



Fig.I.



FOR INFORMATION ABOUT OUR OUR AIMS AND OUR PROJECTS

Fig.III.



Chronoscopic
Education

Hirsch Chronoskopische Versuche.

Fig.V.




Signs of Literacy
Kaggle Research Competition

Background Pack, Ver. 1.3

Colin Greenstreet

Friday, June 29th, 2018

Our social aims



Project portfolio

<http://www.chronoscopic.org>

MarineLives



Signs of Literacy



Maphackathon



EM Textiles, Garments & Dyestuffs Glossary



EM Maritime & Mercantile Gazetteer



Signs of Literacy Kaggle Research Competition, Nov 2018 – Jan 2019



Google owned Kaggle has selected us as one of a small number of pro bono competitions they support each year on the merits of our proposal, and the potential impact on the research field and community of the competition.

Kaggle will cover the running costs of the competition. We will provide the prize pool, and are now seeking to raise US \$30,000 from potential sponsors and partners.

The Proof of Concept will contain two parts:

- (1) Algorithmic identification of marks, initials and signatures.
- (2) Algorithmic discrimination between degrees of "sophistication" within the three categories of "mark"; "initial(s)", and "signature".

Having proven the concept, we will seek out an image or vision oriented computational laboratory with which to develop a grant funded collaboration to take the work further in 2019 and beyond.

Technical vision & role of the Kaggle competition

- Automatic identification of manuscript pages containing signoffs
- Markup of manuscript pages to isolate signoffs (markes, initials, signatures)
 - Hand markup of manuscript pages, but ideally automated markup
 - Signoffs can be single or multiple, for single or multiple depositions
 - Deponent signoffs; interpreter signoffs
- Automatic differentiation between classes of markes, initials and signatures
- Automatic differentiation within each class as to sophistication of execution & other parameters as a surrogate for literacy
- Automatic identification of manuscript pages containing deponent metadata (name; age; occupation; place of residence; date of deposition)
- Markup of manuscript pages to isolate deponent metadata
- Hand writing text recognition of deponent metadata and associate metadata with correct signoff

Legal deposition

Deposition of Mark Harrison; mariner and master; resident in Wapping, Middlesex; age 27;
Dated September 21st 1659 (TNA, HCA 13/68, ff. 1r-3r)



Metadata

part of the liberty of England by divers
unlawful respects against the same
where whereof this last present letter
and the articles next hereunto, and
in many places diversly reported before
this cause had or may have been taken
and for bettering the same in the
present state of this Commonwealth and of
the English government divers
in particular cases the said letters and acts
are to be observed as in the
former time of the said Edward and also
according to the Statutes and any right held
or claimed in the said State of Lancashire
in the County of Lancashire for the same
by the King or Queen of England.

On the first article of the said letter the King and his Council doth by their
order declare that the following Star or Morning Star and for distinction was
taken and paid by certain shippes in the several ports of this Commonwealth
in the English seas upon the Coast of England and was first paid by
the notable ship the Starrevalle for which Captaine was Captain
and crew of divers shippes taken by the said shippes and certain shippes
named the Starrevalle, Edward, Peter, Master, etc, paid by divers
and for the said two shippes were in the several ports of this Commonwealth
till the Mayfestival, and the 16th instant were now paid in respect to
time of the said paynes. The shippes the Edward Master to being
master of the Starrevalle for all aforesaid and above, for all the said
King of England. And divers other small charges.

On the second article of the said letter the King and his Council doth by their
order declare that the sume of £ 200 was paid and taken by the shippes
abovesayd on or about the 25th day of February 1662 by divers shippes
with the said sume upon the English seas where to shipp upon the Coast of
England within the purview and jurisdiction of the English Ports in or
the said Edward Master and divers other shippes to shipp upon the said
time of and about the said Starrevalle first paid and above £ 200 to
the morning Star and a shipp in the following order taking of the said amount
and it is for reme of same.

