

R coding

```
library(dplyr)
library(lpsolve)
options(stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
#load dataset
df <- read.csv('hr.csv')</pre>
df <- df %>%
  filter(JobRole %in% c('Human Resources', 'Sales Executive', 'Sales Representative',
                         'Research Scientist', 'Laboratory Technician', 'Manager'))
direction <- 'max'
objective.in <- df$PerformanceRating
const.mat <- rbind(</pre>
  1 * (df$MonthlyIncome),
  1 * (df$JobRole == 'Human Resources'),
  1 * (df$JobRole == 'Sales Executive'),
  1 * (df$JobRole == 'Sales Representative'),
  1 * (df$JobRole == 'Research Scientist'),
  1 * (df$JobRole == 'Laboratory Technician'),
  1 * (df$JobRole == 'Manager'),
  1 * (df$JobSatisfaction >= 3),
  1 * (df$JobRole == 'Manager' & df$TotalWorkingYears >= 10))
```

```
const.dir <- c(
      const.rhs <- c(
        200000.
        5,
        5,
        6,
        5,
        5,
        30.
      # solve linear programming
      lp_solution <- lp(</pre>
        direction = direction,
        objective.in = objective.in,
        const.mat = const.mat,
        const.dir = const.dir,
        const.rhs = const.rhs,
        all.bin = TRUE,
        num.bin.solns = 1)
# attach solution to HR dataset
team <- mutate(df, include = lp_solution$solution)</pre>
#identify the employees included in the team
t <- team %>%
  select(EmployeeNumber, JobRole, TotalWorkingYears, MonthlyIncome,
         PerformanceRating,Gender,JobSatisfaction,include) %>%
  filter(include == 1) %>%
  arrange(desc(JobRole))
lp_solution$objval
```