PHSX 425: HW10

William Jardee

November 18, 2021

## Question 1

In class, and also in Griffiths, the reflection and transmission coefficients at normal incidence were derived assuming that  $\mu = \mu_0$ . Derive the reflection and transmission coefficients without making this assumption, and then show that energy is conserved (i.e., R + T = 1).

## Question 2

Check your results to the previous problem against the solutions derived in Griffiths for oblique incidence, with the polarization in the plan of incidence. Show that R+T=1 in this more general case.

## Question 3

We have assumed that the wave frequency,  $\omega$ , is constant across an interface. Consider a wave function of the form  $\psi_I = f_I(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\omega_I t}$ , incident on a boundary from material 1 to material 2. The incident, reflected, and transmitted waves in these two substances must satisfy a generalized linear wave equation,

$$[a_i \nabla^2 + b_i \partial_t + c_i \partial^2] \psi = 0$$

Where j = 1, 2 denotes materials 1 and 2. Show that  $\omega_I = \omega_R = \omega_T$ . Were any addition assumptions necessary to show this? Would your conclusion change for a nonlinear wave equation? Why or why not?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Hint: you might find it helpful to solve problem 9.16 first. Consider reflected and transmitted waves of the same form, and assume some general sort of boundary condition holds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Hint: If the wave equation were nonlinear in  $\psi$ , would solutions of the assumed form be likely to work?