On the third article of the said letter the King and his Council doth by their
order declare that the 25th day of February 1662 also for divers shippes to shipp
and diversly paid and set by divers shippes and divers ports of this Commonwealth the sume
and for divers shippes to shipp upon the Coast of England and divers
and diversly paid and divers ports of this Commonwealth diversly because the Edward Master
at divers times paid or shipped and at divers ports of this Commonwealth
and diversly paid and divers ports of this Commonwealth diversly because of the
said shipp the Starrevalle. And divers by divers shippes.


On the fourth article and diversly last of the eight of this present the King
where the shippes to shipp upon the Coast of England diversly paid and divers
and divers ports of this Commonwealth diversly because of the said shipp
the Starrevalle and diversly of the shipp the Edward Master. The
fourth diversly divers shippes.

Signoff

To the old he said he would not tolerate the institution of the Cotton Slave & it affected
him very much. His attachment for the Cotton affair gave you power over him
of the State, and by your means I got him the Vice-Admiral's Commission
but after his promotion when he advanced to from this in favor of the slave he would
not stand. General Lee was of the opinion that a day or two days of
proceedings would do it, and gave the Captain of his Slave-breed G. W. Shultz
instructions of his position as far as he could go in a day, but he would
not stand to be pushed out of his place so easily. He was told by Capt. M. B. Commissary of the
Armada to get out of the said Cotton Slave & go over to the Commissary -
from General Lee and you are hardly aware of what went on. And so it is
that General Lee has caused the ~~General~~ ^{General} to be out of his
said place ship. And the other day General Lee wrote to me and said each
of the said ship he owned was to be abolished that will be part of
the Company of the Armada and General Lee of the 10th division will never
allow the Cotton Slave at his time of 10 years and afterwards to be for
the same to the Town of Chancery and make him pay for all damages
of the said vessel, she called when she became a vessel. And if still she
goes to the Company of the 10th Division and the other day General Lee wrote to me
he wanted me to take out of the Cotton Slave from small parts of copper
and some other things to a certain land or another portion of his vessel just
forth. And I can't tell you how I was angry.
To the 10th division General Lee for my ship as for being sold by me
I say to him that if you have the right to sell her he is not bound to an order
as he did with her, there being no any legal selling out-on her the poor
General Lee for you when he had the Cotton Slave, but the God of
all allowed by the State only.
To the 10th division General Lee for my ship as for being sold by me
I say to him that if you have the right to sell her he is not bound to an order
as he did with her. In the Town of Chancery, and according to me General
Lee is not bound to you because he is not God of the State, and not according to him
General Lee is not bound to you.

In the Behalfe of the Queen of the Library of
England by Authority of Parliament
Issued or Reprinted the 1st Day of Decem
ber Anno Domini MDCCLXVII
Exclusively sold and delivered on the behalfe
of the said Queen of the Library of England
by authority of Parliament

On the fourth Sabbath in December and January of the year before this document was
made, one of the Deacons of the Church of the First Congregational Society of New Bedford,
and most around the said church at that time, of friends of the cause,
by some of the thoughts of old Commissaries, and such as the said Deacons
had learnt among their friends in England, and wherein the author of


Wanting for gold, and according to an old
maxim of Boethius, and not otherwise. — ob-
liged.
+

Mark Harrison

Mark Harmon


26th Day of September 1653

Porters handling coals, whale oil, ginger & corn


Richard Wincles, thirty-three year old porter, of the parish of Stepney, Middlesex, Dec. 15, 1656; employed as a labourer with fifteen other men to unload coals from the *Imployment* moored near Execution Dock, Wapping, into lighters for fixed rate of 12 s per man ([HCA 13/70 f.554r](#))




Edward Cranford, forty-four year old coale heaver or porter, of the parish of Stepney, Middlesex, Dec. 15, 1656; employed as a labourer with fifteen other men to unload coals from the *Imployment* moored near Execution Dock, Wapping, into lighters for fixed rate of 12 s per man ([HCA 13/70 f.555v](#))



