Homework 1
CSC 277 / 477
End-to-end Deep Learning
Fall 2025

John Doe - jdoe@ur.rochester.edu

Deadline: See Blackboard

Instructions

Your homework solution must be typed and prepared in LaTeX. It must be output to PDF format. To use LaTeX, we suggest using `http://overleaf.com`, which is free.

Your submission must cite any references used (including articles, books, code, websites, and personal communications). All solutions must be written in your own words, and you must program the algorithms yourself. **If you do work with others, you must list the people you worked with.** Submit your solutions as a PDF to Blackboard.

Your programs must be written in Python. You will use *PyTorch with Lightning Fabric* (PyTorch, Lightning Fabric) in this homework. All deliverables should be within the answer box provided, i.e., \begin{answerbox} DELIVERABLES \end{answerbox}. If a problem requires code as a deliverable, then the code should be shown as part of the solution. One easy way to do this in LaTeX is to use the verbatim environment, i.e., \begin{verbatim} YOUR CODE \end{verbatim}.

About Homework 1: Homework 1 aims to acquaint you with hyperparameter tuning, network fine-tuning, WandB for Training Monitoring, and model testing. *Keep in mind that network training is time-consuming, so begin early!* Copy and paste this template into an editor, e.g., `www.overleaf.com`, and then just type the answers in. You can use a math editor to make this easier, e.g., CodeCogs Equation Editor or MathType. You may use the AI (LLM) plugin for Overleaf for help you with LaTeXformatting.

Problem 1 - WandB for Training Monitoring

Training neural networks involves exploring different model architectures, hyperparameters, and optimization strategies. Monitoring these choices is crucial for understanding and improving model performance. Logging experiment results during training helps to:

- Gain insights into model behavior (e.g., loss, accuracy, convergence patterns).
- Optimize hyperparameters by evaluating their impact on stability and accuracy.
- Detect overfitting or underfitting and make necessary adjustments.

In this problem, you'll train ResNet-18 models for image classification on the Oxford-IIIT Pet Dataset while exploring various hyperparameters. You'll use Weights and Biases (W&B) to log your experiments and refine your approach based on the results.

Part 1: Implementing Experiment Logging with W&B (7 points)

Prepare the Dataset. Download the dataset. Complete the dataset definition in `train.py`. Make a custom `Dataset` class (examples, reference) or use `ImageFolder` to do this. Use the splits defined in `oxford_pet_split.csv` to define the training, validation, and test splits. In the dataset's preprocessing, resize the images to 224 as required by ResNet-18, and apply image normalization using statistics from the training set or from ImageNet.

Evaluating Model Performance. During model training, the validation set is a crucial tool to prevent overfitting. Complete `evaluate()` function in `train.py` which takes a model and a dataloader as inputs and outputs the model's accuracy score and cross-entropy loss on the dataset.

Integrate W&B Logging. To integrate W&B for experiment logging, follow these steps and add the necessary code to `train.py`:

1. Refer to the W&B official tutorial for guidance.
2. Initialize a new run at the start of the experiment following the tutorial's code snippet. Log basic experiment **configurations**, such as total training epochs, learning rate, batch size, and scheduler usage. Ensure the run **name** is interpretable and reflects these key details.
3. During training, log the training loss and learning rate after each mini-batch.
4. After each epoch, log the validation loss and validation accuracy.
5. At the end of the training, log the model's performance on the test set, including loss and accuracy scores.

Experiment and Analysis. Execute the experiment using the **default** setup (found in `get_args` function). Log in to the W&B website to inspect your implementation.

Deliverable:

- Code snippet(s) completed to load the dataset.
- Screenshot(s) of the experiment configuration (*Select the specific Run in W&B, and then the Overview tab*)

- Screenshot(s) of all logged charts (*Select the specific Run in W&B, and then Charts under Workspace tab*).
- Are the data logged accurately in the W&B interface? Does the experiment configuration align with your expectations?
- Analyze the logged charts to determine whether the training has converged.

