

# Problem Solving by Searching: Comprehensive Examination Answers

## 1. Criteria for Evaluating Search Strategies

Four principal criteria evaluate search strategies:

**Completeness**: Ensures the algorithm finds a solution if one exists (e.g., BFS is complete in finite graphs) [1] [2].

Optimality: Guarantees the lowest-cost path (e.g., UCS for non-negative edge costs) [3] [2].

Time Complexity: Number of nodes generated, often expressed as

 $O(b^d)$ 

for branching factor

b

and depth

d

[1]

**Space Complexity**: Memory required, critical for deep searches (e.g., DFS uses

O(bm)

, where

m

is maximum depth)[2].

# 2. Problem Definition and Components

A problem in AI is defined by:

1. Initial State: Starting configuration (e.g., scrambled 8-puzzle).

2. Actions: Operators

A(s)

applicable in state

s

(e.g., moving tiles).

3. Transition Model: Result of applying action

 $\boldsymbol{a}$ 

in state

(e.g., new tile positions) [4] [5].

- 4. Goal Test: Determines if a state is terminal (e.g., correct puzzle arrangement).
- 5. **Path Cost**: Sum of action costs (e.g., fuel consumption in route planning) [5].

#### 3. Real-World Problem Formulation

**Real-world problems** involve complex, unstructured scenarios (e.g., urban traffic management). Formulation steps:

- 1. **Abstraction**: Ignore irrelevant details (e.g., vehicle color in traffic routing).
- 2. State Identification: Define critical variables (e.g., traffic light timings).
- 3. **Constraint Definition**: Specify legal actions (e.g., speed limits). Example: VLSI chip design reduces to component placement and routing subproblems [5].

## 4. State-Space Search Technique

**State-space search** systematically explores all possible states using:

• States

S

: Distinct problem configurations

Actions

 $\boldsymbol{A}$ 

: Transition operators between states

- Goal Test: Terminal condition checker

- Path Cost: Accumulated action costs

Algorithm: Represented as

 $\langle S, A, T, G, C \rangle$ 

, where

T

is transition model and

C

is cost function [1].

# 5. Uniform-Cost Search (UCS) Analysis

**Definition**: Expands least-cost nodes first using priority queues [3]. **Merits**:

• Optimal for non-negative edge costs

Effective in weighted graphsDemerits:

• High memory (

$$O(b^{C^*/\epsilon})$$

, where

$$C^*$$

is optimal cost)

- Inefficient for uniform costs compared to BFS

## 6. Blind Search Definition

Blind (Uninformed) Search explores without domain knowledge:

- No heuristic guidance
- Examples: BFS, DFS, UCS
- Guarantees completeness but often inefficient [2].

## 7. Uninformed vs Informed Search

Criterion	Uninformed	Informed
Heuristic Use	No	Yes (e.g., Manhattan distance)
Time Complexity	Higher ( $O(b^d)$	
)	Lower ( $O(b^{d/2})$	
)		
Optimality	Conditionally guaranteed	With admissible heuristics

Example: A\* vs BFS in maze solving [2].

# 8. Hill-Climbing Search and Drawbacks

 $\textbf{Algorithm:} \ \, \text{Local search moving to higher-value neighbors} \, \underline{^{[6]}}.$ 

Drawbacks:

- Local Maxima: Stops at suboptimal peaks (e.g., gradient ascent in non-convex functions).
- Plateaus: No improvement direction (e.g., flat error surfaces in ML).
- Ridges: Oscillates between sideways moves.

## 9. Hill Climbing as Greedy Search

**Greedy Nature**: Always selects immediate best neighbor.

**Problems:** 

1. Local Maxima Trap: Example: Maximizing

$$f(x)=-x^2$$

starting at

$$x = 1$$

2. Plateau Navigation: Requires randomness (e.g., simulated annealing).

## 10. Admissible Heuristic

A heuristic

is admissible if it never overestimates true cost to goal (

$$h(n) \leq h^*(n)$$

). Essential for A\* optimality [2].

# 11. A\* Algorithm and Example

#### Algorithm:

Example: 8-puzzle with Manhattan distance heuristic reduces node expansions by 70% [1].

#### 12. A\* for Minimal Cost Path

Combines UCS (exact

) and greedy search (heuristic

). Prioritizes nodes with minimal

, ensuring optimal path discovery [2].

# 13. A\* Benefits Over UCS and Greedy

- Optimality: Achieves UCS's optimality with heuristic speed.
- Efficiency: Expands fewer nodes than UCS (

h(n)

guidance).

- **Completeness**: Guaranteed if heuristic is admissible [2].

# 14. Heuristic Search and A\* Optimality

Heuristic Search uses domain knowledge (e.g.,

h(n)

) to guide exploration.

## **Optimality Proof:**

1. Assume suboptimal goal

 $G_2$ 

is generated before optimal

 $G_1$ 

2. Let

n

be unexpanded node on optimal path to

 $G_1$ 

3.

$$f(n)=g(n)+h(n)\leq g(G_1)$$

(admissibility).

4. Thus,

$$f(n) \leq f(G_1) < f(G_2)$$

, so

 $G_2$ 

wouldn't be selected first. Contradiction [2].