Bartholomew Cuff, sixty year old porter of the Stillyard, of the parish of Allhallows the Greate, London, May 15, 1658; assisted in the landing of whale oil from lighters at the Stillyard Key and loading them away into a warehouse ([HCA 13/70 f.555v](#))




John Betton, fifty-four year old citizen and white baker of London, of the parish of Saint Buttolph Algate, London, Jul. 31, 1655; self-described as a porter employed by the Commissioners for Prize Goods to deliver ginger from a warehouse at Ralphes Key ([HCA 13/70 f.449r](#))




Edward Sherwin, fifty-six year old cittien and leatherseller, of the parish of Little Allhallowes, London, Jul. 31, 1655; self-described as a porter employed by the Commissioners for Prize Goods to deliver ginger from a warehouse at Ralphes Key ([HCA 13/70 f.449v](#))




Thomas Roots, twenty-nine year old porter, of the parish of Greate Allhallowes, London, May 15, 1658; assisted in the landing of whale oil from lighters at the Stillyard Key, as one of the Stillyard porters, and loading them away into a warehouse ([HCA 13/72 f.330v](#))




Edward ffrancis, citizen and merchant taylor of London, of the parish of Saint Olave in Southwarke, Jul. 31, 1655; self-described as a porter employed by the Commissioners for Prize Goods to deliver ginger from a warehouse at Ralphes Key ([HCA 13/70 f.450v](#))



George Greenwood, thirty year old citizen and vintner of London, of the parish of Saint Buttolph Bishopsgate, London, Jul. 31, 1655; self-described as a porter employed by the Commissioners for Prize Goods to deliver ginger from a warehouse at Ralphes Key ([HCA 13/70 f.454r](#))




John Nutshall, fifty-five year old corne porter, of the parish of Saint Saviours Southwarke, Nov. 19, 1653; employed with a barber chyrurgeon/corne meter, an additional corne-meter, and other labourers to unlade a cargo of what in the *ffortune* of Stettin, moored against Limehouse; eight years of experience as a corne porter ([HCA 13/70 f.352v](#))




Anchors

Amons Barentsen, thirty-five year old mariner, of Copenhagen, Denmark, October 13th, 1653; self-described as an "ordinary mariner", hired to sail from the Sound to Hamburg on the *Golden Hawke* of Stockholm ([HCA 13/68 f.81v](#))




Claude de Gagnon, twenty-five year old mariner, of Melon, near Brest in Britanny, May 22nd, 1656 ([HCA 13/71 f.225r](#))




John Tylor, forty-two year old shipwright, of Lower Shadwell, in the parish of Stepney, Middlesex, February 14th, 1659 ([HCA 13/73 f.36r](#))




Richard Shepperd, fifty-eight year old cooke, of Brixton, Devon, March 29th, 1637; self-described cooke of the *Hope of Ipswich* ([HCA 13/53 f.87r](#))




Andrew Beake, thirty-six year old lookeinglassemaker and formerly seaman, of Rose alley without Bishopsgate, London, January 21st, 1655 ([HCA 13/70 f.252v](#))




Andrew Beake, thirty-six year old looking-glasse maker, of Rose-Alley in Bishopsgate streete, London, February 13th, 1655 ([HCA 13/70 f.252v](#))




Richard Man, thirty-one year old mariner, of Southampton, January 8th, 1659; self-described common man of the *Lisbone ffrigott* on voyage to Oratava ([HCA 13/73 f.26v](#))



John Burnelau, twenty-eight year old sailor, of Mornar, France, March 30th, 1661 ([HCA 13/73 f.486v](#))



Physical characteristics of poorly executed signatures for machine detection – Part 1



cannot do worse.
Elmer Reffel Mop
wined you w said Arles

John Duggett

John Lacy

George Franklin







out of order
is all over



Shaky straight lines and/or loops

Source: Clockwise from top LH side:
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1371_f.263v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.483v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.51v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.20v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.22r_Two,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.168v.PNG

Physical characteristics of poorly executed signatures for machine detection – Part 2



Even London alderman & merchant, John Frederick, could smudge his signature, when signing an addendum to his deposition three weeks after the first deposition









Wolter Johansen signed a second deposition smudge free, whilst signing both times with capitals



Ink blots or smudges

Source: Clockwise from top LH side: KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1371_f.503r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1373_f.498v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.59r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.231r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.239v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.241v.PNG


Can machine detection distinguish blots, smudges, stylistic features, & deletions? (1)



Ink blots or smudges





Stylistic feature or smudge?



Deletion

Source: Clockwise from top LH side:
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.387v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.13r.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.167r.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1371_f.456r.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.15r.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.19r.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.41v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.17v.PNG,

Can machine detection distinguish blots, smudges, stylistic features, & deletions? (2)



Ink blots or smudges





Stylistic feature or smudge?






Deletion

Source: Clockwise from top LH side:
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.51r.PNG,
KaggletestSnippet_HCA_1376_f.14r.PNG

We are looking for algorithms to detect “shake” in straight and curved lines



HYPOTHESIS: Shaky lines are a sign of poor signature execution (and by inference, poor handwriting execution) suggesting lower level of literacy than smooth executed lines



Shaky straight lines and/or loops

Clockwise, from top LH: KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1371_f.435v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.483v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.483v_PIXELS.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1371_f.435v_PIXELS.PNG

Putting boundary boxes on C17th signatures




Boundary boxes marking the visual geometry of a signature

Inside boundary box,
excluding uppers and
downers

Outside boundary
box, including
flourish

Middle boundary
box, including all
letters, but excluding
flourish



Statistics




Inside boundary box: 9.0 x 1.1

Middle boundary box: 9.75 x 4.25





Outside boundary box: 12.75 x 5.75

Rotation from horizontal: ca. 340 degrees

Different visual geometries of signatures




Visual geometries of flourishes – C17th Irish, Dutch, English & Moroccan merchants




Source: Clockwise from top LH side: KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.34v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.366r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.134r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.58r.PNG


Challenge One: Identify the 11 image snippets on this page which contain signatures and highlight the 2 image snippets which contain signatures belonging to the same person




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.140r.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.142r.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.143r.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.145r.PNG



KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.148r.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.150v.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.151v.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.153v.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.155r.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.156r.PNG



KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.158r.PNG



KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.159v.PNG




KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.161v.PNG

Challenge Two: Detect a London based merchant, who has Dutch origin, from physical characteristics of signature, rather than spelling of name


Semantic giveaways

- 3 names, not 2
- Specific names
["Cornelius"; "Vander";
"Hoene"]








Physical giveaways

- Long, narrow signature
- No flourish
- Limited capitalisation
- Middle bounding box close to inner bounding box, with no outside bounding box



Research question - methodology: How will poor resolution imagery affect the ability of a machine to learn from an image?



Cornell University Library

We gratefully acknowledge support from the Simons Foundation and member institutions

arXiv.org > cs > arXiv:1604.04004

Search or Article ID All fields

(Help | Advanced search)

Computer Science > Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition

Understanding How Image Quality Affects Deep Neural Networks

Samuel Dodge, Lina Karam

(Submitted on 14 Apr 2016 ([v1](#)), last revised 21 Apr 2016 (this version, v2))

Image quality is an important practical challenge that is often overlooked in the design of machine vision systems. Commonly, machine vision systems are trained and tested on high quality image datasets, yet in practical applications the input images can not be assumed to be of high quality. Recently, deep neural networks have obtained state-of-the-art performance on many machine vision tasks. In this paper we provide an evaluation of 4 state-of-the-art deep neural network models for image classification under quality distortions. We consider five types of quality distortions: blur, noise, contrast, JPEG, and JPEG2000 compression. We show that the existing networks are susceptible to these quality distortions, particularly to blur and noise. These results enable future work in developing deep neural networks that are more invariant to quality distortions.