Answer:

Part 2: Tuning Hyperparameters

In this section, you'll experiment with key hyperparameters like learning rate and scheduler, and study their effects on training dynamics. For each step, change only one configuration at a time. Try not modify other hyperparameters (except batch size, which can be adjusted based on your computing resources).

Learning Rate Tuning with Sweep (5 points)

The learning rate is a crucial hyperparameter that significantly affects model convergence and performance. Run the training script using W&B sweep with the following learning rates: $1e-2$, $1e-4$, and $1e-5$. Also, include the default learning rate ($1e-3$) from Part 1 in your analysis.

Deliverable:

- Provide screenshots of logged charts showing learning rate, training loss, validation accuracy, and final test accuracy. Each chart should display results from **multiple runs** (all four learning rates in one chart). Ensure that titles and legends are clear and easy to interpret.
- Analyze how the learning rate impacts the training process and final performance.
- Code of your sweep configuration that defines the search space.

Answer:

Gradient Norm Monitoring for Exploding/Vanishing Gradients (4 points)

Monitoring gradient norms during training is essential to detect exploding gradients (leading to instability or NaN losses) or vanishing gradients (causing slow/no convergence), which are common critical issues in deep learning practice. In `train.py`, extend your W&B logging to compute and log the average L2 gradient norm across all model parameters after each batch (e.g., via a loop over `model.parameters()`). Run a 'problematic' variant (e.g., set learning rate to 0.1 or larger values to induce exploding gradients). Compare the norm charts and loss curves of the problematic variant and your default run.

Deliverable:

- Screenshots of W&B charts showing gradient norms and training loss over steps for both runs.
- Do you notice signs of exploding/vanishing gradients in the problematic run? If so, identify them and explain your inferences.
- Code snippet(s) to compute and log gradient norms.

Hint: Consider carefully the placement of the Gradient norm calculation in the training loop's backward pass.

Answer:

Learning Rate Scheduler (4 points)

Learning rate schedulers dynamically adjust the learning rate during training, improving efficiency, convergence, and overall performance. In this step, you'll implement the `OneCycleLR` scheduler in the `get_scheduler()` function within `train.py`. Compare the results to the baseline (default setting). If implemented correctly, the learning rate will initially increase and then decrease during training.

Deliverable:

- Provide charts comparing the new setup with the baseline: learning rate, training loss, validation accuracy, and final test accuracy.
- Explain how the `OneCycleLR` scheduler impacts the learning rate, training process, and final performance compared to the baseline.

Answer:

Part 3: Scaling Learning Rate with Batch Size (4 points)

As observed in previous parts, the choice of learning rate is crucial for effective training. As batch size increases, the effective step size in the parameter space also increases, requiring adjustments to the learning rate. In this section, you'll investigate how to scale the learning rate appropriately when the batch size changes. Read the first few paragraphs of this blog post to understand scaling rules for Adam (used in default) and SGD optimizers. Then, conduct experiments to verify these rules. First, double (or halve) the batch size without changing the learning rate and run the training script. Next, ONLY adjust the learning rate as suggested in the post. Compare these results with the default setting. Note that since the total training steps vary with batch size, you should also log the number of seen examples to create accurate charts for comparison.

Deliverable:

- Present charts showing: training loss and validation accuracy (with the x-axis being `seen_examples`), and final test accuracy. Ensure the legends are clear. You may apply smoothing for better visualization.
- Analyze the results: do they align with the patterns discussed in the blog post?

Answer:

Part 4: Fine-Tuning a Pretrained Model (3 points)

Fine-tuning leverages the knowledge of models trained on large datasets by adapting their weights to a new task. In this section, you will fine-tune a ResNet-18 model pre-trained on ImageNet using `torchvision.models.resnet18(pretrained=True)`. Modify the classification head to match the number of classes in your task, and replace the model definition in the original code. Keep the rest of the setup as default for comparison.

Deliverable:

- Present charts showing: training loss, validation accuracy, and final test accuracy.
- Analyze the impact of pre-training on the model's learning process and performance.