#### 15. Heuristic Functions in CSPs

**Heuristic**: Guides variable/value selection in constraint satisfaction.

- Minimum Remaining Values (MRV): Chooses variable with fewest legal values.
- Least Constraining Value (LCV): Maximizes future flexibility.

  Example: Map coloring prioritizes regions with most adjacent conflicts [5].

## 16. Depth-First Search (DFS)

#### Algorithm:

```
procedure DFS(node):
   if node is goal: return path
   mark visited
   for neighbor in node.children:
       if not visited:
        result = DFS(neighbor)
        if result: return result
   return null
```

Example: Maze solving using backtracking (e.g., left-hand rule)[2].

#### 17. Best-First Search Evaluation

- 1. Completeness: No (may ignore promising paths).
- 2. Optimality: No (depends on heuristic quality).
- 3. **Time**:

 $O(b^m)$ 

(worst-case).

4. Space:

 $O(b^m)$ 

(stores entire frontier)[2].

### 18. DFS vs BFS Examples

**DFS**: Explores depth-first using stacks. *Example*: Solving n-queens via backtracking.

**BFS**: Level-order traversal using queues. *Example*: Shortest path in unweighted graphs [2].

#### 19. BFS vs DFS Differences

Aspect	BFS	DFS
Data Structure	Queue	Stack
Optimality	Yes (unweighted)	No
Space Complexity	$O(b^d)$	
	O(bm)	
	- ()	

# 20. Advantages of BFS and DFS

#### BFS:

- · Guarantees shortest path
- Complete in finite spaces **DFS**:
- Low memory (linear in depth)
- Faster for deep solutions

# 21. DFS vs Depth-Limited Search (DLS)

**DLS** imposes a depth cutoff

l

:

• Prevents infinite loops (e.g.,

$$l = 10$$

for game trees).

• Incomplete if solution depth >

l

[2]

#### 22. Informed Search vs DFS

**Informed Search** uses heuristics (e.g., A\*), while DFS is uninformed. *Difference*: DFS blindly explores depth; informed methods prioritize promising nodes [2].

# 23. Iterative Deepening Search (IDS)

Combines BFS completeness with DFS memory efficiency:

1. Perform DFS with depth limit

$$l=0,1,\ldots$$

2. Repeats search incrementally.

Example: Chess AI evaluates moves to increasing depths [2].

# 24. IDS vs DFS Computational Cost

**IDS Time**:

 $O(b^d)$ 

(repeats levels).

**DFS Space**:

O(bd)

vs IDS

O(d)

Trade-off: IDS sacrifices time for BFS-like completeness [2].

# 25. IDS vs Depth-Limited Search (DLS)

IDS: Gradually increases depth limit.

**DLS**: Fixed cutoff.

Key Difference: IDS is complete; DLS requires prior depth knowledge [2].

# 26. Genetic Algorithm (GA)

Stochastic optimization inspired by evolution:

1. **Population**: Candidate solutions.

2. **Selection**: Fitness-based reproduction.

3. **Crossover**: Combine parent traits.

4. **Mutation**: Introduce diversity.

Application: Neural network hyperparameter tuning [1].

#### 27. GA Flowchart

 $[\mathsf{Start}] \ \to \ \mathsf{Initialize} \ \mathsf{Population} \ \to \ \mathsf{Evaluate} \ \mathsf{Fitness} \ \to \ [\mathsf{Selection} \ \to \ \mathsf{Crossover} \ \to \ \mathsf{Mutatio}$ 

## 28. GA Operators

1. **Selection**: Tournament selection chooses top candidates.

2. **Crossover**: Single-point crossover merges parent chromosomes.

3. **Mutation**: Bit-flip introduces randomness [1].

#### 29. Bidirectional Search

Searches from start and goal simultaneously:

• Avoiding Repeats in DFS: Track visited nodes in both directions.

• Example: Social network connection finding [2].

## 30. Constraint Satisfaction Problem (CSP)

**Definition:** 

 $\langle X, D, C \rangle$ 

where variables

X

have domains

D

under constraints

C

Example: Sudoku with cell variables, digit domains, and row/column/box constraints [5].

# 31. Contingency vs Exploration Problems

**Contingency**: Uncertain outcomes (e.g., poker with hidden cards).

**Exploration**: Unknown state space (e.g., robot mapping) [2].

# 32. Problem Types

• Single-State: Fully observable (e.g., 8-puzzle).

• Multi-State: Partial observability (e.g., poker).

• **Contingency**: Requires action-response pairs.

• Exploration: Active information gathering.

## 33. Bidirectional Search Strategy

**Strategy**: Concurrent forward/backward searches meeting midway. *Example*: Route planning from both origin and destination cities [2].



- 1. <a href="https://www.scaler.com/topics/artificial-intelligence-tutorial/state-space-search-in-artificial-intelligence-tutorial/state-spac
- 2. https://www.upgrad.com/blog/difference-between-informed-and-uninformed-search/
- 3. https://www.appliedaicourse.com/blog/uniform-cost-search/
- 4. https://aalimec.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/Material/cse/2/Al&ML NOTES watermark.pdf
- 5. https://www.appliedaicourse.com/blog/problem-formulation-in-ai/
- 6. https://www.simplilearn.com/tutorials/artificial-intelligence-tutorial/hill-climbing-algorithm-in-ai