Comments: Final version will appear in IEEE Xplore in the Proceedings of the Conference on the Quality of Multimedia Experience (QoMEX), June 6-8, 2016

Subjects: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (cs.CV)

Cite as: [arXiv:1604.04004 \[cs.CV\]](#)
[\(or arXiv:1604.04004v2 \[cs.CV\]\)](#) for this version

Submission history

From: Samuel Dodge [[view email](#)]
[\[v1\]](#) Thu, 14 Apr 2016 00:47:50 GMT (2833kb.D)
[\[v2\]](#) Thu, 21 Apr 2016 20:44:52 GMT (2833kb.D)

Download:

- [PDF](#)
- [Other formats](#)

(license)

Current browse context: cs.CV
[< prev](#) | [next >](#)
[new](#) | [recent](#) | [1604](#)

Change to browse by: cs

References & Citations

- [NASA ADS](#)





[DBLP - CS Bibliography](#)
listing | bibtex
Samuel F. Dodge
Lina J. Karam

Bookmark [what is this?](#)

Source: Clockwise from top LH side:

KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.42r.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.46v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.55r_Two.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.11v.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.62r.PNG,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.121v_Two.PNG ,
KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.59r.PNG,















Research question - methodology: How much of a signature does a machine need to predict the physical character of the whole signature & to assess it stylistically?



Source: Clockwise from top LH side: KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.274v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.159v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1373_f.490v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1373_f.493v.PNG,

Research question - methodology: How well will machine learning cope with recognising and matching signature, initial & mark snippets? (1)














Are certain parts of a signature more stable than others?



Source: In pirs, from top LH side downwards, then from top RH side downwards: (1) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.253r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.254v.PNG; (2) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.255v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.256r.PNG; (3) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.257r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.258r.PNG; (4) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.283r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.284r.PNG; (5) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.231r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.239v.PNG (6) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1371_f.481v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1371_f.484r.PNG (7) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.278r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.279r.PNG

Research question - methodology: How well will machine learning cope with recognising and matching signature, initial & mark snippets? (2)











Are certain parts of a signature more stable than others?



Source: In pairs, from top LH side downwards, then from top RH side downwards: (1) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1373_f.16r_One.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1373_f.16r_Two.PNG (2) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.288r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.288v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.291v_Two.PNG (3) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.289r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.289v.PNG (4) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.290v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.291r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.291v_One.PNG (5) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.293v_One.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.293v_Two, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.293v_Three



Research question - methodology: How well will machine learning cope with recognising and matching signature, initial & mark snippets? (3)

Are certain parts of a signature more stable than others?



Source: In pairs, from top LH side downwards, then from top RH side downwards: (1) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.296v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.299v.PNG (2) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.158r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1368_f.161v.PNG (3) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.6v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.9r.PNG (4) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.13v_One.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.14v.PNG (5) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.23r.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.25v.PNG (6) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.23v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1370_f.26r.PNG (7) KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1376_f.17v.PNG, KaggleTestSnippet_HCA_1376_f.18v.PNG


Research question - content: Can a family resemblance be detected in signatures from the same biological family from the same date and place?




Depositions in the English High Court of Admiralty of **Humphrey Taylor** of London merchant aged 29 yeares or thereabouts and **Oliver Taylor** of the same citie merchant aged 27 yeares, dated December 8th, 1653 and again on December 9th, 1653

One day after his first signature, **Oliver Taylor** abbreviates his first name and writes the "y" in "Taylor" with a diaeresis ("ÿ")


Research question - content: Is there an age effect in terms of physical control of pen, independent of any age-independent physical infirmity, and independent of a tendency for lower literacy (and possibly lower control of pen) amongst earlier age cohorts in our samples of signatures from the mid-C17th?



Christopher Drake, 86 year old sugar refiner, of Saint Mary Street, London, born 1567, signature dated Nov 2, 1653



Edward Branston, 47 year old rope maker, of Saint Mary Matsellon alias Whitechapel, born 1607, signature dated Jan 25, 1654



James Baker, 21 year old mariner, of Wapping, one of the company of the ship the *Plaine Dealeing*, born 1635, signature dated Jun 21, 1656

Research question - content: Was it less common to use capital letters in Dutch rather than English language signatures in the C17th?

Steven pieterse

Bonifacius van der Deyppen.
Lars & Albertus
of October 1653.

John Deyppen.
Jacob Colmaes den jonghe

My son John Snowdon our
Graham Sijssen



No name, ~~Colmaes~~
living bakstane

Another being as aforesaid
commissarius mercatorum

for having as aforesaid
Abraham Van Dinter
November 1659.

Another name another
Jan Lombant
of December 1659

Data: Unusual signatures



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Issues

Pre-processing

- Scaling images
- Converting to grayscale
 - Some image processing & computer vision algorithms use grayscale images not colour images
 - Grayscale processing 3x faster than colour processing
- Normalising an image
 - Avoid larger feature values dominating smaller feature values [THINK ABOUT THIS]

Image processing packages

- [OpenCV](#): reads & plots an image in BGR format. Reads PNG & JPG on 0 to 255 range
- [Matplotlib](#): reads & plots an image in RGB format. Reads JPEG in 0 to 255 and PNG on 0 to 1 range

Do images need to be square for typical neural network models? Or at least have identical aspect ratios? Do we need to scale all images to a standard number of pixels for height & width? Do snippets need to be cropped to isolate the signoff? What does the mean image of a marke, an initial and a signature look like? [mean value of each pixel across all training examples]. Can also look at the standard deviation of the pixels for a group of images.

Reading

Colin Greenstreet, Pattern recognition of signatures and marks in historical manuscripts as the basis for sub-population recognition, March 2018 [available Signsoliteracy Github repository: Signsoliteracy/Signoff]

Colin Greenstreet, C17th alphabet of initials, 4th edn., April 4th, 2018 [available Signsoliteracy Github repository: Signsoliteracy/Signoff]

[Mark Hailwood, 'The Rabble that Cannot Read', Ordinary Peoples Literacy in Seventeenth-Century England, October 13th, 2014](#)

[David Cressy, Literacy and the Social Order: Reading & Writing in Tudor and Stuart England, 1980](#)

Reading

Cornell University Library

We gratefully acknowledge support from the Simons Foundation and member institutions

arXiv.org > cs > arXiv:1604.04004

Search or Article ID All fields

(Help | Advanced search)

Computer Science > Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition

Understanding How Image Quality Affects Deep Neural Networks

Samuel Dodge, Lina Karam

(Submitted on 14 Apr 2016 (v1), last revised 21 Apr 2016 (this version, v2))

Image quality is an important practical challenge that is often overlooked in the design of machine vision systems. Commonly, machine vision systems are trained and tested on high quality image datasets, yet in practical applications the input images can not be assumed to be of high quality. Recently, deep neural networks have obtained state-of-the-art performance on many machine vision tasks. In this paper we provide an evaluation of 4 state-of-the-art deep neural network models for image classification under quality distortions. We consider five types of quality distortions: blur, noise, contrast, JPEG, and JPEG2000 compression. We show that the existing networks are susceptible to these quality distortions, particularly to blur and noise. These results enable future work in developing deep neural networks that are more invariant to quality distortions.

Comments: Final version will appear in IEEE Xplore in the Proceedings of the Conference on the Quality of Multimedia Experience (QoMEX), June 6-8, 2016

Subjects: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (cs.CV)

Cite as: arXiv:1604.04004 [cs.CV]
(or arXiv:1604.04004v2 [cs.CV] for this version)

Submission history

From: Samuel Dodge [view email]

[v1] Thu, 14 Apr 2016 00:47:50 GMT (2833kb,D)

[v2] Thu, 21 Apr 2016 20:44:52 GMT (2833kb,D)

Download:

- PDF
- Other formats

(license)

Current browse context:
cs.CV
< prev | next >
new | recent | 1604

Change to browse by:
cs

References & Citations
• NASA ADS

DBLP - CS Bibliography
listing | bibtex
Samuel F. Dodge
Lina J. Karam

Bookmark (what is this?)