Answer:

Problem 2 - Model Testing

Unlike model evaluation, which focuses on performance metrics, model testing ensures that a model behaves as expected under specific conditions.

- **Pre-Train Test:** Conducted before training, these tests identify potential issues in the model's architecture, data preprocessing, or other components, preventing wasted resources on flawed training.
- **Post-Train Test:** Performed after training, these tests evaluate the model's behavior across various scenarios to ensure it generalizes well and performs as expected in real-world situations.

In this problem, you will examine the code and model left by a former employee who displayed a lack of responsibility in his work. The code can be found in the `Problem 2` folder. The necessary predefined functions for this task are available in the `model_testing.py` file. Follow the instructions provided in that file for detailed guidance.

Part 1: Pre-Train Testing

In this part, you will apply pre-train tests to verify experimental correctness before training is conducted. **Deliverables:** For each question in Part 1, provide clear deliverables of the following:

1. Observations and analysis of the results.
2. Suggested approaches for addressing the detected issues (if any).
3. Code implementation.

Data Leakage Check (3 points)

Load the training, validation, and test data sets using `get_dataset()` function. Check for potential data leakage between these sets by directly comparing the images, as data augmentation was not applied. Since identical objects usually have different hash values in Python, consider using techniques like image hashing for this comparison.

Answer:

Model Architecture Check (2 points)

Initialize the model using the `get_model()` function. Verify that the model's output shape matches the label format (hint: consider the number of classes in the dataset).

Answer:

Gradient Descent Validation (2 points)

Verify that ALL the model's trainable parameters are updated after a single gradient step on a batch of data.

Answer:

Learning Rate Check (2 points)

Implement the learning rate range test using pytorch-lr-finder. Determine whether the learning rate is appropriately set by examining the loss-learning rate graph. Necessary components for `torch_lr_finder.LRFinder` are provided in `model_testing.py`.

Answer:

Part 2: Post-Train Testing

Dying ReLU Examination (4 points)

In this section, you will examine the trained model for "Dying ReLU." Dying ReLU occurs when a ReLU neuron outputs zero consistently and cannot differentiate between inputs. Load the trained model using `get_trained_model()` function, and the test set using `get_test_set()` function. Review the model's architecture, which is based on ResNet and can be found in `utils/trained_models.py`. Then address the following:

1. Identify the layer(s) where Dying ReLU might occur and explain why.
2. Describe your approach for detecting Dying ReLU neurons.
3. Determine if Dying ReLU neurons are present in the trained model, and provide your code implementation..

Hint: Consider how BatchNorm operation would influence the presence of dying ReLU.

Answer:

Model Robustness Test - Brightness (4 points)

In this section, you will evaluate the model's robustness to changes in image brightness using a defined brightness factor. Define a brightness factor λ, which determines the image brightness by multiplying pixel values by λ. Specifically, $\lambda = 1$ corresponds to the original image's brightness. Load the trained model using `get_trained_model()` function, and the test dataset using `get_test_set()` function. Investigate the model's performance across various brightness levels by adjusting λ from 0.2 to 1.0 in increments of 0.2.

Deliverable:

1. Plot a curve showing how model accuracy varies with brightness levels.
2. Analyze the relationship and discuss any trends observed.

Answer:

Model Robustness Test - Rotation (4 points)

Evaluate the model's robustness to changes in image rotation. Rotate the input image from 0 to 300 degrees in increments of 60 degrees. Similarly, load the trained model using `get_trained_model()` function, and the test set using `get_test_set()` function.

Deliverable:

1. Plot a curve showing the relationship between rotation angles and model accuracy.
2. Analyze the trend and discuss any observed patterns.
3. Suggest potential improvements to enhance model robustness

Answer:

Normalization Mismatch (2 points)

Load the test set using the `get_test_set()` function. Assume that the mean and standard deviation (std) used to normalize the testing data are different from those applied to the training data.

Deliverable:

1. Calculate and report the mean and std of the images in the loaded test set (tutorial). Compare these values with the expected mean and std after proper normalization.
2. Discuss one potential impact of this incorrect normalization on the model's performance or predictions.

Answer: