# Workshop 12: Models for regression and classification

FIE463: Numerical Methods in Macroeconomics and Finance using Python

Richard Foltyn
NHH Norwegian School of Economics

April 3, 2025

See GitHub repository for notebooks and data:

https://github.com/richardfoltyn/FIE463-V25

# Exercise 1: Predicting house prices with linear models

In this exercise, you will work with the Ames house data set which we already encountered in the lectures. Your task is to evaluate the following three linear models in terms of their performance when predicting house prices:

- 1. Linear regression without any regularization
- 2. Ridge regression
- 3. Lasso

# **Data description**

The data is stored in in the file data/ames\_houses.csv and can be loaded as follows:

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
      # Use this path to use the CSV file from the data/ directory
     file = '../../data/ames_houses.csv'
     df = pd.read_csv(file, sep=',')
      # Variables used in the analysis
     variables = [
          'LotArea',
          'LivingArea',
          'Bathrooms',
          'Bedrooms',
          'SalePrice',
          'OverallQuality',
          'BuildingType',
          'YearBuilt',
          'CentralAir',
     # Drop rows with any missing observation
     df = df.dropna(subset=variables)
```

```
# Drop observations with large living or lot area
df = df.query('LivingArea <= 350 & LotArea <= 5000')
print(f'Number of observations: {df.shape[0]:,d}')</pre>
```

Number of observations: 2,755

The included variables are a simplified subset of the original data (see here for a detailed description of the original variables):

- 1. LotArea: Lot size in square meters
- 2. Neighborhood: Physical locations within Ames city limits
- 3. OverallQuality: Rates the overall material and finish of the house (1 = very poor, 10 = excellent)
- 4. OverallCondition: Rates the overall condition of the house (1 = very poor, 10 = excellent)
- 5. YearBuilt: Original construction date
- 6. YearRemodeled: Remodel date (same as construction date if no remodeling or additions)
- 7. BuildingType: Type of dwelling
- 8. Central Air: Central air conditioning (string, Y/N)
- 9. LivingArea: Above grade (ground) living area in square meters
- 10. Bathrooms: Full bathrooms above grade
- 11. Bedrooms: Bedrooms above grade (does not include basement bedrooms)
- 12. Fireplaces: Number of fireplaces
- 13. SalePrice: Sale price in thousands of USD
- 14. YearSold: Year sold
- 15. MonthSold: Month sold
- 16. HasGarage: Flag whether property has a garage

# Part 1 — Data preprocessing

Apply the following steps to preprocess the data before estimation:

- 1. Recode the string values in column CentralAir into numbers such that 'N' is mapped to 0 and 'Y' is mapped to 1.
- 2. Recode the string values in column BuildingType and create the new variable IsSingleFamily which takes on the value 1 whenever a house is a single-family home and 0 otherwise.
- 3. Convert the variables SalePrice, LivingArea and LotArea to (natural) logs. Name the transformed columns logSalePrice, logLivingArea and logLotArea.
- 4. Plot the histograms of SalePrice, LivingArea, and LotArea. In a new figure, plot the histograms of logSalePrice, logLivingArea and logLotArea. Which set of variables os better suited for model fitting?

#### Part 2 — Model features

## Model specification

You are now asked to estimate the following model of house prices as a function of house characteristics:

$$\begin{split} \log(SalePrice_i) = \alpha + f\Big(\log(LivingArea_i),\ \log(LotArea_i), OverallQuality_i, \\ Bathrooms_i,\ Bedrooms_i\Big) \\ + \gamma_0 YearBuilt_i + \gamma_1 CentralAir_i + \gamma_3 IsSingleFamily_i + \epsilon_i \end{split}$$

where i indexes observations and  $\epsilon$  is an additive error term. The function  $f(\bullet)$  is a *polynomial of degree 3* in its arguments, i.e., it includes all terms and interactions of the given variables where the exponents sum to 3 or less:

```
\begin{split} f(\log(\mathit{LivingArea}_i), \log(\mathit{LotArea}_i), \dots) &= \beta_0 \log(\mathit{LivingArea}_i) + \beta_1 \log(\mathit{LivingArea}_i)^2 \\ &+ \beta_2 \log(\mathit{LivingArea}_i)^3 + \beta_3 \log(\mathit{LotArea}_i) \\ &+ \beta_4 \log(\mathit{LotArea}_i)^2 + \beta_5 \log(\mathit{LotArea}_i)^3 \\ &+ \beta_6 \log(\mathit{LivingArea}_i) \log(\mathit{LotArea}_i) \\ &+ \beta_7 \log(\mathit{LivingArea}_i)^2 \log(\mathit{LotArea}_i) \\ &+ \beta_8 \log(\mathit{LivingArea}_i) \log(\mathit{LotArea}_i)^2 \\ &+ \dots \end{split}
```

#### Creating model features and outcomes

1. Complete the template code below to create a feature matrix X which contains all polynomial interactions as well as the remaining non-interacted variables.

Hints:

- Use the PolynomialFeatures transformation to create the polynomial terms and interactions from the columns logLivingArea, logLotArea, OverallQuality, Bathrooms and Bedrooms.
- Make sure that the generated polynomial does *not* contain a constant ("bias"). You should include the intercept when estimating a model instead.
- You can use np.hstack() to concatenate two matrices (the polynomials and the remaining covariates) along the column dimension.
- The complete feature matrix X should contain a total of 55 columns (52 polynomial interactions and 3 non-polynomial features).
- 2. Split the data into a training and a test subset such that the training sample contains 70% of observations.

Hint:

- Use the function train\_test\_split() to split the sample. Pass the argument random\_state=1234 to get reproducible results.
- Make sure to define the training and test samples only *once* so that they are identical for all estimators used below.

```
[9]: # Random state (for train/test split and cross-validation)
RANDOM_STATE = 1234

# Name of target variable
target = 'logSalePrice'
```

```
# Features included as polynomials
features_poly = [
    'logLivingArea',
    'logLotArea',
    'OverallQuality',
    'Bathrooms',
    'Bedrooms',
]
# Other features not included in polynomials
features_other = ['YearBuilt', 'CentralAir', 'IsSingleFamily']
features = features_poly + features_other
# Keep only columns that are used to estimate model
columns = [target] + features
df = df[columns]
# Response variable
y = df[target]
# TODO: Create polynomial features
# TODO: Merge polynomial features and non-polynomial features into single matrix X
# TODO: Split data into training and test sets
```

# Part 3 — Linear regression

Perform the following tasks:

- 1. Estimate the above specification using the linear regression model LinearRegression on the training sub-set.
  - Do you need to standardize features before estimating a linear regression model?
  - Does the linear regression model have any hyperparameters?
- 2. Compute and report the root mean squared error (RMSE) and the  $R^2$  on the test sample.

## Hints:

- The root mean squared error can be computed with root\_mean\_squared\_error().
- The R<sup>2</sup> can be computed with r2\_score().

# Part 4 — Ridge regression

Next, you want to estimate a Ridge regression which has the regularization strength  $\alpha$  as a hyperparameter.

- 1. Use RidgeCV to determine the best  $\alpha$  on the training sub-sample. You can use the MSE metric (the default) to find the optimal  $\alpha$ . Report the optimal  $\alpha$  and the corresponding MSE.
  - Does Ridge regression require feature standardization? If so, don't forget to apply it before fitting the model.
- 2. Use the function plot\_validation\_curve() defined below to plot the MSE (averaged over folds on the training sub-sample) against the regularization strength  $\alpha$ .
- 3. Compute and report the RMSE and the  $R^2$  on the test sample.

Hints:

• When running RidgeCV, use a grid of 1,000  $\alpha$ 's which are spaced uniformly in logs:

```
alphas = np.logspace(np.log10(1.0e-6), np.log10(100), 1000)
```

• Recall that the (negative!) best MSE is stored in the attribute best\_score\_ after cross-validation is complete.

```
[14]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
       def plot_validation_curve(alphas, mse_mean, title=None):
           Plot validation curve for Ridge or Lasso.
           Parameters
           alphas : array-like
              Regularization strengths.
           mse mean : array-like
              Cross-validated MSE (averaged over folds).
           title : str, optional
              Title of the plot.
           # Index of MSE-minimizing alpha
           imin = np.argmin(mse_mean)
           # Plot MSE against alphas, highlight minimum MSE
           plt.plot(alphas, mse mean)
           plt.xlabel(r'Regularisation strength $\alpha$ (log scale)')
           plt.ylabel('Cross-validated MSE')
           plt.scatter(alphas[imin], mse_mean[imin], s=15, c='black', zorder=100)
           plt.axvline(alphas[imin], ls=':', lw=0.75, c='black')
           plt.title(title)
           plt.xscale('log')
```

#### Part 5 — Lasso

Next, you want to estimate a Lasso model which also has a regularization strength hyperparameter  $\alpha$ :

- 1. Use LassoCV to determine the best  $\alpha$  on the training sub-sample using cross-validation with 5 folds. You can use the MSE metric (the default) to find the optimal  $\alpha$ . Report the optimal  $\alpha$  and the corresponding MSE.
  - Does Lasso require feature standardization? If so, don't forget to apply it before fitting the model.
- 2. Use the function plot\_validation\_curve() to plot the MSE (averaged over folds on the training sub-sample) against the regularization strength  $\alpha$ .
- 3. Compute and report the RMSE and the  $R^2$  on the test sample for the model using the optimal  $\alpha$ .
- 4. Report the number of non-zero coefficients for the model using the optimal  $\alpha$ .

#### Hints:

- Getting Lasso to converge may require some experimentation. The following settings should help:
  - 1. Increase the max. number of iterations to max\_iter=100\_000.
  - 2. Use selection='random' and set random\_state=1234 to get reproducible results.
- Use eps=1.0e-4 as an argument to LassoCV to specify the ratio of the smallest to the largest  $\alpha$ .
- After cross-validation is complete, the MSE for each value of  $\alpha$  and each fold are stored in the attribute mse\_path\_ which is an array with shape (N\_ALPHA, N\_FOLDS).

## Part 6 — Compare estimation results

Create a table which contains the MSE and  $R^2$  computed on the test sample for all three models (using their optimal hyperparameters). Which model performs best?

# **Exercise 2: Classification of above-average houses**

We continue with the setup from the previous exercise, but now use classification to predict whether a house was sold for more than the average price in its neighborhood.

Use the same initial data processing steps as before, which are repeated here for convenience:

```
[ ]: import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
      # Use this path to use the CSV file from the data/ directory
     file = '../../data/ames_houses.csv'
     df = pd.read_csv(file, sep=',')
     # Drop rows with any missing observation
     df = df.dropna()
     # Drop observations with large living or lot area
     df = df.query('LivingArea <= 350 & LotArea <= 5000')</pre>
     # Create log-transformed variables
     df['logLivingArea'] = np.log(df['LivingArea'])
     df['logLotArea'] = np.log(df['LotArea'])
      # Create indicator variable for single family homes
     df['IsSingleFamily'] = (df['BuildingType'] == 'Single-family').astype(int)
      # Create indicator variable for central air
     df['CentralAir'] = df['CentralAir'].map({'Y': 1, 'N': 0})
     print(f'Number of observations: {df.shape[0]:,d}')
```

## Part 1 — Data preprocessing

Perform the following additional data processing steps:

- 1. Drop all neighborhoods with less than 40 observations.
- 2. Create a new variable MoreExpensive which is 1 whenever the sale price is above the average sale price in the neighborhood.
- 3. Split the data set into two data frames, df\_train and df\_test, where the test sample should contain 20% of the observations. Stratify the train-test split using the indicator MoreExpensive.

# Part 2 — Logistic regression

Using the template code below, create the feature matrix for the logistic regression as follows:

- 1. Create polynomials of degree 3 using the variables LivingArea, LotArea, OverallQuality, OverallCondition, Bathrooms, Bedrooms, Fireplaces, and YearRemodeled
- 2. Add the non-interacted features CentralAir, and IsSingleFamily to the feature matrix.

Then perform the following steps to fit and evaluate the model:

- 1. Fit the logistic regression with LogisticRegression(), using the indicator MoreExpensive as the target variable.
  - Does the logistic regression require feature standardization? If so, you need to transform the features using StandardScaler().
  - You can use the default parameters for LogisticRegression, but you might need to increase the maximum number of iterations (e.g., max\_iter=10\_000).
- 2. After you have fitted the model, use the function tabulate\_classifier\_metrics() defined below to tabulate the accuracy, precision, recall, and the F1 store on the test sample.
- 3. After you have fitted the model, use the function plot\_confusion\_matrix() defined below to plot the confusion matrix on the test sample.

This function calls ConfusionMatrixDisplay.from\_estimator() to create a confusion matrix graph.

```
[ ]: from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
     # Target variable name
     target = 'MoreExpensive'
     # Features included as polynomials (in logistic regression)
     features_poly = [
          'LivingArea',
          'LotArea',
          'OverallQuality',
          'OverallCondition',
          'Bathrooms',
          'Bedrooms',
          'Fireplaces',
          'YearRemodeled'
     ]
     # Other features not included in polynomials
     features_other = ['CentralAir', 'IsSingleFamily']
     features = features_poly + features_other
     # Response variable
     y_train = df_train[target]
     y_test = df_test[target]
     # TODO: Create polynomial features for training sample
     # TODO: Create polynomial features for test sample
     \# TODO: Merge polynomial features and non-polynomial features into X_train
     # TODO: Merge polynomial features and non-polynomial features into X_test
     # TODO: Standardize features
     # TODO: Fit logistic regression model
     # TODO: Tabulate metrics on test sample using tabulate_classification_metrics()
     # TODO: Plot confusion matrix using plot_confusion_matrix()
```

```
[30]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, f1_score, precision_score, recall_score

def tabulate_classifier_metrics(estimator, X, y):
```

```
Tabulate classification metrics (accuracy, precision, recall, F1).
Parameters
estimator : object
   Fitted classifier.
X : array-like
   Feature matrix.
y : array-like
   Target variable.
# Predict outcome
y_pred = estimator.predict(X)
# Compute scores
acc = accuracy_score(y, y_pred)
pre = precision_score(y, y_pred)
rec = recall_score(y, y_pred)
f1 = f1_score(y, y_pred)
# Combine scores into a single Series
index = pd.Index(
    ['Accuracy', 'Precision [TP/(TP+FP)]', 'Recall [TP/P]', 'F1'], name='Metric'
stats = pd.Series([acc, pre, rec, f1], index=index)
stats = stats.round(3)
return stats
```

```
[31]: from sklearn.metrics import ConfusionMatrixDisplay
       def plot_confusion_matrix(estimator, X, y, title='Confusion matrix'):
           Plot confusion matrix for classification model.
           Parameters
           estimator : estimator
              Fitted classification model.
           X : array-like
              Feature matrix.
           y : array-like
              Target variable.
           title : str
              Title of the plot.
           cm = ConfusionMatrixDisplay.from_estimator(
               estimator=estimator,
              X=X,
               y = y,
               values_format=',d',
               cmap='Blues',
               colorbar=False,
               text_kw={'fontsize': 10, 'fontweight': 'bold'},
           cm.ax_.set_title(title)
```

## Part 3 — Logistic regression CV

Instead of using the default regularization strength C=1, perform cross-validation to find the optimal value of C:

1. Run the cross-validation with LogisticRegressionCV.

Create a log-spaced grid of candidate values as follows:

```
C_{grid} = np.logspace(-2, 2, 500)
```

- 2. Report the optimal value of *C*.
- 3. After you have fitted the model, use the function tabulate\_classifier\_metrics() to tabulate the accuracy, precision, recall, and the F1 store on the test sample.
- 4. After you have fitted the model, use the function plot\_confusion\_matrix() defined below to plot the confusion matrix on the test sample.

#### Part 4 — Random forest

You now want to investigate how other classifiers perform on this task compared to logistic regression.

- 1. Fit the Random forest classifier implemented in RandomForestClassifier to the data. Use the default parameters for now.
  - Do you need to include polynomial interactions with Random forest?
  - Do you need to standardize the features with Random forest?
- 2. After you have fitted the model, use the function tabulate\_classifier\_metrics() to tabulate the accuracy, precision, recall, and the F1 store on the test sample.
- 3. After you have fitted the model, use the function plot\_confusion\_matrix() defined below to plot the confusion matrix on the test sample.

#### Part 5 — Random forest CV

In the previous part, you used the standard hyperparameters for the Random forest (e.g., the number of trees to grow and the maximum depth).

- 1. Perform cross-validation of these parameters with GridSearchCV, using the parameter grids defined in the template below.
- 2. After you have fitted the model, use the function tabulate\_classifier\_metrics() to tabulate the accuracy, precision, recall, and the F1 store on the test sample.
- 3. After you have fitted the model, use the function plot\_confusion\_matrix() defined below to plot the confusion matrix on the test sample.

```
[43]: from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

# Define hyperparameter grid
param_grid = {
        'n_estimators': np.arange(100, 201, 10),
        'max_depth': np.arange(3, 20),
}

# TODO: Call GridSearchCV to find optimal hyperparameters

# TODO: Report optimal number of estimators stored in best_params_

# TODO: Report optimal max depth stored in best_params_
```

# Part 6 — Compare estimation results

Combine the accuracy, presion, recall, and F1 metrics for all the models you estimated and report them in a single table. Which estimator does best on the classification task?