Reading

Labeled Faces in the Wild



Menu

- LFW Home
 - Mailing
 - Explore
 - Download
 - Train/Test
 - Results
 - Information
 - Errata
 - Reference
 - Resources
 - Contact
 - Support
 - Changes
- Part Labels
- UMass Vision

Labeled Faces in the Wild Home



NEW SURVEY PAPER:

Erik Learned-Miller, Gary B. Huang, Aruni RoyChowdhury, Haoxiang Li, and Gang Hua.

Labeled Faces in the Wild: A Survey.

In *Advances in Face Detection and Facial Image Analysis*, edited by Michal Kawulok, M. Emre Celebi, and Bogdan Smolka, Springer, pages 189-248, 2016.

[[Springer Page](#)] [[Draft pdf](#)]

NEW RESULTS PAGE:

WE HAVE RECENTLY UPDATED AND CHANGED THE FORMAT AND CONTENT OF OUR [RESULTS PAGE](#). PLEASE REFER TO THE [NEW TECHNICAL REPORT](#) FOR DETAILS OF THE CHANGES.

Welcome to Labeled Faces in the Wild, a database of face photographs designed for studying the problem of unconstrained face recognition. The data set contains more than 13,000 images of faces collected from the web. Each face has been labeled with the name of the person pictured. 1680 of the people pictured have two or more distinct photos in the data set. The only constraint on these faces is that they were detected by the Viola-Jones face detector. More details can be found in the technical report below.

There are now four different sets of LFW images including the original and three different types of "aligned" images. The aligned images include "funneled images" (ICCV 2007), LFW-a, which uses an unpublished method of alignment, and "deep funneled" images (NIPS 2012). Among these, LFW-a and the deep funneled images produce superior results for most face verification algorithms over the original images and over the funneled images (ICCV 2007).

Related:

[[new](#)] [Collected resources related to LFW](#) - updated 2017/05/09.

[LFW Deep Funneled Images](#).

[LFW attributes file](#) (see [Attribute and Simile Classifiers for Face Verification](#), Kumar et al.).

[Face Detection Data set and Benchmark \(FDDB\)](#), our new database for face detection research.

[Faces in Real-Life Images](#) workshop at the [European Conference on Computer Vision 2008](#), run by Erik Learned-Miller, Andras Ferencz, and Frederic Jurie.

Reading

Labeled Faces in the Wild: A Survey

Erik Learned-Miller, Gary Huang, Aruni RoyChowdhury, Haoxiang Li, Gang Hua

Abstract In 2007, Labeled Faces in the Wild was released in an effort to spur research in face recognition, specifically for the problem of face verification with unconstrained images. Since that time, more than 50 papers have been published that improve upon this benchmark in some respect. A remarkably wide variety of innovative methods have been developed to overcome the challenges presented in this database. As performance on some aspects of the benchmark approaches 100% accuracy, it seems appropriate to review this progress, derive what general principles we can from these works, and identify key future challenges in face recognition. In this survey, we review the contributions to LFW for which the authors have provided results to the curators (results found on the LFW results web page). We also review the cross cutting topic of alignment and how it is used in various methods. We end with a brief discussion of recent databases designed to challenge the next generation of face recognition algorithms.

Erik Learned-Miller
University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts, e-mail: elm@cs.umass.edu

Gary B. Huang
Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Janelia Research Campus, e-mail: ghuang@cs.umass.edu

Aruni RoyChowdhury
University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts, e-mail: aruni@cs.umass.edu

Haoxiang Li
Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, New Jersey, e-mail: hli18@stevens.edu

Gang Hua
Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, New Jersey, e-mail: ganghua@gmail.com

Reading

Siamese Convolutional Neural Networks for Authorship Verification

William Du
Stanford University
450 Serra Mall, Stanford, CA 94305
willadu@stanford.edu

Michael Fang
Stanford University
450 Serra Mall, Stanford, CA 94305
mjfang@stanford.edu

