

**SOLVING THE POISSON-EQUATION IN ONE DIMENSION:
TRIDIAGONAL MATRIX ALGORITHM
AND
LU-DECOMPOSITION**

———— **FYS3150: COMPUTATIONAL PHYSICS** ————

SIGURD SANDVOLL SUNDBERG
[GITHUB.COM/SIGURDSUNDBERG](https://github.com/sigurdsundberg)

ABSTRACT. Abstract write last.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Algorithms	1
2.1. Tridiagonal Matrix Algorithm	2
2.2. Specialized algorithm	2
2.3. LU Decomposition	2
3. Results	2
4. Discussion	2
5. Conclusion	2

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of differential equation has a sentral role in every branch of science. Linear second-order differential equations cover many of the important differential equations(DEs). Many of which can be manipulated to be solved as a linear algebra problem, for which we can develop algorithms to solve to problems numerically. There are limitations when it comes to numerically solutions. If the number of floating point operations(FLOPs) becomes too big the calculations can get exceedingly slow. One can also risk numerical inaccuracies simply due to a high amount of FLOPs. This puts the emphasis on developing algorithms which are stable, accurate and quick. In this project we will take a look at solving the one-dimensional Poisson equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions by rewriting it as a set of linear equations. Explicitly we want to solve the following equation

$$(1) \quad -u''(x) = f(x), \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0.$$

We will devise an algorithm for a tridiagonal matrix, both the general case and a specialized case. Including, we will take a look at the more general method of solving matrix equations using LU-decomposition, comparing run times and space between the different algorithms. In addition to looking at relative error and finding the mesh-grid which gives the best approximation. Lastly, the findings will be discussed.

2. ALGORITHMS

To solve one-dimensional Poisson equation numerically we will need to discretize the equation. Instead of having continous functions, we get

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} x &\rightarrow x_i \in [x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_{n+1}] \\ u(x) &\rightarrow u(x_i) = u_i \in [u_0, u_1, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_{n+1}] \\ f(x) &\rightarrow f(x_i) = f_i \in [f_0, f_1, \dots, f_i, \dots, f_{n+1}], \end{aligned}$$

using grid-points $x_i = ih$ in the interval from $x_0 = 0$ to $x_{n+1} = 1$. The step length h is defined as $h = 1/(n+1)$. We then have the boundary conditions $x_0 = v_{n+1} = 0$. The approximation for the second derivative we need from 1, can be found through Taylor expansion of $u(x \pm h)$ around x .

$$(3) \quad u(x \pm h) = u(x) \pm hu'(x) + \frac{h^2 u''(x)}{2!} \pm \frac{h^3 u'''(x)}{3!} + \mathcal{O}(h^4)$$

If we look at the two equation we get from $u(x+h)$ and $u(x-h)$, we can see that the first and third derivatives cancel eachother out when we add the two equations together. If we solve the resulting equation for $u''(x)$ we get

$$(4) \quad u''(x) = \frac{u(x+h) + u(x-h) - 2u(x)}{h^2} + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

Using our discretization of the continous functions given by equation 2, we have the following equation

$$(5) \quad -u''(x) \simeq f_i = \frac{u_{i+1} + u_{i-1} - 2u_i}{h^2} + \mathcal{O}.$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If we define $f^* = h^2 f_i$, equation 5 becomes $-u_{i+1} - u_{i-1} + 2u_i = f^*$. From the boundary conditions $u(0) = u(1) = 0$ we can see that we can rewrite our discretization 5 as a linear algebra problem on the form

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = f^*,$$

where \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix which we rewrite as

$$(6) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.1. Tridiagonal Matrix Algorithm. Section on the TDMA » Problem b » Implementation » FLOPS

2.2. Specialized algorithm. Section on the optimization » Specialized algorithm problem c » FLOPS » CPU time

2.3. LU Decomposition. Section on LU-decomposition » Algorithm for LU-decomposition » FLOPS » CPU time

3. RESULTS

Results from the report. » CPU time difference » Plots » Difference in relative error

4. DISCUSSION

Discussion of the report.

5. CONCLUSION

Conclusion of the report.