Margaret Shen
Stanford University
450 Serra Mall, Stanford, CA 94305
marshen@stanford.edu

Abstract

Determining handwriting authorship of a written text has practical significance in the realm of forensics, signature verification, and literary history. While there have been studies in signature verification and handwriting classification, a vast literature review reveals that very little work has been done in handwriting verification. Recent advances in convolutional architectures, particularly those involving facial verification, suggest that the task can be tackled effectively. In this study, we build a Siamese convolutional neural network to determine whether two pieces of handwriting are written by the same author. We examine questions such as whether long pieces of handwriting must be present to achieve good results, how many samples are needed, what features are important, and how different architectures perform on this task. We explore different convolutional architectures like VGG, GoogLeNet and ResNet, to determine which architecture produces the best encoding of each sample. We note that our best performing single model, TinyResNet, achieves a 92.08% accuracy on the held out test set.

1. Introduction

Determining the authorship of a written text has practical significance in the realm of forensics, signature verification, and literary history [3]. In manuscript analysis, for instance, historians frequently ask questions regarding the number of authors for a text, whether an anonymous work can be confidently attributed to a historical figure, and what time period a text might be from. These kinds of analyses are all based upon comparisons between different writing samples [1]. Techniques in the field have remained largely subjective, however, making the transition to automatic tools difficult.

In addition, handwriting analysis is an established area of study in forensics, but there has not yet been any formal experiments measuring the accuracy of such analysis. As a result, the field is surrounded by much skepticism because of how subjective the process is (compared to, say, DNA testing) [5]. In addition, forensic handwriting analysis is time-intensive and requires two years of training for a person to obtain proper qualifications. The primary objective of this project is to develop an automatic, high-accuracy system which can determine if any two writing samples are written by the same person. In addition, our system should be able to handle authors it has never encountered before.


2. Background and Related Work

Our objective fits well with the Siamese CNN neural network architecture, which was first developed in 1993 to tackle the signature verification problem. [3] This type of architecture takes in two inputs and outputs a distance metric for the inputs. Bromley et al. was able to detect 95% of genuine signatures using this architecture. However, note that the signature verification problem expects a pair of inputs to be very similar to each other to be considered a match. This setup would not be effective for the problem we are trying to tackle, because our system should be agnostic to the actual text in a writing sample.

Other researchers have focused more closely on the authorship identification problem. A study in 2015 by Xing et al. reported an accuracy of 97% in classifying English writing samples for 657 authors. [11] They used the same dataset we will be using in this paper, the IAM Handwriting Database, and a 4-layer CNN. This study gave us confidence that we can achieve high accuracies on authorship problems using the IAM dataset. In a very recent research study from 2016, Yang et al. was able to achieve a 95% accuracy in classifying the authors for Chinese text samples,

Potential tool: conjoint analysis IIIF viewer plugin

The screenshot shows a browser extension for the David Rumsey Map Collection. At the top, it says "David Rumsey Map Collection - MapTab" with a "ADD TO CHROME" button. Below that is a map of the United States with a red arrow pointing from the West Coast to the East Coast, labeled "7:44 AM". The date is "Tuesday, September 29, 2015". To the right of the map is a sidebar with "Runs Offline" and "Compatible with your device" checkboxes, a description of the extension, and links to "Report Abuse", "Additional Information", "Developer", and "Privacy Policy". Below the map are sections for "OVERVIEW", "REVIEWS", "SUPPORT", and "RELATED". The "RELATED" section shows thumbnails for "National Gallery of Art Collection Highlights" (featuring Van Gogh's Self-Portrait) and "Self-Portrait Dedicated to Paul Gauguin" (featuring another self-portrait by Van Gogh).



Adrian Revele,
twenty-three year
old mariner, of
Dunquirke in
fflanders, November
12th, 1653; “hee
only speaketh the
flemish speech”
(HCA 13/68 f.183v)

John Burnelau,
twenty-eight year
old sailor, of Mornar,
France, March 30th,
1661 (HCA 13/73
f.486v)